वीर	सेवा	मन्दिर	
	दिल्ल	ît	
	*		
क्रम सन्या			
कात न०			
ख़ <b>ण</b> —			-

AL RESEARCH INSTITUTE

T FOR 1945-1948

bident

, C. I E T D Governor of Bombay

Presidents

the BA C. B E., Rainsahab of Aundh amanrao alias Appasabeb Patwardhan KCBL, Ratasabeh of Sangl

so alias Nanasaheb Naik Nimbalkar Raya Babadur of Phaltan

karrao alias Babasahab Pandit Pant Sachiv Rajasaheb of Bhor

SERVED TRA CITY Sir G D Madgaopkar LCS (Retd ) Dr Sir R P Paraninye M A D Sc N C Kelkar Eso B A. LL B

### REGULATING COUNCIL for 1945-1948

Chairman \*

ar mant Balasaheb Pant Pratinidhi B A C B E Pajasaheb of Aundh Vsca-Chairman \*

#### Rao Bahadur K N Diksh t M A FRASB

Prof K V Abhyankar M A Dr A S Altekar M A LLB D L te Dr V M Apte M A Ph D Dr P V Bapat M A Ph D PDr V G Bhat M A Ph D Prof N G Damle M A Prip S V Dandekar M A Dr. S K De M A D Litt Prin D R Gadgil M A M Litt Mm Prof P V Kane M A I L M Prof R D Karmarkar M A Dr Mrs Irawati Karve M A Ph D Mr. N C Kelkar B A LL B Prof R D Laddu M A Hon ble Mr Justice N S Lokur BA. LL B Mrn Prin V V Mirashi M A LL B

Dr V G Paranipe M A LLB D Litt Mm Prof D V Potdar B A Prof R D Vadekar M A

Prof H D Valankar M A "To be elected annually

Joint Trusteen Sardar G N Musumdar CIF Diwan Bahadur K M Jhaver MA LIB

FXFCUTIVE BOARD for 194 48 Prin J R Glaroure B A LL B (Chairman)

De R N Dandekar M A Ph D (Secretary)

Peof R G Bhadkamkar M A (Treasurer) Rao Bahadur Dr S K Belvalkar

MA., Ph D

Rao Bahadur K N Dikshit M A tProf A B Gasendragedkar M A MBE 4Dr S M Katre M A Ph D

tDr M B. Rehman M A., Pb D Dr P L Vaidya M A D Litt † Nominated by Government

# CONTENTS

# VOLUME XXVI, PARTS ILI-13

(24-6-1946)

ARTICLES	
Ancient Historic Sites of Bengal by Dr R. C. Law M.A, BL, Ph.D, D.Litt.	488 101
An Unnoticed Aspect of Gaudapāda's Māndūkyt Kārikās by Dr P T Raju, M.A., Ph D	
Notes on a Few Words by Bimalacharan Deb, MA, BL	201-215
Studies in the History of Hindu Festival—some notes on the History of Divali Festival—between	
C A. D. 50 and 1945) by P K Gode, M.A	216-262
Juridical Studies in Ancient Indian Law by Prof	
Dr. Ludwik Sternbach ( Poland )	263-282
III. Mahābhārata Notes by V S. Agrawala, M A	283-297
MISCELLANEA	
Bhālusālīka or Bhāndasālika? by Lokesh	298
A Note on the A. Chester Beatty Collection by	
G H Khare	299-300
Vaisyas and Social Order by S. N Tadpatrikar,	
M,A,	<b>3</b> 01-306
An Opening Verse of the Mahābhārata by B. N.	
Krishnamurti Sarma, M A,	307-312
Authenticity of the Krspacarita by Jagan Nath, M.A.	. <b>313</b> –318
A New Interpretation of the expression জিলাঘনমংখ্য	
प्रदीपसहस्रक्षणन, in Samkara's commentary on Br.	•
Sū I, ii. 28 by Shrinivas Dixit, M.A	319-321
Books Reseived	208 202

# Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute

Vol. XXVI 7

JULY-OCTOBER 1945

[ PARTS III-IV

ANCIENT HISTORIC SITES OF BENGAL

ΒY

#### B. C. LAW

Benyal contains many ancient historic sites and it is not possible to give a detailed account of them in the following pages. I shall therefore deal with some of them as briefly as possible. Visnupura: Visnupura is in the Bankura District in west Bengal. It is a centre of music culture. For many centuries it had been the capital of the Malla rajas who gave the name of Mallabhumi or the land of wrestlers to the country ruled by them. The Mallabhumi comprised the whole of the modern district of Bankura and parts of the adjoining districts of Burdwan, Midnapore, Manbhum and Singbhum. Adi Malla was the first king who was noted for his great skill in wrestling and archery. Raghunatha who was the founder of the Malla dynasty of Visnupura was born while his parents were on their way to the sacred temple of Jagannatha at Puri. He defeated the neighbouring chiefs of Pradyumnapura ( in the Joypore Police Station ) which he made as his seat of government. The royal ensign of the rulers of Mallabhumi bore the device of a serpent's hood because Raghunatha is said, according to tradition, to have been shaded by two huge cobras with their hoods spread over his head. The cobra's hood carved in stone is even now worshipped in that place under the name of Dandesvari. The Hindu rajas of Visnupura were the rulers of a great portion of western Bengal long before the Mahommedan conquest by Bukhtiar KhiliL Jagat Malla, a ruler of Visnupura, removed the capital from Pradyumanpura to Visnupura. The Rājās of Visnupura were Šiva'-worshippers. The temple dedicated to Malleśvara Mahādeva which is considered to be the oldest shrine, is still found there. The rājās afterwards became the ardent worshippers of Moranya (an aspect of fakte') whose temple still stands there. The worship of Dharma's which Ramāi Papdit' introduced, became very popular at Visnupura The celebrated Bengali Mathematician Subhamkara Rāya lived under the Malla kings who were great patrons of learning.

The city of Visupura is named after the god Visuu Vişnu was the deity of the royal house at the time of Bir Hāmir in the 16th century A. D. who was a great supporter of Vasnavism. The large stone-gateway of Visupura fort and the great cannon call Dalmardam may be attributed to him. Many Vaisnava manuscripts were received by him and in quest of them, Sriniväsäcärya came to Visupura.

The magnificent temple of Rasmanca was built by Bir Hamir. Among the later shrines, mention may be made of the following:

Temples of Śyāma Rāi, Kālācānd, Murali Mohan, Madan Gopāl, Madan Mohan, Rādhā Śyām, Lāljeu and Jodbānglā

The temples of Visnupura are mostly square buildings with a curved roof having a small tower in the centre Some of them have towers in four corners of the roof. The temple is called Pañcaratna, i. e., five towered or Nava ratna or nine towered. The Syama Rai temple is one of the oldest temples of the Pañcaratna type in Bengal. Some of the temples at Visnupura contain scenes from the Rāmājuna and the Mahābhārata on their walls.

<sup>1</sup> Siva is the third god of the Hindu Triad, the other two being Brahmā the Creator and Vijau, the preserve, the destroying and reproducing delty (creator, destroyer and regenerator).

Active power of a deity.

<sup>\*</sup> Dharmadeva, God of Justice.

Author of the Sunya Puruna and Sunya punjapadahats. He was an exponent of Dharma cut in Bangal. Some hold that degered versues were composed soon after the Mahammedan conquest. He was a contemporary of Dharmapalis II who reigned in Gauda at the beginning of the 11th century A. D.

Susumic hill: Another site of historic importance in the district of Bankurs is the village of Pokhrana or Puskarana on the Damodar river, about 25 miles east of the Susunia hill, which was the sest of administration of a ruler named Candravarman as far as can be gathered from an inscription on the hill.

Kendult: It is a village also called Kendva Billa or Jayadeva Kenduli in the Bolpur than of the Suri sub-division in the
Birbhum district. It is situated on the north bank of the river Ajay,
a few miles west of llambazar and about 22 miles south of Suri.
It is famous as the birth place of the great Sanskrit poet Jayadeva who flourished in the 12th century A D. He composed the
well-known Gilu Gocinda, a Sanskrit lyrical poem, in praise of
Rādhā & Krsna. The body of Jayadeva was buried and not
burnt after his death and his tomb still stands at Kenduli. In the
middle of January, a fair is held every year in his honour.

Tāmralipti (Tamluk): Tāmralipti (Tamluk) is situated in the district of Midnapore Tamralipti or Damalipti is called a city of Suhma according to the Datakumaracarda (Chan V). The Epics. Puranas and Buddhist works mention this town. It was a great maritime port and an emporium of commerce from the 4th century B. C to the 12th century A. D. The temple of Barga-Bhima mentioned in the Brahmapurana which was an ancient vihāra (monastery) now exists in the town. The temple of Rinduvasini was situated at Tamralipta which was visited by the Chinese pilgrims Fa-Hien in the 5th century A. D. and Hiuen Teang in the 7th century A. D. This temple has been mentioned by Dandi in his Dasakumāracarsta, who flourished in the 6th century A D. Hiuen Tsang saw a stupa (dagoba) of Asoka near this town I-tsing, another Chinese pilgrim, resided here in the Baraba monastery The present temple of Hari is said to have been built some 500 years after the destruction of the ancient temple of Binduvasini by the action of a river ( Rupanārāvana ).

Nanadsipa: The present railway station of Navadvipagha; is 8 miles from the town of Kṛṣṇanagar in district of Nadia. To the west of this place, on the other side of the Ganges, stands the town of Navadvipa, which is a secred place of

the Vaisnavas. It is so called because it is a combination of nine islands. It is the birth place of Caitanya who was born here in 1485. He preached the doctrine of universal love ( love to all beings ). Buddha also preached it. So did Mahaviralove, love towards the suffering and distressed world, love towards the happy and love towards the criminals. At the age of 24, Caitanyadeva, the great founder of new Vaisnavism in Bengal, left Navadvina and lived the life of a hermit. Ballalasena is said to have built a palace here and the ruins of this palace. known as Ballāladhipi (400 ft long and about 30 ft high) are found on the eastern coast of the Ganges, half a mile to the north of the present Māyāpura, as some portions of this Dhipi on the west side have been washed away by the Ganges. A court of justice was established there by Aśokasena, grandson of Laksmanasena and great-grandson of Ballalasena. At one time it was a great centre of Sanskrit learning and the home of many learned men, e. g., Bāsudeva Sārvabhauma, (a well-known logician ). Raghunātha Śiromani ( an exponent of new logic in Bengal ), Raghunandan Bhattacarva ( the founder of the Davabhaga School of Hindu Law ), and Krananda Agamabagish ( a tantric scholar ). Four learned men e g , Halayudha, Pasupati. Sülapāni and Udavanācārva (philosopher) flourished during the time of Laksmanasena It is still a sacred place to the Hindus.

Santspura: In the district of Nadia stands Santspura on the Ganges. It is the abode of the celebrated Vaisnava reformer Adveitactarya, a contemporary and admirer of Srt Caitanysdeva, an incarnation of Siva and Visnu. It contains the temples of Madanagopäla. Madanamohans, Kālācānd, Syāmacand, etc, Here the celebrated teacher Adveits used to practise penances.

About four miles from Santipura stands the present village of Phutya which is nine miles from Rānāghāt and fifty-four miles from Calcutta It is the birth place of the celebrated Bengali poet Krttivāsa, the author of the Bengali Rāmāyama. The well-

I A sect in Bengal founded by Caityana who was regarded by his followers as an incarnation of Kṛṣṇa. His chief doctrine is the duty of bhakt or love.

known Muslim follower of Caitanyadeva, Yavana Haridāsa, spent his deys here in religious practices. To quote Kṛṭṭivāsa—

" Grāmaratna Phuliyā jagate bākhān:

Daksine paścime vake Gańgālarangini "

It was prosperous at the time of Kritiväsa when the Ganges flowed on its south and west. It reminds one of the famous lines written on the memorial pillar raised at the site.

Hethā dvnottanu!

ādı Kavi Büngālar bhāşā Rumüyunakā:

Kritivāsa labhulā janam i

Surabhila sukavitve Phulyār punyatirths
He patluk, sambhrams pranama u

The sum and substance of these lines is this "Oh traveller! respectfully bow down before this sacred place of Phulipā where was born the composer of the Bengali Rāmāyaṇa, who was the bat of the Brahmans and the foremost of the poets.

Plassey: The Palasi Railway Station in the district of Nadia, v3 miles from Calcutta. The famous battle-field of Plassey is about 2 miles to the west of the Railway Station. The name of this place is derived from the Paläsa trees (Butea Frondosa) which were plenty there. The British under Lord Cilive defeated the army of Straj-ud-daula, the last independent Muslim ruler of Bengai in the mango-grove of the historic battle-field on the 32rd June 157 A. D. This battle has been ably described in verses in the Bengai language in the famous book of Nabin Chandra Sen, Paläsir Yuddha. About 4 or 5 miles from Paläsie we find the tomb of Mir Madan, the General of Siraj-ud-daula.

Adv-Saplagrāma: The remains of ancient Saplagrāma are found near the present railway station called Adv-Saplagrāma, about 27 miles from Calcutta. Saplagrāma was an important city and a port. It is so called because the seven sons of king Priyavrata became sages after practising penances there The place is

chuțila ekți gölä raktıma varan vișam lägila päye sei sümghätik yhäys bhCtale haila Mis Madan patan i

He fell in the battlefield after having received a serious wound in his legs

The poet describes his death thus

frequently mentioned in the mediaeval Bengali texts, e. g. Candimangala of Makundarama, Manasamangala of Bipradasa. Candi of Madhavacarva It is also mentioned in the Pananadutam written by Dhöyl, the court poet of Laksmanasena. It lost its importance as a port owing to the silting of the river-bed of the Sarasyati. In the 9th century A. D. Santagrama was ruled by a powerful Buddhist king named Paramabhattaraka Śrī Śrī Rūpnārāvana Sinha The Egyptian traveller. Ibn Batuta, came here in the 13th century A. D. Saptagrama, the metropolis of Radha or western Bengal was later conquered by Jafar Khan whose tomb is still found at Trivent. Many coins of Muslim rulers. e. g. Sher Shah and Husen Shab have been found here. During the rule of Alauddin Husen Shah of Gauda, it was called Husenābād and was the seat of an imperial mint. In the 16th century A. D. a Hindu chief named Railvalocana conquered it from Sulaiman, the Sultan of Gauda It is the birth-place of the author of the Candi. ' We get a glimpse of its prosperity from Bankımcandra's Kapālakundalā and H. P. Shastri's Bener Mene It is a sacred place of the Vaisnavas being the home of Uddhārand Datta, a follower of Cartanyadeva Nityananda, the right-handman of Caltanya, spent many years in this locality. A mosque and a few tombs are still found here

Vanusaväli: It is in the district of Hooghly where there are three temples of Visun, Käll (Svayambhava) & Hamsesvarl (an aspect of Durgā) The temple of Visun is the oldest. The temple of Hamsesvarl was built in 1814. Close to Vaimsaväii there is a sacred abode of Uddhärana Datta, a celebrated Vaisnava disciple of Caitanyadeva. It is very much frequented by the Vaisnavas specially on the anniversary day of this religious reformer.

Trueni. It is five miles from the present Bundel Junction Station. It is a sacred place of the Hindus, situated at the confluence of the Sarasvati and the Bhisgirathi. The site is ancient as it is found mentioned in Dhöyi's Pavanadūta, a work of the 18th century A D. The Muslim historians cell it Tirpāņi or Firozābād as Firoz Shah, Sultan of Bengal, lived here for sometime. During the Muslim period it was an important city and a

It describes the greatness of Durga. ( Devimahatmya ).

port. The mediaeval Bengali poet Mukundarana mentions it as a sared place, much frequented by the pilgrims. It was once a centre of Sanskrit learning. Here we find the tomb of Jafar Khan, the conqueror of Saptagrama, and close by there is a mosque with the maxims of the Holy Quoran written on it The tomb of Jafar Khan was built over a Hindu shrine containing some inscribed scenes from the Rāmāyapa and the Mahābhārāta

Mahnad: It is in the district of Hooghly and it can be reached by Magra-Tarkeswar Light Railway. It was once the capital of Western Bengal, Mahānad contains the ruins of ancient palaces, old Hindu temples and old tanks. According to tradition it was the sest of king Candraketu, the ruins of whose gad (moat) are still found there. The temple of Dvāravāsnin, old ponds, e.g., Jiyakkunda, Papaharaṇakunda, and Sāt Satiner Dighi are found here. Near the old Saiva temple of Jateśvaranātha we find some tombs. The Jāmāl-Jāṇal Road, the Vasisthagangā, and the Jiyakkunda are noteworthy.

Pänduyñ. It is situated at a distance of 38 miles from Calcutta. It is commonly known as Pedo. It is in the Hooghly District and is quite distinct from Pänduyā of the Malda District. In the 15th century A. D. Samsuddin Isuf Shah, king of Gauda, conquered this Hindu kingdom of Panduyā. It contained many Hindu temples. An ancient Hindu temple dedicated to Sun-God was converted into a mosque. Besides this place contains damaged mosques and a minar which is 127 ft. high. There are two tanks here by the name of Jodapukur and Pirpukur. Every year in the months of January and April fairs are held and many people bathe in the Pirpukur, the water of which is considered to be sacred.

Katwa (Katadvipa): It is in the district of Burdwan, and a sacred place of the Vaisnavas because here Caitanyadeva at the age of twenty-four became a hermit and shaved his hair.

Jhāmaipura: Four miles to the north of Katwa there is a village called Jhāmatpura. It was the dwelling place of Krsna-

Vämdike Hälisahar dakşine Triveni i yätrider kolähale kichus nä šuns ii

dass Kavirai, the celebrated author of the Ari-Cuitama Caritamrta. 1

 $K\bar{a}ln\bar{a}:$ — It is in the district of Burdwan and is considered to be a very sacred place to the Hindus because it was the shode of the famous Vaisnava saints, Sūryadāsa, Gauridāsa, Jagannāthadāsa and Bhagavāndāsa. It is also famous as Ambikā-Kālnā.

Murshidahad :- It is situated at a distance of 122 miles from Calcutta, on the bank of the River Bhagirathi. It was known to the accients as Mukshudābād or Mukshusābād. It was the capital of the last independent ruler of Bengal. This city was well-built by Nawah Murshidkuli Khan who was then the viceroy (subedar) of Beng l. At one time this city was adorned with many magnificent buildings and palaces. It was an extensive city, populous and prosperous. The following are the noteworthy things there:

- (1) Imāmbūrā, which was built by Nawab-Nazim Mansur Ali, it is 680 ft. long;
- (2) Moti Jhd. which contains a beautiful garden, it is now in ruing .
- (3) Hājārduyāri, which was the old palace of the Nawab, a massive structure :
  - (4) Katra Musid.
- (5) Tomb of Nawab Sharfaraz Khan who became the Nawab of Murshidabad for one year after the death of Suja Khan;
  - (6) Tripolia Gate.
  - (7) Jahankosha Cannon
- (8) Topkhānā, which was built by Murshidkuli Khan close to the Katra Musud; and
- (9) Nizomat-Adalat and Sadar Dewani Adalat; no trace of them is now found, on the ruins of these a beautiful palace with a delightful garden has been built.

On the other side of the Ganges flowing through the town of Berhampore stands the tomb of Nawab Shiraz-ud-daula.

Rānoāmātī: It is situated in the District of Murshidabad as distinct from Rangamati of the Chittagong Hill tracts The

<sup>1</sup> It is a famous book of the Valspavas of India. It is a monument of Hindu genius as a work on philosophy and literature. Much has been written in this book on Vaisnava philosophy,

site of Rangamati in Murahidabad lies on the western coast of the Ganges, a mile and a half to the south-east of Chirati, a Raliway Station, 34 miles from Bandel. The soil of this place is red and hard and offers clue to the name of this place. According to some the name is derived from Rakiamyth or Rakiahutti (to-to-wes-chi) the name of an old Buddhist monastery which the Chinese traveller, Huen Tsang, found in Karnasuvarna in the 7th century A.D. Rangamati is thus believed to have been the site of Karnasuvarna. Many coins of the Kusapa and Gupta ages, a few mounds of bricks and elsy called Thakurvadi Danga, Rayadi Danga, R

Pāhadpura: The ruius of Pāhādpur are situated at a distance of three miles to the west of the Jāmālganj Railway Station (B. &. A. Ry.) in the District of Rayshahi. The huge mound of bricks, 80 ft. in height, that stands at Pāhādpur probably gave rise to the name of this place as it looked like a rock. Somapura was its ancient name Situated at a distance of about 30 miles to the northwest of Mahāsthāna or ancient Pundravardhana and southeast of Bāngad or ancient Kotivarsa, there stood an old Buddhist monastery near a promosatery resembles such great monasteries as Barabudar and Prāmbānam monasteries in Java and Angkorvat monastery in Cambodia. In the Buddhist vihāra at Pāhādpura we find a square sancianry with many chambers, each having a courtyard in front and a small portice. A high altar is found probably meant for religious worship.

To the east of this sanctuary we find a little stipa (shrine containing a relic, dagoba) called Satyapire bittā where we find a temple of Tara.\* The Pahadpura monastery was built in the 8th century A. D. under the Pala kings of Bengal. The terracotts

- ! Durgs, the killer of the demon Mahisa.
- Vide The Life of the Buddha on the Stupa of Barabudur by Dr. Krom.
- 8 Vide Indian Architecture (Buddhist and Hindu) by Percy Brown.
  4 Personification of Prayiñaphramită or perfection of wisdom and consort of Avalokteévara, a Mahnyñan Bodhisattva.
  - 2 [ Annals, B. O. R. I. ]

plaques on the walls of the monastery contain the tales of the Paiacatantra' and the Hitopadeta. The stone images of Radha and Krsna, some lovely figures telling the stories of the life of Krsna, slaying of Dhenukāsura, holding of Mt. Govardhana by Srikrsna are found here. The Epic and Pauranic scenes like the fight of Bāli and Sugriva, the death of Bāli, the abduction of Subhadrā, etc., are also found. In the 5th century A. D. there was a Jain temple at Pāhādņura — The famous Tibetan Buddhist scholar, Dīpankara Śrīḥāna, <sup>2</sup> is said to have spent many years under his teacher. Ratnākara Śsīnti, in the Somepura mahavihāra.

Khetud: A village in the district of Rajshahi It was visited by Caitanya in the 16th century A. D. A temple has been built here to commemorate his visit.

Mahāsthūnagad The present ruins of Mahāsthāna or Mahāsthanagad lie 7 miles north of the modern town of Bogra. Cunningham identifies this site with the ancient city of Pundravardhana, the name of which occurs in a Brahmanic inscription of the Maurya age. During the 4th, 5th and the 6th centuries A. D. when India was ruled by the Imperial Guptas. Pundravardhanabhūkti was a Gupta province under a vicerov who had the title of Unarika. The river Karatovā which still washes the base of the mounds of Mahasthana separated it from the more easternly kingdom of Pragivotisa or Kamarupa in Assam Pundrayardhana was visited by Hiuen Tsang in the 7th century A. D. According to the Chinese pilgrim this country was more than 4000 li in circuit and its capital more than 30 li 2 (5 miles ). To the west of the capital there was a magnificent Buddhist establishment and near it stood an Asoka tope The city lost its importance from the third quarter of the 12th century A. D. for the later Sena kings of Bengal shifted their capital first to Deopärä in the Raishahi district and later to Gauda in the Maldah district. Towards the end of the 13th or the beginning of the 14th century A. D Pundravardhana was occupied by the Mahommedans.

<sup>1</sup> A collection of moral tales written in Sanskrit from which the Hito-padesa is partly taken.

<sup>2</sup> Went to Tibet. He belonged to East Bengal. Author of Caryāgīts and Dipahkara Śrijāāna-gitikā, etc.

<sup>\*</sup> li-a chinese mile, equal to more than 1rd of an English mile.

There was a village called Vasu Bihāra, 4 miles to the west of Mahāshān, which, according to Cunningham, was the site of the well-known monastery called Po-sh-po, by the Chinese pilerim.

The following are the important things found at Mahāsthāna: a battered Jain, statue, ruins of Hindu and Buddhist shrines; and later tombs and mosques.

Bangad. The ruins of Bangad or Bannagara are found on the eastern bank of the river Punarbhava, one and a half mile to the north of Gangarampur which is 18 miles south of Dinamur The region round modern Gangarampur was called Damdama during the muslim period and it may be identical with Kotikapura or ancient Devkot, the capital of Kotivarsa in northern Bengal. Bangad, according to tradition, was the site of the fortified town of the demon king. Bana, whose wife Kalarani is said to have a tank dug called Kaladighi at Gangarampura Besides there are other tanks such as Taldighi and Dhaladighi. Of the ancient buildings and monuments we have no trace at present. A Kamboja king of Gauda built a temple of Siva. According to the copper plate inscription of king Mahipāla I discovered at Bāngad, Mahipala regained his lost paternal kingdom. Some of the old relics of Bangad are now kept in the Dinapur palace. Here we find a richly carved stone pillar made of touch-stone, a Siva temple and a Buddhist caitya (shrine or temple) of about the 11th century A. D.

Tarpanghāt: An important village in the district of Dinaspur. Here Välmiki, the celebrated author of the Itāmāyana practised penances. Near by there is a brick bult stāpa known as Sliākot which is said to have been the abode of Sliā, the banished wife of Ramenadra

Gauda: Gauda was the capital of Bengal during the Hindu and Muslim periods. According to some the name is derived from gauda, i. e., molasses as Gauda was formerly a trading centre of molasses. The ruins of Gauda lie at a distance of ten miles to the south west of the modern town of Malda. It was an ancient town as its name occurs in the Epics and the Puranss. It was the capital of Devapala, Mahandrapala, Adisure, Ballatasens and the Mahamedan rulers up to shout the end of the

16th century A. D. It formed a part of the kingdom of the Imperial Guptas during the 4th, 5th and 6th centuries A. D. There is no trace at present of Ramavati, the capital of ancient Gauda under Pala rulers. It lay several miles to the north of the present site of the mins of Gauda near the river Kalindi. Laksmanavati or Laknauti which was built by king Laksmanasena was the later capital of Gauda under Sena and Muslim rulers. King Ballālasena built a castle at Gauda which goes by the name of Ballalabadi or Ballalabhita. The ruins of this fort are found at Shahdullapur. One of the higgest tanks in Bengal known as Sagardighi is attributed to him. Near the present site of Gauda stands the ancient village of Ramakeli which was visited by Caitanyadeva. The abodes of Rupa and Sanatans, 1 the Rupasagara tank, the Kadamba tree, some wells known as Rādhākunda, Śvāmakunda, Lalitākunda and Višākhākunda and the ancient temple of Madanamohana are now found there. There is another village called Khalimpur near the site of Gauda. A. copper plate inscription of king Dharmapala of the Pala dynasty of Bengal has been discovered here. The following relies of the Muslim age are noteworthy .-

- 1  $J \bar{a} \mathbf{n} J \bar{a} \mathbf{n}$  meah mosque built by Sultan Giyasuddin Mahmud Shah.
- 2 The  $D\bar{a}hhd$  Durw $\bar{a}j\bar{a}$  or the gate of the ancient muslim fort of Gauda.
- 3 The well-known Sonā mosque (  $Bardu\bar{a}n$  ) a square building built of stone
  - 4 Ruins of Haveli Khas ( or the ancient capital )
  - 5 Tomb of Sultan Husain Shah built of coloured bricks.
  - 6 Feroze muar (high and massive structure).
- 7 Kadam Rasul mosque built by Sultan Nasiruddin Nasrat Shah in the 16th century A D containing 4 minarets of black stone and footprints of the Prophet
  - 8 Chikā wosque
- 9 The famous Loton mosque built of various coloured bricks (white, green, blue and yellow).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> They were the disciples of Caitanya who were sent by him to preach the cult of loving faith to Brindaben to discover the holy places where Sri Kṛṣṇa engaged himself in lilā or sports.

Besides these there are other noteworthy objects, e. g., the temples of Gaudeśvari. Jaharāvāsini, Śiva-the manaskāmanā deitv. Ramābhitā and Pātālacandi.

Pānduyā: The ruins of Pānduyā lie to the east of the river Mahānandā in the district of Malda. A clear trace of Hindu relies is found at Pānduyā in a dilapidated oulvert with images of Hinau deities beneath it Many remains of the Muslim age are found at this site, e.g., Selām:-Dargā, Asānsāh Dargā, Bask-Hāzār Dargā, Eldakhh and Sonā mosques, and the Admā mosque which is the most famous

Vikrampura: It lies in the Munshigani sub-division of Dacca A portion of it is included in the Faridpur District. The name Vikrampura is generally applied to the tract of country bounded by the Dhalesvari on the north, the Idilpur pargaps on the south. the Mechna on the east and the Paima on the west. The name of this place is derived from a king named Vikrama who ruled it for sometime. Ramanala, the ancient capital of Vikramapura. lay 3 miles west of Munshigani. The name Sri Vikramanura occurs in the Sitähäti Copper Plate Inscription of Ballalasena. A copper plate inscription of the Buddhist king Sri Candradaya of the Candra dynasty has been discovered here. Rāmapāla, the birth place of Stlabhadra, the principal of the famous Buddhist University of Nalanda, was the eastern headquarters of the Hindu kings of Bengal for sometime. The ruins of a palace called Ballālabādi, many ancient ponds called Rāmapāladighi, Ballāla dighi, etc and many Hindu and Buddhist deities of the Pala period have been found at Vikramapura. To the north of Ramapala in a village a mosque of Adam Sahid is found. The village of Valrayogini lying on the south west corner of Ramapala was the birth place of the Buddhist savant. Dipankara Śrijana, who was born in the 10th century A. D.

Mandmata and Laiman ranges Mainamati is about 6 miles west of the present town of Comilla The Laiman and Mainamati rocks are situated in the district of Tippera in East Bengal. The name Mainamati is probably associated with Mayanamati, the queen of Main Candra, a king of the Candras who ruled Bengal

<sup>1</sup> The deity who fulfils human desires.

in the 10th and 11th centuries A. D. This queen and her son Gopicandra figure largely in Bengali folk-songs. Queen Mayanamati seems to have been a disciple of Goraknatha, a great Śaiva vogi while her son was a disciple of a low caste siddha ( perfected one ). A copper plate inscription of the 13th century A. D. found at Mainamati records gift of a piece of land by the king Ranavankamalla Harikaladeva to a Buddhist monastery at Pattikera. An officer of the royal groom is mentioned as embracing Sahajayana Buddhism 1 at Pattikeraka A village of the Tippera district which extends up to the Mainamati hills even now retains the name Patikara or Paitkara. The existence of the kingdom of Pattikers may be traced as far back as the 8th century A. D. It was situated in ancient Samatata Coins similar to those of the Candra dynasty and terracotta plaques, with figures of Arakanese and Burmese men and women, have been found at Mainamati In these coins the name of Patikera occurs. It appears that there was an intimate relation between Burma and the kingdom of Pattikera. Ranavankamalla Harikāladeva was a chieftain of this place while the Devas were then the independent rulers. The Pattikeraka vihāra of the Pāla period was an important monastery A mound at Mainamati known as the ruins of Ananda Raja's palace seems to be a monastery. Some rulers of the Candra dynasty, e g, Sr! Candra, Gobinda Candra, Suvarna Candra, Purna Candra, etc., mentioned in the inscriptions ruled eastern and southern Bengal between A D. 900 and 1050 with Robitagiri as their capital. Robitagiri probably included the present Lalmai hills, 5 miles to the west of Comilla

The naked-stone image of a Jaina Tirthankara (head of a sect) found at Maināmāti, shows the influence of Jainism in this region. The discovery of such deities as Ganesa, Hara-Gauri,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Sahagayan, better Sahaganddh was the latest phase of Buddhim uneastorn India. It are notes as protest against unnecessary rituals, dhim un eastorn India. It are notes as protest against unnecessary rituals, excessive academic seal, the toutres, mantres, matres, matre

Vasudeva, shows the influence of Hinduism there. Of some mounds situated at Mainamati Anandaraja's palace, Bolgaraja's palace, Candimura, Rapabamura, Sabhantaja's palace are noteworthy. In one of these mounds we find temples of Siva and Candt. A square monastery like that at Phindipura existed there The central temple contains on its walls projecting mouldings, lotus petals, etc. Many carved terracotta plagues which con tain the figures of Yakklusi', Kimpurusas, Gandkurus, Yudyadharus, 'Kumarus, Buddan, Padamajan, warriors, animals, lotus flowers, etc. have been discovered. The potteries found there are mostly 10 ruins. Some small bronze images of the Buddha have also been found.

Candramilla. In the vicinity of Sitakunda there are the famous temples of Candranatha and Sambhunatha, in the district of Chititagong. which are much frequented by pilgrims from all parts of Bengal. The peak of Candranatha is regarded as a place beloved of Siva. The shrine on the top of the hill contains a lingum or symbolical representation of Siva and the ascent to it is said to redeem the pilgrim from the miseries of future births. The largest gathering takes place at the Siva Calurduif settival.

Sundarban: The forest region of Sundarban was formerly included in the kingdom of Samatata or Bāgdi (Vyāghratati). The Chinese pilgrim, Hiuen Tsang, saw many Hindu, Buddhist and Jain temples at Samatata in the 7th century A. D but no trace of them has yet been found. Some decorated bricks, fragments of stone sculptures, coins of Skandagupta and Huviska, an image of Sürya and a Navagraha slab, etc., have been discovered here.

They are supernatural bongs, detities of varying ranks. They are benevolent and are closely connected with vegetation, human fertility and wealth. They are essentially tree-spirits and they possess magic power, each cuttle of Yakkhas may be described as an early form of devotional Hindelian, perhaps going back to a period in latory contemporary with the Vedas. The individual Yekkhas are for the most part local and tutelary detities.

Wild men of the woods same as Kinnaras.

<sup>2</sup> A class of Demigods, heavenly Musicians.

Demigods who are magicians.

# AN UNNOTICED ASPECT OF GAUDAPADA'S MANDŪKYA KARIKAS

BY P T. Raiu

There have already been many articles on Gaudapada and his  $K\bar{u}rik\bar{u}s$ : but all of them have been occupied either with proving that he was a Buddhist, or that he was a vedantin who was greatly influenced by Buddhist ideas, which he incorporated into the Vedanta, or that neither was he a Buddhist nor was he influenced by Buddhist ideas. Pandit Vidhusekhar Sastri practically maintained the extreme position that Gaudapada wrote the last chapter of his Karıkas to develop the Upanisadio theory into the Buddhist Vizāānavāda, thereby showing the superiority of the latter to the former. 1 Of course, it may easily be shown that Gaudapada was not a Buddhist, that the Kārīkās, or even the fourth chapter was not meant to show the superiority of the Vijnanavada to the Vedanta. Even the fourth chapter praises Vipras 2 or Brahmans and speaks of brahmanyam midam 3 It is true that Buddha very often spoke of the Brahmans with respect and that Asanga, the author of Mahauanasulralamkura, spoke of the realisation of the Brahman as the highest achievement. But this only proves the influence of the Vedanta on Buddlism, that some of the Upanisadic ideas were securing a place in Buddhist thought. If Gaudapada had really been a Buddhist and wanted to show that the Vinanavada was truer than the Vedanta, he would not have used Vedantic terms. Besides, the word Aga, which Gaudapada so glorifies, 1s. though it means anulpanna, particularly a Vedantic term. Just as it is said that Samkara explains away the term buddler, it may be said that the Buddhist interpretation of the Kārikās will have to explain away Aga. Further, it is not reasonable to take the

Proceedings of the Oriental Conference, 1928

Stanza 86. Stanza 85.

fourth chapter apart from the other three chapters. And if Gaudapäda defends the Vedänta in the latter, he must be doing the same in the former. And there is no evidence to show that he changed his mind later after writing the first three chapters or that he meant the first three chapters to be only stupping stones for proving the final validity of the Vijanuvaida.

But we cannot so easily controvert the opinion that Gaudapāda was greatly influenced by the Vijnānavāda. It may be shown that the word windna, even in the sense of the highest reality, is common to both the Upanisads 1 and Buddhism, though, in the former wifiding is more often used with reference to minamayakośa and buddh. But there are many other words which are distinctly technical (parbhasika) terms of Buddhist philosophy Dharma in the sense of a phenomenal thing, bhava in the sense of a perishable thing, a dhatu in the sense of vastu, buddha in the sense of awakened, cannot easily be explained away as non-Buddhistic also. Particularly the words buddha and dharma in the peculiarly Buddhistic sense occur too often. There are other words also like samurti satua. samahāta, 6 alātašānti, which is the heading of the fourth chapter. asparšayoga, 7 and laksanāšūnyam, 8 which are common to the Advaits also. And it may be admitted that the sentence. natadbuddhena bhastom, can be interpreted both according to the Advaita and the Vijnanavada. But on the whole, the influence of the Vinānapāda is very obvious. Also, it is likely that Gaudapada was converted to Buddhism first or might have been a born Buddhist before he accepted Vedantism and gave it his own interpretation. There is also another possibility, which we shall have to accept in the absence of any definite evidence in favour of other possibilities, namely, there must have been a ferment of ideas both in the Buddhist and Vedantic folds, due to mutual criticism and discussion, and what appeared to be the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Möndükya Körikös, IV, 45, 48, 50, etc., Brhadöranyaka Upenişad III, 9, 28 чунбанайнандат brahma. Also op. III, 4, 2 чунйствіңайтат but not merely vіңййцтвінаўнаўнай правода п

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> IV, 6, 8, 10, 21, 46, 53, etc., etc. <sup>2</sup> II, 1, 13, 16, 19, <sup>4</sup> IV. SI. <sup>5</sup> II, 1, 4; IV, 33, 57, 73, 74, <sup>6</sup> III, 3, 10, <sup>7</sup> III, 39; IV, 2,

<sup>8</sup> IV, 67. 9 IV, 99.

<sup>\$ [</sup>Annals, B. O. R. I. ]

most cogent ideas might have been incorporated, with slight differences, by both schools. But in the development of metaphysical systems, so far as available literature goes, Buddhism seems to have taken the lead. The Prainaparamitas. out of which both the Madhyamika and the Vijnanavada schools sprung up, are earlier than Nagarjuna (2nd century A D.) But the Pranagaranutas themselves must have developed out of ideas borrowed from the Upanisads The Buddhists must have entered into controversies with the Vedantins during and before the time of the Pranaparamtas, after which the former must have brought together the results of the controversies in a somewhat systematic form And as shown by Badaravana's references to earlier Vedantins, the Upanisadic ideas also must have been grouped together in some systematic form by Kasakrtsns and others But these are lost for us, and were lost probably even for Gaudapada, who makes no reference to them The Brahmasutras themselves required interpretation and systematisation And Gaudapada, therefore, must have approached the Upanisads themselves from the side of the Vinanarada. which might have appeared as the best metaphysical system of the time, nearest to the Upanisadic teachings. The Mandulyu contains the central teaching of the I panisads and constitute the inner approach to the philosophical problem for all orthodox systems. Gaudapada therefore must have attempted to interpret the Mandukya itself from the side of the Virnanavada, which was incorporated into the Vedanta.

II

What has so far been discussed has been noticed by a number of scholars, and the discussion is briefly given here only so far as it concerns the purpose of the piesent paper. Now, there is another aspect of the Kūrikūs, which seems to have escaped notice so far. The ferment of philosophic ideas of the age must have contained not only the Upanisadic and Buddhist ideas but also the Saivite. It is difficult to say that the Saivite ideas are not Upanisadic; but they have a peculiar quality or colour of their own. They have their own terminology. That the world is the epands of Siva or Siva's Sakti is a theory peculiar to Saivism.

Gaudanada uses the word spandu in as many as six stanzas.1 At one place he speaks of the world as the spanda of manas," at another as the spanda of citta, and in three places as wynamaspanda,4 and compares all these to alātaspanda.5 Manas and citta may be taken to mean the same for the Karikas Then the world has to be understood as the spanda of unfilling or citia, so far as the teaching of the Karrkas is concerned. Gaudapada uses the word Maua more than once . but he does not speak of the spanda of Mava. And for him, Mava is not existent. Anvway, he must have meant that cuttaspands and viñānaspanda are the same, though not directly at least indirectly. He asserts also that the spanda and its effects cannot outer mmana, which is acala 8 ( We may indeed raise the question how minding, which is acala, can have any spunda: to which we cannot find a direct arever, or the only answer possible, consistent with the avativada. is that even the spanda of windna is Māvā, which is not sat ( na vuluate ) Vidyāranya probably would have said that this spanda is the salds of cyrana, and that spands has no existence means that it is not a separate entity from winding " It is not necessary now to go farther into this ultimate logical question; we are interested only in showing that Gaudapada uses the word spanda in a very significant sense Just as we see straight lines, curved lines, circles etc. so long as there is alātaspanda, we see the world so long as there is wyninaspanda. And just as, when the spenda of the alata ceases, there figures do not enter the alata; when the spanda of manage ceases, the world of forms due to that spanda does not enter windna. That is, windna as such is pure it is mnänamätra. 10

III

Such a significant usage of the concept of spanda makes us think that Gaudapāda was influenced not only by the ideas of the Vijāmarāda but also by the spanda doctrine. The question may now be raised whether this spanda doctrine was incorporated

<sup>1</sup> III, 29, IV, 47, 48, 49, 51, 72. 2 III, 29 . IV, 72.

<sup>&</sup>quot; IV, 51, 52. Bee Pancada 1, II, 47

<sup>10</sup> Of Samkara's Commentary on Karika, IV, 52. Vijnanamatre jätyudibuddhirmreasua.

from Saivism or from some other independent system, for it is not to be found in the principal Upanisads. Even the Švetāšvatara, which is Śaivite, does not speak of spanda, though it speaks of Maya And we have no evidence to prove the existence of a non-Saivite spanda system. If we therefore take it as belonging to Saivism, we may further ask whether it is the same as the Kasmir snanda system expounded by Vasugunta and his followers. We know that Gaudapada is earlier than Vasugupta, who belonged to about the first half of the 9th century A. D. Even Samkara, the grand disciple of Gaudanada, belonged to the 8th century A. D. Gaudapada therefore cannot be much later than the 7th Sir S. Radhakrishnan, in his Indian Philosophy. writes: "He must be much earlier, since Walleser states that the Karıka is quoted in the Tibetan translation of Bhayaviveka's Tarkajvālā. The latter author is earlier than Yuan Chwang, and Gaudapada must be therefore about A D. 550 1" If this is true. then Gaudapada must have lived about one and half centuries after Asanga, the famous windmanadan and the author of Mahayanasütrülamkara. In any case, he is undoubtedly earlier than Vasugupta, the first well-known exponent of the spanda doctrine

We have therefore to think that the spindal doctrine must have been current, in some form or other, during that time of Gaudapada. And this spindal doctrine has very close resemblance to that expounded by Yasugupta. The ultimate lattim for Gaudapada is upiāna, and for Yasugupta, is Siva whose nature is pāāna. Even the original Upanisad speaks of the fourth state as Siva, which of course need not be identified with the Siva of Saivism, and Gandapāda also speaks of the ātiman as Siva, Prahhu, Jšina, Jhorn and so forth Just as the Mānjālgajārirkās are based on the interpretation of the three states of wakefulness, dram and deep sleep, the Spindakārikās are based on their interpretation, 'the only difference being that the former maintain that the fourth state (tariyālavihā) is identical with Siva, while the latter contend that there is a fourth state beyond the three, which is still mobālīmika,' and that only in the fifth state can we be identical

Vol II, p. 452 footnote
 I, 29.
 I, 2, 14, II, 1, 2 etc.
 See the Vivrti of Rämskautha, II, 9.

with Siva. Both are thus based on the explanation of the three states Even the Mandukyakarıkas speak of bijamdra or sleep which the matrix of the world 1 It is well known that, according to Saivism. Mānāšaktı, out of which the world issues forth, is the Nidrā of Siva. The Spandakārikās speak of Siva as anāvria,3 which is the same as asumirta. The Mandukyakarikas also speak of samerts.3 The idea of samerts satua is innately connected with these ideas. One feels that the avaranasakh is the same as this samarana or samera and the eaksepasaka the same as spanda Or these ideas might have some common root ideas. Verylikely. there were some common ideas belonging to the philosophical atmosphere of the time, and they gradually developed and took some definite shapes in the different systems. However, Gaudapāda speaks of icchāmūtram prabhossrsti\* as not a verv tenable view, and is perhaps refuting the theory that the world is due to the acchasalti of Siva And we can understand him, because he is interested in proving that  $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$  is not sat, whereas those that maintain that the world is a parinama of Siva's Sakti, hold that it is sat. But we cannot clinch this point, because Abhinavagupta, who belongs to the same Kasmir school of Saivism. Writes

> Samsīrost na tallvalustumibrītīm bandhusya vāriauvi kā bandho yasyu na jõtu lasya vitalhā muklasya muklikmyā muthyāmohakrdesa rajjubhujugacchāyājuhācabhramo mu kunestyaja mā grhāna vidasa sosstlo vaihāmsshidab'

and practicially supports Gaudapāda every way. For this stanza Involves ajūtīvāda, the view that the world is not existent, and that it is bhrama (māṇā). The Spindalārkās describe the fourth stanc as a meat ūkūšu (mahūvyoman), which though devoid of the duality of subpect and object, is yet mokūmukā and āvrīā, because of the absence of tivan staktūpūtu. But as there is no such fourth state in the Māṇākaya, the highest itself is compared to pure whāta Such comparison is very common in both the Upanusads and Buddhist works, and is not paculiar to any. But an important point to note in this connection is that the fourth state of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I, 13 <sup>2</sup> I, 2. <sup>3</sup> II, 1, 4, IV, 33, 57, 73, 74. <sup>1</sup> I, 8. <sup>4</sup> Anuttaraştıka, <sup>6</sup> See Ramakantha's Comm. on II, 9.

the Siandakārkāta is generally equated by the Kašmir Šaivrtes to the Sūnya of the Mādhyamıkas, whose highest truth is thereby shown to be lower than that of the spanda system.\footnote{This shows that Kašmir Šaivism tried, in a way slightly different from that of Gaudapāda, to incorporate some of the important ideas of Buddhism Or perhaps while Gaudapāda tried to absorb vyāānavāda, the spanda system of Kašmir tried to absorb both vyāānavāda and šāmyavāda.

The point of our present interest is that Gaudapada incorporated the spanda doctrine into his philosophy, and this spanda doctrine significantly resembles the spanda doctrine of Kasmir, which was much later. It is believed by some that the Kasmir Saivadvaita sprung up after Samkara's visit to Kasmir in the 8th century? But the Mandukyakarskas show that the spanda ideas must have been current in India at least a century before Samkaia. Hence it cannot be true that the spanda ideas took shape only after Samkara. But the earlier Salva teachers of Klismir, influenced by the Advaita of Samkara might have imported spanda ideas from outside. But the greatest possiblity is that they entered Kasmir, if they entered from outside at all, along with Samkara. who must have inherited the teachings of the Mandakuakarikas from his paramaguru, Gaudapada If such is the truth, then the spanda system, though it developed in Kasmir, might have originated outside Kasmir And the ideas must have been current in the country to which Gaudapada belonged

It is said that the family cult of Sankara was Saktism and that he was worshipping Siva So both Saivism and Saktism must be existing throughout India by that time and also by the time of Gaudapāda. We read that Lakuli, the founder of Pasupata, belonged to the 1st century A. D., and that all the sects of Saivism originated from his teachings And Gaudapāda's teaching shows that the spanda doctrine must have been existent in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Abbinavagupta's Pratyabhijānshariani, Vol. II, p. 234. Interestingly concept, K. M. Sen points out that shippe attends for the highest turth in a number of Savis and Sakts stattes. See his "Conception and Development of the Stinya Doctrine in Medieval India", Proceedings of the Oriental Conference 1989.

<sup>8</sup> K. C Pandey . Abhinavagunta, p 91

Sir S. Radhakrishnan . Indian Philosophy, Vol. II. p. 418,

the 7th century, if not in the 6th or even earlier. The period from the 1st century A. D right up to about the 7th must have been an age of intense philosophical activity in India. when there must have been a great ferment of ideas and attempts at system making The Prainaparametas, which are earlier than Nagarjuna, must be assigned at least the 1st century A D. The Sütras of Vyāsa, Kanāda and Gautama must have belonged to about the 2nd and the 3rd centuries. From about the 2nd onward. Mahāvāna systems were developing, though the Mahāyana itself might have been formed earlier. The Mahayana avatems must have been giving a great impatus to the development of metaphysical systems in the orthodox fold. Through mutual criticism and borrowing, the current schools were developing their systems, and making them more more adequate to a common fund of innate spiritual experiences. Saivism also must have developed its spanda doctrines to an appreciable extent even by the time of Gaudapāda. The fact that Gaudapāda, though later than Bādarāyana, makes no reference to his Sūtras, indicates that he thought out his system independently and constructed it with elements from the Upanisads, the Vijaanavada and the spanda doctrine. And perceiving that the origin of most of these ideas could be traced to the Upanusads and the rest developed out of them, he professed to be a smarta. But later, his disciples and their disciples might have noticed the kinship of his ideas to earlier advaits and brought his ideas into relationship with the Brahmasūtras That Gaudapāda developed his theories independently of the Brahmasūtras and in consonance with the Upanisads may be one of the reasons why Samkara's Bhasya on the Sutras appears to be more in agreement with the Upanisads than with the Sūtras. Gaudapāda himself must have known these famous Sutras, but somehow he ignored them.

J There are some who say that all these are much earlier. In any case, the period must have been one of mutual influence and ferment of ideas, particularly due to the growth of Buddhism, which was heterodox and yet was developing good logic and metaphysics.

The conclusion of this discussion is obvious: Gaudapāda was a smārta Vedantin and not a Buddhist. He utilised not only the ideas of the Viyāāmavāda but also of spanda, which must have been current by his time The spanda doctrine could not have originated in Kafmir as late as the 8th or the 9th century, but must be earlier and must have belonged at least to the country where Gaudapāda was living. (Or was Gaudapāda himself the originator of that doctrine?) The Buddhist metaphysics was overwhelmed not only by the Vedānta but also by Śaivism, both of which incorporated the Mahāyāna ideas And each of the three was borrowing not only metaphysical ideas but also spiritual experiences from the other two There might also have been a fund of spiritual experience common to all, which none was abla

to deny in controversies.

#### NOTES ON A FEW WORDS

Вч

#### BIMALACHARAN DEB

1

The student of Sanakrit is set an interesting problem when he finds two or more words, which he had been told were synonymous, used in one single passage. It is only natural that he thinks they are not really synonymous as he had been told, and that there must be some distinction between them so as to ustify their juxtaposition, and this starts him on an enquiry.

The enquiry thus started is often a baffling one. He finds that, either there is no commentary available, or, if there is one, it reminds him of the well-known gibe and utilities. Moreover, he finds that the farther a commentator (or lexicographer) is away in point of time from the book in relation to which information is sought, the more likely he is to be uncertain or mistaken as to the meanings of words, and sometimes the wrong explanation is due to obsession of class interests or to ignorance of the particular branch of knowledge regarding which he is giving information. I shall have occasion to illustrate these points by citing actual cases as I go on. The kośas, and modern Dictionarice and commentaries (which, more often than not, rely very much on the kośas), are, accordingly, sometimes disapponating and sometimes positively confusing.

Another difficulty which confronts the student is किएक्स्प्रसात. Another difficulty which confronts the student is किएक्स्प्रसात. And and vertent (or incompetent) editor perpetuates it in print, and the error, almost as a matter of course, finds its way into a Dictionary. I shall here give one of the instances which have come to my notice.

Mahābhārata 4. 4. 26 ( C. P. ) reads in the text नाइमस्य पियोऽस्मीति सन्य भेषत पढिता: and in the Nilakantha tha पढिता सिल्हेंक कवी इति विश्व ; Medini ( Calcutta. 1869) also rends पढिता 'सिल्हेंक कवी,

4 [ Annals, B. C R. I ]

That made me think (apart from its being incongruous in the context), even supposing पंदित may be synonymous with सि, how does सिन्दर (which is a balsam or gum) come in? Looking up पंदित in Apte, I find one of the meanings given there is "incense". Monier Williams also gives the same meaning, "incense, L"—"L" meaning "lexicographer", i.e., giving as his authority some lexicographer, whom, however, he does not name, (a very unsatisfactory practice with M. W.), but it seems practically certain that he is referring to Visva or Medint or both Thereafter I look up Śabdakalpadruma and find, s v. सिंहर, two of its synonyms करि (and not करि), and पिंदात (not पंदित । That explains tt—Totan सिंदर क्यों has become दित- सिंहर के की। But this error has gone into Apte and Monier Williams. One would he-sitate to object if Apte and Monier Williams are cited as authorities on the noint

So much on the negative side

On the positive side, I have learnt from all this the deep truth of the sayings नैक्यदानि निवंपात् (Nuukta 2.3 4) and अंथों हि अथांतरस्य दीका and of that sloka in Susruta Samhitā. 1 4 6 —

# एकं शास्त्रमधीयानो न विवाच्छास्रनिश्वयम् । तस्माद् बहुश्रुतः शास्त्रं विजानीयाश्विकिन्सकः॥

That is to say, Remember the context always,—and, Cast your net wide if you are really anxious to know the correct meaning of a word.

With these words, I would set down what I have found about certain words --

# I वसा, मेदस्.

We find बसा and मदम mentioned together in बसामेडोबहा कुल्या नामानां संवयतिता Mb 1 53 12 (CP)=1, 48, 12 (B, O, R, L) बसामेदोबहा कुल्यासन्त्र पीरना च वापक । जगाम परमां तृति दर्शवामास चाऽर्श्वनम् ॥ Mb 1. 231, 6 (CP)=1 285 6 (B O, R L).

Bhima's गदा is described as वसामेदोपदिग्धांगीम Mb 9, 11, 52 (CP).

What is the distinction between,वसा, and भेदम ' Nilakantha does not say anything at any of these places

Let us see, first, what lexicographers say :-

Amarikośa, 2 6 64 saya दुकाधामां दूवर्ष हुन्येवस् वया यथा This passage has been read in two different ways.— (1) दुकाधामां दूवर्ष हुन, भेदस्य यथा समा This is the reading of Mahesvara in bis tika to Amarikośa. (2) दुकाधामां दूवर्ष हुन्येस्त यथा यथा This is the reading avidentiv adopted by Sabdakalpadriums. because, in explaining यथा, it says साथ दृद्यव्यवाद्ध (see s. प्या) Gangsdhara Kavirāja, a renowned vaidya of Bengal, in his tika to Caraka Samhita (published Samvat 1925—1937), called 'Jalpakalpataru', definitely adopts this reading द्वन्येस्त यथा वथा वथा

Leaving used the first portion as not material for our present purpose, my view is that both the readings of the latter portion (विश्व बचा बचा and हुन्देश्च बचा बचा) are wrong. This is not surprising. Amars Simba, a Jain, cannot be expected to know much about महल or चरा or चरा. If may seem presumptions on my part to say that Amarakośa is wrong here, but I shall go further and say that this is not the only place where I have found it to be wrong. I shall show at the proper time and place where it is wrong and why. As regards a famous commentator also, I think he is wrong on a certain point and for a similar reason. This also I shall state at the proper time and place.

Apte says, s. v. भेदस, n.—"1. Fat, marrow (one of the seven dhatus of the body and supposed to lie in the abdomen) M. S. 3, 182, Y. 1, 44: 2. Corpulence, fat of the body

That Apte is wrong in saying "marrow" is beyond question; marrow is सज्जा His. "fat of the body" is much wider than the fat "supposed to lie in the abdomen", and this latter is also vague.

Monier Williams gives as one of the meanings of भेदम् n., "Fat, marrow, lymph (as one of the 7 dhātus, q v.; its proper seat is said to be the abdomen)".

Monier Williams is similarly wrong in saying "marrow"; and "lymph" seems unjustified He also limits it to the abdomen.

Now, let us see how the matter stands so far as अयोग is concerned, i. e., how the words in question are used in literature It is good to remember the maxim अयोगझरणा वैपाकरणा : Manu, 3. 182, mentions भेष्य, but unfortunately Medhatithi does not explain it nor does Kullüka, Bühler (S. B. E.), however, translates it as "adipose secretions"

Yajūavalkya Smrti, 1. 44, mentions सेदम्, but Mitāksarā does not explain it. Yaj Sm., 3, 94, mentions वरावसायहननय Here Mitāksarā says वर्षा परिदा ससा सांसर्गह . We keep aside वर्षा for the present According to Mitāksarā, then, बसा is the fat in the flesh, 1 e, the adipose tissue

Devanus Bhatta, in his Smrttcan'riks ( ed. Gharpure), Part III, p. 135, I 18, quote, बमानदंग्य र्वाचन and explains— बमा पाकादिना विश्वकां मोमखेड: । मेदों इद्यकमाङाञ्चादकादिमोतिकोयः पाकादिना विश्वकः । नदीपक्रेडाऽन मेदाशक्षेत्र उर्थयते । Thus according to Smr Ca., both बच्च and मेदस are lound in the body, and both are procured by 'cooking'. There is, however, this distinction between the two—the former is 'the fat in the flesh', i. e, adipose tissue (मोसन्देड'), and the latter is 'the fat in the covering of the heat' (perioardium).

Devanna Bhatta's explanation of बसा seems to gain support from Sayana in his Commentary to Taitt. Sam 1 3 10 1, where he says मांसपाकभांडे स्थितः सेहात्मको दवविशेषो बसा.

Now let us look to medical works, which ought to be more definite on the point in question than the class of books just referred to.

We find Caraka Sambită, 4 6 12, saying नस्मान्यांसमाध्याच्यते मांसन...मदो भद्रसा, तसा तसया and further saying at 4.7.17, when describing the components of a body and their quantities, अयो तसाया, ही भेद्रसा, एकी मज्ज्ञ:

So, according to Ca. Sam., बसा and सेदम are different from each other.

But, Ca Sam., at 1. 25 22-30, ın discussing the nutritive properties of certain foods, says ङोडिनशाख्यः शूक्षगरायांना वस्यतसस्य अंतरात्रा अवस्यतास्य अंतरात्रा अवस्यतास्य अंतरात्रा अवस्यतास्य अंतरात्रा अवस्य अवस्य अंतरात्रा अवस्य अवस्

This latter passage seems to disturb the above statements in the same book (4, 6, 12, 4, 7, 17) which clearly treat चना and सदम as two different hings. Any way, we are not told by Ca. Sam, exactly what चना and चेदन are or is.

Ca Sem is a 'Physician's Haudbook'. Let us see Susruta Samhita, which is a "Surgeon's Haudbook' and ought to tell more about it.

Suéruta Samhitá, 3, 4, 11-13, esya मेदों हि सर्वभूनानाम् उदरस्थम् अण्डस्थिषु च महत्तु मज्जा भवति । भवति चाऽन-स्थ्रुठमस्थिषु विशेषण मज्जा न्वभ्येतराश्रिताः । अथेतरेषु सर्वेषु सरक्तं मेद उच्यते। शुद्धसांसस्य प्रः क्षेद्धः सा वसा परिकातिता ॥

So.-FIRE (marrow) is the "fat" in the big bones.

मन्म is the "fat" in the abdomen (i.e., inside the abdominal cavity, meaning the orientum and the abdominal viscera in general) and in the smaller bones.

बसा is the "fat," in the flesh, i. e., adipose tissue (excluding, of course, the abdominal viscers).

Accordingly, -- मेदम् and वसा are both " fat ", but of different kinds altogether.

In thise circumstances, Amarakoża must be wrong in so far as it makes atm synonymous with iatm. Similarly, Surr Ca must be wrong in so far as it says that atm is the fat in the peri cardium. The reading of Amarakoża adopted by Śabdakalpadruma and by Gangadhara (इन्में सूच व्याचन) must also be wrong.

#### II. वरा.

As regards the lexicographers, we have already referred to Amarakosa, 2, 6, 64, and shown that Amarakosa is wrong in making समा aynonymous with मेर्स Its mistake is worse as regards बया

Medini says वरा विवसंत्रसी , i e, वरा means 'a hollow or cavity', and also त्रवस So far as त्रेवस is concerned, it is the same as (if not actually based on ) Amarakoša and, is, like it, wholly wrong.

Coming next to Apte, we find him saying, s v बचा "1. Fat, marrow, Y. 3. 94; 2. A hole, cavity, 4. The skin of the intestines".

Apte seems wholly wrong. बचा is neither "fat" nor "marrow"

(which is 再研1) nor "the skin of the intestines" His '2. A hole, cavity "is obviously based on Medini

Monier Williams gives no less than five meanings of  $\overline{\alpha}\alpha$ , N(s 2 to 4 being a cavity, a hollow, hole, the skin or membrane investing the intestines or parts of the viscera, the caul or omentum, V. S. &c., &c., (the horse has no omentum according to SBr.), the nucous or glutinous secretion of the hones or flesh, nurrow, fat (= medas) L."

Monior Williams also seems to be wholly wrong. He does not, as usual, mention the name or names of his "L", but it seems to be no other than Amarakosa and Medini.

Coming to अयोग now, we find the word used in Yan, Sm., as referred to by Apte But there Middlesard is disappointing. It satisfies itself by saying बया प्रसिद्धा

Caraka Sambită, 4, 7, 12, enumerates the क्षंप्रमा's, i.e., the internal organs contained in the hollow of the entire torso (and not merely the abdominal cavity), and there, in company with gqu, यहन and मींडा is mentioned व्यावन्त Thus shows that it is different from gqu, so that the idea that g-नंद : a qu must be wrong. The reason for the suffix, यहन, I have not been able to find. But, from what I have found, as I shall presently show, it seems to mean "sustaining or supporting the au".

In Kausika Sütra, 3.7, we find अरमभदक्षिने। वर्षोड यजेत. Sayana to A V 7.40.1 says इवभवषया इंद्रं यजेत. But we do not get here what exactly वपा is.

The first indication of what it is like I got from Introduction to Sayana to A V 18.2 51—अग्नेबेस [ पर ] इरवनया सारिङ्क्रया गो-[ बचचा ] वेस्तुस्त्रय आस्कारवेद This refers to the अनुस्तरको गो: and shows that this बचा was a part of its body and must have been very much like a sheet in appearance, and in which seven holes were made, (obviously, one for each of the सच त्राविष्याः प्राणा.) That this sheet-like thing was large enough to cover the dead person's face is clear, so that it could not be such a small thing as the pericardium, nor such small, flimsy bits like the "akin of the intestines", or "caul" or "omentum" (i.e., peritoneal membrane, which is not like a sheet). To suggest,

with the above passage before one's eyes, that it is " the mucous or glutinous secretion of the bones or flesh, marrow, fat", would, on the face of it, be absurd.

Upto this, however, we get that it is something like a sheet, but nothing more.

In Taitt. Sam. 2 1. 1. 4, we get स (i.e. प्रजापति) आत्मनी वपासु द-क्लिबन्द - Skyana makes the thing perfectly clear here by saying स्वश्रीराद् सप्यवर्तिमें परसदशीं वपासुदक्षित्व उल्लियोज्जतवान्. So, we get that it i like a sheet capable of being torn out.

The fact that it is not only पटसदर्श, but also उदरमध्यार्तिनी, makes me think that it is the disphraym and that it has nothing to do with the heart

That it is different from the heart is shown by Hiranyakest Grhya Sütre (ed. Kırste) 2 15.5— संज्ञानाय तुष्णीसाद्ध: प्राणानाच्याच्य तृष्णीं वर्ष हर्ष मतन्त्रे उद्धरति. In view of this, 'वपा हृदयस्थयानृविशेषः' ean hardly be maintained

Finally, as regards the position of the क्या in the cavity of the torso, we have in Aévalayana Grhya Sütra (ed. Gamapati Sastr) 1.9 10— संज्ञय पुरा नामेस्नुणसंत्रपीय वयाम् उन्लिय etc. Haradatta, in his Anāvilā tikā to this passaye, says— पुरा नामिरित वयस्थानिर्देश: नामेक्शीमिन्यर्थ: That is the position of the displiragm in the body.

Considering all the above factors, there can be no doubt that and in the disphragm Otherwise it will not be possible to make seven holes in it, or to cover a man's face with it, or make possible the use of वराअवर्षी, and वरावहन would accordingly mean its muscular attachments all round the abdominal cavity, forming its support.

#### III स्तम, तस्कर

Apte and Monier Williams agree in saying that both कीन and 
समस्य mean "thief, robber". It is perfectly clear that a "thief?" is not the same thing as a "robber". I shall presently show that 
कीन and तक्स are not quite the same thing.

We have in Mb. 12, 259, 7 (C P )-

यथाऽधर्मसमाविष्टो धनं गृह्धाति तस्करः । रमते निर्हरंस्तेन परवित्तमराजके ॥ Unfortunately, Nilakantha does not say anything here. But it is obvious that स्तेन is something different from तस्कर. Wherein lies the difference?

From what I have found, स्तेन means A thief, i.e., one who takes stealthily. And तस्कर means a roboer, i.e., one who takes openly, i.e., with violence, a dacoit.

This will be seen from R. V. 7m. 55 3—स्तेन राय सारमेय तस्करं वा पुनःसर, where Sayana says प्रच्छक्तभनापहारी स्तेनः ... प्रस्यक्ष-धनापहारी तस्कर: ।

This idea is also expressed in Śābara Bhūsya to Mimānsā daršana. 1. 2 11—বধা কীনা মত্যক্ষক্ষা:

There is another distruction made between that and steet on the hasis, not of the mode of taking as above, but on that of the value of things taken by them. that is one who takes things of comparatively smaller value; steet is one who takes things of great value. This distinction is mentioned in A V. 19. 50, 5 --

अप स्तेन वासो मोअजमुत तस्करम् । अयो यो अर्वतः विशेषिणाय निर्वाष्ट्रिते ॥

The man who steals clothes, cows and goats is a स्तेन, and तरम्म is a man who steals horses Sayana says here—स्तेनतस्करयोः पर्योगस्तेऽपि अपहार्यद्रस्पमीरसंख पुथमपहननम् उक्तम् इति बेदिनस्पम् ।

# IV. ज्ञातकुंभ, जीबूबद

Taking সালকুম first of all, both Apte and Monter Williams say simply "gold" But it means "silver" as well. Bhatta Utpala thka to Varahamihira's Brhat Samhitā, 12. 20, says—
চানক সভাত: ন্ৰ্থাব্যবাধ্য বাৰক: 1

Then, as regards সায়নহ and যানকুম, Apte says about both simply "gold". Monier Williams says s v. যানকুম simply "gold "and s. v সায়নহ' gold irom the Jambu river, any gold' They seem both to rely on Amurakoša 2 9,94-93,

From what I have found it seems that they were different metals, সাৰ্ব্ব being the more prized of the two Mb 13 85 83 (C.P.)

एवं सुवर्णमुत्पसमपत्यं जातवेदसः। तत्र जांबृनदं श्रेष्ठं देवानामपि भूषणस्॥ What was the distinction between the two? जांब्नद was reddish and ज्ञातकुम was white. Not that शातकुम was not valuable; it was only less valued than जांब्नद, that is all.

The fact that जांत्रव was reddish appears from the following— तत्र जांब्रवर्द नाम कनकं देवभूषणम् ।

इंद्रगोपकसंकाशं जायते भास्वरं तु तत् ॥ Mb. 6, 7, 26 (CP). जांबनद इवादीमः प्रदीप्तज्वलनो यथा । Hariyamsa. 2, 98, 47,

That gold of this description was found in the mountains of India is beyond doubt See Beal, "Si-yu-ki", Book xii, (Vol. II, p 298) where Huen Tsang speaks of gold that is found in Pu-lo-1o (Bolor, modern Balti or Baltistan in north Kashmir) and says it is as red as fire '.

In Mb. 1. 221 52 (C.P.)=1. 218. 46 (B.O.R.I.), we have कृताकृतस्य मुख्यस्य कनकस्याप्रिवर्चसः।

As regards the distinction between the two, we have जांबूनद्रभयान्यस्य शातकुंभमयानि च।

प्रदीप्तज्वलनामानि शीतराईमनिमानि च ॥ Harivamsa, 2. 6 4. 4.

After this, I think, there cannot be any manner of doubt that চালাকুল and সাৰ্দ্ৰ are not synonymous. To say that both are "gold" would be incorrect. In fact, while সাৰ্দ্ৰ appears to be gol', চালাকুল seems to be a valuable metal, no doubt, but not gold at all. It is white in colour against the yellow of the gold, and may be, for aught I know, something like platinum or some similar white metal, whose colour was not, for some reason known to them, preferred to that of gold. They were both स-वर्ष, but not सर्वण both of them

I have found the following passages illustrating the use of the above words:—जारा च सहशा: सर्वे कुछेन सहशास्त्रथा Mb. 12, 107, 30 (CP), उदात्तकुळजातीय उदात्ताभिजनः सद् Mb. 13, 145 31 (CP),

These two passages show ছুল and সারে mentioned together.
There must be some distinction Milakantha does not say anything I have not been able to discover anything in Amarakoëa,

5 [ Annals, B. O. R. I. ]

Sabdakalpadruma, Apta or Monier Williams, which would indicate the distinction.

Similarly कुळवशप्रतिष्ठां हि पितरः एअमनुबंग् Mb. 1. 74. 98 (CP)=
1. 69. 17 (B. O. R. L.); रन्नभूमि पद्यात् तु कुळवंशं प्रवर्धेत् Mb. 13.
66. 32 (CP).

Here कुछ and वंज. Here also Nilakantha is silent, and I have not found anything in the four books uust mentioned.

I found the answer, however, in the Inscription of Dasaratha in Dilwara Temple, Mt Abu, dated Samvat 1201 (published in the Nagari Pracarini Patrika of Benares, Vol. 18, Sam. 1994, p. 235 )— भीभोतालक कुलेस्वानेमळतरमायादवाहांबर भाजव्छीतकरोरमो गुणिनापिः भीनिकस्थयो गृही।

Ninnaka's mother's family was आंमाल and his father's family

This shows that ফুল means "mother's family", i.e., the family in which the mother was born, while both সার্গি and বঁহা, being contrasted with কুল, mean the "father's family".

VI. बिडाल, मार्जार, स्पद्श.

Amarakośa 2.5.6. says ओतुर्बिडालो मार्जारी वृषदेशक आखुअक्. This is another of the places where Amarakośa is wrong. It is wrong in so far as it identifies तृषदेश with बिडाल and मार्जार.

Mb. 9, 44, 25 (C. P.) says-

व्याव्यसिंहर्भवदना बिडालमकराननाः । वषदंशमुखाश्चानये गजोष्टवदनास्तथा॥

Similarly, Mb 9. 45. 80 (C P.) says-

मार्जारशञ्चकत्राश्च द्वीर्घवकत्राश्च भारत ।

and Mb. 9. 45. 84 (C. P.) says-

गोखरोष्ट्रमुखाश्चान्ये वृषदंशमुखान्तथा।

Unfortunately, Nilakantha does not say anything at any of these places, except विडालबुवदंशी मार्जारजातिभेदी at 9. 44. 25.

But this much is certain from the above passages that उपदेश is neither विदाल nor माजॉर. That विदाल and माजॉर both mean "cat" may be taken to be undisputed. At least, there is no reason to think that they are different.

But what is इपरंश? And is it really different from मार्जार? The answer is given by Susruta Samhita, 1 46.70 and 76 The former passage reads सिंड-व्यामङ्कतरश्चनसद्दियानाराज्यालस्मेनोकक-प्रस्ताया हा हा हा मार्जार, along with animals like the lion and the tiger, is a cave-dweller, it lives in natural caves

The latter passage reads गोधाशशृत्रवदंशलोपाकलोमशकर्णकद्रली-सृगप्रियकाजगरसर्पसूषिकनकुलमहाबभ्रुपञ्चतयो विलेशयाः।

i.e., wwis, along with animals like the bare, the snake, the mouse and the mungoose, is a 'burrow-dweller', i.e., it is a burrowing animal Exactly what sort of animal it is I have not been able to find, but this much is, to me, certain that it is not a cat.

Apte says it is a 'cat'. Monier Williams says—'a cat; a kind of animal living in holes. Susr'

Apte and Monier Williams, in so far as they say 'cat' rely evidently on Amarakośa above referred to and must be held to be wrong.

If this passes muster with the Editor, I shall try and send some more of this sort as soon as I can manage

Finally, I do not know, but the language of this article in some places may be thought to be of presumptuous carping criticism. But I hope not to be misunderstood. I am not a Sanskritist, but an humble student of Sanskrit, an Indian who is earnestly trying to understand the language of his forefathers correctly. He is grateful for everything he receives by way of instruction. But, at the same time, he does feel disgruntled if he finds that the instruction in any particular case was wrong. There is one good side to this, however; this dissatisfaction spurs him on to surquiries, and, so, on towards truth. And, who knows, to Truth? That is all that I have to say.

п

### I नेत्र, अक्षि.

नेन, and जाली are said by Amarakoša, 2. 6. 93, to be synonymous But it seams नेन means the entire eye, and जाले the iris only. प्रश्निपेनेन तामायताझा कुरुराज एर Mb 4 71 13 (C P) = 15 25 5 C (P)

#### II. डिड्य. अंतेषासी

They are not really synonymous.

जिप्प is a pupil for Vedic lore, and अंतेवासी one for handierafts and the like. Smrticandrikā (ed Charpure), II p 174 तथा च नारदः (१, १२)—" जिप्पोनिवासीदाससीमेच्यकुरणकरेख यत् । सुदुंबहेसोशिक्षमं दातव्यं त्र कुर्दुंबिना॥" शिष्पो वेदविवाओं। शिरुपनिवासीं सेतेवासी। So also in Mitaksarā to Vsp. Sm. 2, 193

# III. कपोत, पारावत

Amarakośa makes them synonymous 2.5 14

But they are really different, क्योत being the dove and पागकत the pigeon. The first is inauspicious, and the second auspicious

क्षोत्र is said to be the messenger of Nirtz in R V 10. 165. 1 This Sukta is directed to be recited if a dove enters a house Brhat Samhitta, ch. 45, sl 71-72. See also Brhat Samhitta, ch. 87 sl. 12-13 In Bhagavatam, 1.14. 14, क्षांत्र is described as सुसुद्धत.

Carska Samhitā, 6. 4. 32, Smrticandrikā, III, p 428, and Mb. 13. 104. 114-5 (C.P.) all agree in saying that क्योत is inauspicious, and पारावत is auspicious

কথাৰ is of three kinds, आपांदुर, चित्रकपोत and कुंकुसधूल Bhatta Uppala's tita to Brhat Samhita 87, 1, as also ibid, 87, 12-13. It appears that this division is known in the United Provinces even now. The आपांदुर variety is known as दोह, the चित्रकपोत as चित्रक, and the कुंकुमधूल as सर्गति. Sometimes, a fourth class is made out by splitting कुंकमधूल into कुंकुम and एल, the कुंकुम being the सर्गाति and the पृक्ष the कला (dark).

### · IV. आयाह, विवाह

आबाहाश्च विवाहाश्च सह मृतिमंपा कृता Mb. 5, 141, 14 (C. P.) = 5, 139, 14 (B. O. R. I.); आवाहाश्च विवाहाश्च यहाश्वास्त्रमृते नथा । निवर्तते नरस्रेष्ठ ब्रह्म चात्र प्रछीयते ॥ Mb. 13, 63, 33 (C.P.).

That there must be some distinction is obvious Nothing in Nilakantha, Apte or Monier Williams to indicate it

It seems both are invitations to marriages. आवाह is invitation to the bridegroom's house-what we in Bengal call वरणात्र, and विवाह is invitation to the bride's house what we in Bengal call वरणात्र, This is shown in Vistarayana Kimasitra, 4.1.15— आवाह.

विवाहे यज्ञे गमनं सलीभिः मह गोष्टी देवनाभिगमनित्यनुज्ञाता कुर्यात्।

Here Jayamangalā tikā says—आवारी वरगृहे, विवादे कश्यागृहे । V विवाद, उहाह

They are not synonymous. There is nothing to show the distinction in either Apte or Monler Williams.

श्चित्र means the first "half" of the entire marriage ceremony. It takes place in the bride's house and goes on upto (but not including) the departure of the newly-married couple for their home. The second "half" of the ceremonies is called agis. beginning with the boarding of the ra by the newly-married couple and ending at the bridgerom's house with a uagerialogist. Siyana, Intr. to AV. 14, 1, 1 says—विवाद: । स कुमायां, पितृपृष्ठे । ... कुमायां तत्वाद् उच्चायपति... .. सित विवाह: । अधी-द्वाह: । त्र दस्मा गृहं वंप्ययम् ... . तेनैव सुक्तेन यवानाम् आज्यमिक्षाणां पूर्णांचार्ले अद्वाति । अयुवादाह: ।

VI नारी,स्त्री

They are not exactly synonymous. जी means woman in general नारी is a woman who is super-excellent among women. आर्मोर्डाने मुद्दिन प्रीता नार्ययं सीषु सा भवेत्।

Nitimañjari ( Dya Dviveda ), sl. 114. Dya Dviveda explains the distinction  $\pm$ 

अर्थः स्वासी आर्ते दुःखिनि शार्ता दुःखिना, तस्मिन् मुदिने सित या प्रीता मुदिना, सा की नारी भवेद, "यनश्च निर्धारणस्" (पा. २, ३,४) इति सप्तमी। अन्यान।

VII. हेत. सहेत.

They are not synonymous.

देवताश्वीपांश्चयाजेंद्रमहेंद्रवर्जम्-Asval. Gr. Snt. 1, 10, 4. हंद्रं निममेषुपलक्षयेदिंद्रयाजिनो महेंद्रं महेंद्रयाजिनः — Apast. Sr. Sūt. 2, 2, 7.

sg was worshipped by those who had not attained prosperity. but were anxious to do so HEZ could not be worshipped by anybody who had not succeeded in attaining prosperity.

नाऽगतभीमंहें पनेत Apast Śrauta Sūtra, 1. 14 9

VIII कास्त्रेण अक्ष Amarakośa 1, 8, 4 makes काइनेप and नाग synonymous, leaving out सर्व. As a matter of fact, it is undeniable that both नाग and सर्व are काइवेय, i e, children of कड़

T recall अर्बेदो नाम कादवेयः सर्पऋषिः Durgacarva to Nir 2.5. and Savana to R. V 10. 94. 1 - कड्डा प्रतस्य सर्पस्याऽबंदस्यार्षस

IX कीर्ति यशम

Amarakośa 1, 5 11 (and Apte and Monter Williams) make them synonymous But Mb 12 54 32 (C P.) says-यावद्धि प्रथते लोके परुषस्य यशो आहे।

नामन नक्यानमा कीर्निर्भवनीति विनिधिता ॥

Obviously कीर्नि and यज्ञास are not the same thing Nilakantha explains यशः परचित्तचमत्कृतिजनका गुणौधः । कीर्निः साधतयाऽन्यैः कथनम् ।

Thus user means "the superior qualities of a man which impresses others", and wiff "the appreciative talks about him by other people ".

X कत. यज.

Neither Apte nor Monier Williams makes any distinction hetween the two

Visnu Purāns 4 13, 136 says अयमपि चज्ञावनंतरमन्यत्करवंतरं तस्थानंतरसन्यग्रज्ञांतरं चाजस्रसविद्धिः यजतीति

Mb. 6. 33. 16 (CP.) = Gitā, 9. 16 savs अहं कत्रहं यह: !

Here Samkaracarya Bhasya says ऋतुः श्रीतः कर्भभेदोऽहमेवाऽहं यज्ञः स्मार्नः ।

### XL क्रोध (क्रोप), अमर्च.

Amarakośa, 1, 7. 26 treats these as synonymous, and so do Apte and Monier Williams.

Rut

कोषानधीं समुन्यश्र चंप्रतस्थे दिवं तदा Mb. 1, 228, 23 (C. P. ) = 1, 219, 19 (B. O. R. I. ), which reads कोष, सकोधानधीं त्रह्मसुन्त क्षायद्वास्त्रया Mb. 1, 96, 17 (B. O. R. I. ); कोषे वक्तमर्थ च यो निषाय परेतपः। जितालमा पांडवोऽसर्थी आगुस्तिष्ठात द्वाससे ॥ Mb. 5, 90, 25 (C. P.) = 5, 88, 26 (B. O. R. I. ); उत्राच सार्श्यि तत्र कोधामर्थ-समस्थितः। M Mb. 5, 115, 4 (C. P.) Nilakantha does not say anything at any of these places

We have in Visqu Purana, J. 13. 27—ततस्ते सुनयः सर्वे कोशानर्य-समान्तिताः Here Sridhara in his Atmaprakasa tika says कायश्च अमर्पद्ध आविशालिनो ताश्यो समान्त्रताः Visqueitti tika here says अमर्पद्ध आविशा

So, क्रोध (or कार्य) is 'Anger''. असर्प is "the state of impatience or impetuosity (or incapacity to put up with the circumstances), being the result of extreme anger''.

The reader will have noticed that I have considered urin to be not only very important, but the very touchstone for lexico graphers In fact in so many cases, my view is that πrin shows that the lexicographer is wrong. Lay this on the basis of a fair collection of passages critically considered (of course, according to my lights) in my interleaved copies of Apte and Monier Williams.

In this view, a new Dictionary of Sanskrit, on the lines of Murray's Oxford English Dictionary, or of its less known predecessor, Fallon's 'Hindustani-English Dictionary, is a desideratum.

That it is not a "one-man job" is sure enough, particularly when the language is Sanskrit

And I recall to my mind

### सर्वः सर्वं न जानाति सर्वज्ञो नास्ति कश्चन। नैकत्र परिनिष्ठास्ति ज्ञानस्य परुषे क्राचित्॥

But can it be difficult of achievement, if a batch of Sanskritists, who have made intensive and extensive study of the language, put their heads together for a number of years?

## STUDIES IN THE HISTORY OF HINDU FESTIVALS— SOME NOTES ON THE HISTORY OF DIVAL! FESTIVAL

- (BETWEEN C. A D. 50 AND 1945)

P. K. GODE

All festivals have a unifying influence in social and national life. Students of the cultural bistory of a nation must understand the genesis and development of these festivals and record their history with as much precision and detail as possible. The oldest living civilizations on this globe have contributed not a little to the growth of these festivals, which are a regular feature of national life. To whatever class of people these festivals may belong they have a peculiar human appeal. In India with its numerous religious beliefs we have quite a bewildering variety of religious and semi-religious festivals but unfortunately much of the writing? devoted to these festivals is of a descriptive type, in which no attempt is made to fathom the depths of available historical sources with a view to reconstructing any reliable history worth the name.

In 1916 one author who calls himself "Rapedin" published a book called History of Aryan Festivals " (Numerical Education) in

I The provincial Gaucticos of Incia contain much invidit information about many of these featureds but it is of a descriptive type. The history of each featival ought to be studied in the form of monographs as a preliminary to an next)opacide history of Indian Featureds. A tpresent our knowledge of the history of featurals is rather nebulous. We cannot say when a particular featured originated and how it developed through centuries of our cultural history. Mere descriptions of the present-day featurals, howevever enchaning, do not prove their antiquity as these descriptions are a mixture of legends and hore-say evidence with many regional variations, from which its difficult to comprehend the origin and development of these featurals.

This book was published in Bombay. The Preface of the author is added and April 1916. This book appears to have encyed a wide popularity as well be seen from the opnious printed at the end of the volume. The author deals with such topics as - (1) Rise of Indian Aerronomy, (2) Seasons, (3) Months, (4) Adhitomized (intercalary month), (5) Seven days.

Marathi. This is perhaps the only book in Marathi which devotes no less than about 370 pages to the study of Hindu festivals but even here one fails to find any history as such of each festival which has governed the life of our ancestors for hundreds of years. This attempt is, however, praise-worthy as it is perhaps the only conscious effort to meet a long-felt want about the history of our festivals. In dealing with each festival the author records valuable information about its currency in different regions of India, the ritual connected with it and the present manner of its celebration. The remarks of the author on the historical background of each festival are suggestive but not comprehensive for want of fully documented study about its origin and development. The author tells us in his Preface that his book is not written for the learned reader. All the same we must thank him for this pioneer 1 attempt, which is sufficiently conscientious and learned within the limitations under which he had to work and thus provide a readable account of Hindu or Aryan festivals as they are observed in India in the different months of one Hindu year

(continued from the previous page) of the week, (b) Samputsara-phala, (7) different Sakas, (8) Sessonal Festivals and Historical Festivals (pp. 16-17), (9) Samvatsara-Pratinado. (10) Rāmanavamī, (11) Dolotsava, (12) Gaurī-Utsara, (13) Aksauge-Trtīyā, (14) Parašurāma-Jayanti, (15) Nrsimha-Jayanti, (16) Gangotsava or Dašaharā, (17) Vata-Sāvitri, (18) Mahā-Ekādaši, (19) History oi Căturmāsa, (20) Nāgapoñcami, (21) Mangalū-Gauri, (22) Srāvani, (23) Povati Paurnimā, (24) Nāra/i-Paurnimā, (25) Šrī Krsna-Janmāstamī, (26) Pithori-Amarasya, (27) Pola or Vrsabhotsava, (28) Haritalika, (29) Ganesa-Caturthi, (30) Rsi-Pañcami, (31) Juesthil-Gauri, (32) Vāmanadvādaši, (33) Ananta-Caturdaši, (34) Mahālava-Paksa, (35) Navarātra-Mahotsava, (36) Vijayū-dašamī, (37) Rūmalīlū, (38) Dīpāvali-Mahotsava ( धनवयोद्या) वर धनतेरस, नरकचतर्द्या, लक्ष्मीवजन ), (39) Batipratipada, (40) Yamadvitiyā, (41) Tulasi-vivāha, (42) Dhātrīpūjana, (43) Vaikuntha-Caturdabī. (44) Tripuri-Paurnima, (45) Campa-sasthi, (46) Datta-Javanti, (47) Makara-Sankramana, (48) Vasanta-Pasicami, (49) Ratha-Saptami, (50) Mahāsīvarātra, (51) Phālauna-Utsava or Holi

<sup>1</sup> I must not fail to mention here a still earlier book on Hindu fastivals This is called "Hindu Holdiga" by Ballay Staram Kothars, pinted at the Times Press, Bombay, 1904, pages 100 The author contributed his articles on this subject to the Times of Indu in the years 1900 and 1901 and these have been presented in a book-form with the above title. About fastivals is

<sup>(</sup> continued on the next page )

<sup>6 |</sup> Annals, B. O. R. I. ]

According to Revedi the Hindu festivals can be roughly classified as follows —

(1) Festivals originating from Rtus or Seasons:

संवत्सर मृतिपदा, अंक्षरयतृतीया, चातुर्मास श्रावणी, नारळी पौर्णिमा, विजयादशमी, दीपावळी, पात्रीगुजन, मकरसंक्रांत, वसंतपंचमी, होळी व जिससा

(2) Historicai (Artihāsika) Festivals .-

श्रीरामनवमी, परशुरामजयंती, दशहरा, कृष्णजन्माष्टमी, वामनद्दादशी र

त्रिपुरी पाणिमा, महाशिवरात्र

(4) Vaisnavi Festivals -

नृसिंह जयन्ती, महाएकादशी, अनंतचतु ईशी, वैकुंठचतुर्दशी, दोलांग्सव

( continued from the previous page ) author rightly observes -- " The life of a nation is best depicted by the description given of its festivals, and the Hindus, being a civilized nation from remote antiquity have had their own holidays both to create and express joy Hero-worship, religious devotion, social enjoyments, and the observance of particular rites and ceremonies, with definite object in view such as preservation of women from widowhood, freedom from the guilt of committing believes crimes, the preservation of children from death in infancy, the acquisition of wealth, the restoration of lost power, fortune or kingdom, the remembrance, worship or feeding of the departed spirits of ancestors have their characteristic holidays "--- The book deals briefly with the account of the following Holidays -- (1) Gudi Padva, Ramanavami, (2) Hanuman Jayanti, (3) Vata Pornima, (4) Ashadi Ekudashi, (5) Naq Panchams, (6) Cocoanut Day, (7) Janua and Gokul Ashtams, (8) Pithors Amayasia, (9) Ganesh Chaturthi, (10) Gauri, (11) Vaman Dwadashi, (12) Anant Chaturdashi, (13) Hindu anniversary Days, (14) Navaratra Festival, (15) Dacara, (16) Kojagari Pornima, (17) Dewalt, (18) Four Hindu Holidays, (19) Datta Jayanti, (20) Makara Sankrant, (21) Mahashivaratra, (22) Holi Festival

I has classification is good enough so far as it goes. It is only after a thorough historical study of each festival is recorded that an econtest classification can be given. As regards the ritual and belief connected with some of the Hindu festivals are Kegs of Power by J. Abbots (Methesa & Cos. London, 1932). I note some points from this block about the Diedli. Policali, 204-305, maidens wave arts at D. 63, 183, impression of hand made on cattle at D. 132, Oil applied to the body at D. 193, Lights waved before cattle at D. 184, Foot-prints drawn at D. 184, Foot-prints drawn at D. 186, Torothy of implements at D. 230, Manarad days during D. 232, Use of red water at D. 282, Seed-drill draws di ngarments of a woman at D. 337, Worship of Lakeni on the threshing at D. 369, Pole of the threshing-foot cut at D. 370; Grinding forbidden at D. 480—It would be useful to study the history and obromology of these practices from datable sources, Sanskrit and now Sanskrit.

(5) Kantumbika Festivals :-

बदसाबिजी, संग्रहागीरी, पिठोरी अमावास्या, हरिनालिका, ऋषिपंचर्का (6) Kölda Festurils'—

- (7) Saura Festivals स्थलममी
- (8) Gānapatya Festivals गणेश नत्थी

For studying the legional variations in the calebration of different Hindu (estivals one must get first-hand information about these festivals from the residents of the different regions, where these festivals are observed. In the absence of this information we can get some information about them in the Provincial Gazetteers, 's some of which were written in a scholarly manner and hence may serve as a useful starting point for a historical study of the different festivals as they show the currency or otherwise of these festivals in different regions, where some of the old traditions have been preserved. We must, however, go from the present to the past and thus try to shudy when and how the details of these festivals have originated and thus enriched the social, religious and cultural life of India

1 The Bombay Guzzteer contains the following references to Divide holdays "Vol. IX, pt. 12, nose 5, 46, 82, 11, 151, 170, 13, 175, 178, 203, 205, 306, 316, 326, Festival days among Bluls, id, pp. 305-306, Fire-worship onductions of the settling accounts by traders, id, 82. Period best united to subject will spirits, IX, pt. ii, 145. Hindu New year considered an evil apprix time, id, 146, Buddhist Bolidays XIV, 145, among Patsine Prabins, XVIII, pt. 251-253, among Kunlis, id, 394-295

The Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics contains some references to Duran (see IV, 607a, Ahr. 1, 232b, 235a, Bhit, II, 555b, Daracs, V. 3a, Drawidan, V. 3a, 14a, 19ab, Pavras V, 19b, Sacrifice, V, 14a) — The description of Drawidan Divali (V, 19ab) has the following features —

- (1) Lights are used probably as a mean- of expelling evil spirits.
- (2) Sacrifice of goats and fowls before stones, painted red after bringing them from a neighbouring river-bed
- (3) Dancing and feasting, attended with lighted torches
- (4) Cattle are decorated with colour and garlands and a figure of the osttle-god Balinds a is made and kept in the cow-shed.
- (5) Procession of the fiercest bull and the swiftest heifer through the village — Any lad who can snatch a garland from the bull or heifer is considered a fit match for the best girl in the neighbourhood.

In his article 'on Dwalt-Folklore Mr. B. A. Gupte observes:—
"Holidays in all countries have their folklore, and the Dwalt of
India is no exception. The greater the number of folk-tales, the
higher the stage of development in the society, and the greater
the interest we find attached to the evolution of the festivities."
Mr. Gupte then records half a dozen different folk notions, of
which No. V. as follows:—

"The fifth idea has a historical basis. It is that King Vikramāditya of Upain, a scion of the Gupta race was crowned on this day, the 16th of Asvin and counted his era accordingly. This is thus a New year's day " From the multiplicity of accounts of the origin of Dinali Mr Gupte discloses the following points - (1) the change of the season, (2) the death of the rice-crop barvest. (3) the time of manuring the soil for the Second crop. (4) the Sun reaching Libra, the Seventh sign of the Zodiac, (5) the coronation of Rama, and (6) the selection of this coronation day for the conventional colonation and eramaking day of Vikramaditya, the last of the Guotas. In the Plate of Divali Drawings (drawn by women from the 8th day o. the 2nd half of Asun to Divali ) accompanying Mr Gupre's article we find the following symbols -- (1) Temple asoribed to Laksmi Nārāyana, (2) the Sun, (3) the Moon, (4) hanging lamps, (5) Sankha Shell, (6) the mage, (7) the lotus, (8) the wheel, (9) the Svastika, (10) the Sesa or thousand-hooded cobra, (11) the cow's foot-prints, (12) sparrows, (13) the mange (14) the bel (wood-apple) tree and (15) the toot-mints of Laksm! According to Mr Gupte "the presence of sparrows at harvest time, the position of the threshing floor usually near a shady tree, and the incoming realization of the sale-proceeds ( wealth ) as expressed by the foot-prints are significant. This is perhaps the most primitive origin of the festival "

In the Hobson-Jobson (by Yule and Burnell, London, 1903, pp. 208-309) we get some foreign notices of  $Du\bar{u}h$  from A.D. 1618 onwards. I reproduce here these notices with the remarks of the address ---

Dewally, S. H. diwali, from Skt, dipa-ālikā, 'a row of lamps' i. e. an illumination An autumnal feast attributed to the celebration of various divinities, as of Lakshmi and of Bhavāni, and also in honour of Krishna's slaving of the demon Naraka. and the release of 16000 maidens, his prisoners. It is held on the last two days of the dark half of the month of Asmn or Asan and on the new moon and four following days of Kürttıka i e usually some time in October But there are variations of Calendar in different parts of India and feasts will not always coincide e. g. at the three Presidency towns nor will any ourt expression define the dates. In Bengal, the name Demoli is not used, it is Kali Para, the feast of that arin goddess. a midnight festival on the most moonless nights of the month. celebrated by illuminations and fileworks on land and river, by feasting, carousing, gambling and sacrificing of goats, sheep and buftaloes.

A. D. 1613-" Dwaly "

-Godinho de Eredia, f 38 v

A D. 1623--" October the four and twentieth was the Dauāh or the feast of the Indian Gentles."

-P Della Valle, Hak. Soc. ii, 206

† D. 16-1—"In the month of October eight days after the full moon there is a fewer held in honour of Vistnou which is called Dipāwah

- A Rogerius, De Open-Dours

A D. 1671-" In October they begin their year with great feasting, Jollity wending presents to all they have any busyness with which time is called Dually?"

-Hedges Diany, Hak. Soc. 11, ccexiv

A. D. 1673--" The first New Moon in October is the Banyan's Dually '

-- Fruer, 110.

4 D. 1690-".....their Grand Festival Season called Dually Time"

- A. D 1820—"The Dewalee, Deepaulies or Time of Lights takes place 20 days after the Dussera and lasts three days, during which there is teasting, illumination, and fremarks."
  - -- T. Coats in Tr Lit Soc Bo , ii. 211.
- A D. Ash.—"Now he The Dimals, happening to fall on this day, the whole river was bright with lamps ... Ever and anou some votory would offer up his prayers to Lukshim like Fortuna and launch a tiny raft bearing a cluster of lamps into water,—then watch it with fixed and anxious gaze It it floats on till the far distance hides it thrice happy he .....but if, caught in some wild eddy of the stream it disappears at once, so will the bark of his fortunes be engulohed in the which pool of adverstry."

-Dry Leaves from Young Egupt, 84

- A D 1883-" The Diract is celebrated with splendid effect at Benares. . At the approach of nights small earthen lamps, fed with oil are prepared by millions and placed quite close together so as to mark out every line of mansion, palace, temple, minaret and dome in streaks of fire."
  - -Monter Williams, Religious Thought and Life in India, 432,
- E. W. Hopkins in his article on Hunda Festivals and Firsts in the Encyclopacida of Reliquia and Ethics 1912 (Vol. V., pp. 867-871) classifies Hindu (e-tivals as follows.—
  - (i) Ancient Festivals -
    - (1) Moon-festival (seasonal)
    - (2) 'Four-month celebration' (seasonal).
    - (3) Ceremony of First-fruits
    - (4) Soma-sacrifice.
    - (5) Consecration Ceremony.
    - (6) Horse-sacrifice.
      - (7) Solstice-feast
      - (8) Twelve nights' Celebration.
      - (9) Sacrifices at tirthas by pilgrims
- (ii) Modern Festivals .-- These festivals are new in effect, though old in general character.

- (1) Lamp Festival (Divāli) The rites in honour of one god have passed over to another without materially altering the celebration and sometimes even to-day the same celebration is held in honour of different gods. Thus the very pleasing lamp-festival in which, in autumn, lamps are lighted in every direction, floating lamps are set off down rivers etc., is celebrated by some as a festival in honour of Visnu's wife, and by others in honour of Durga, the wife of Siva—Modern festivals have thrown off Brahmanism as far as possible, and are more clearly celebrations of the seasons, devoid of priestly ritual and self-sufficient.
- (2) Spring Festival of Northern India (Holt) Between the old and new there must have been a large number of special festivities now lost sight of, or only faintly reflected in intermediate literature—not to speak of many special festivities in honour of gods and goddesses described in the mediaval Puranic and Tautric literature—Some of the modern festivals are both Sectarian and Seasonal—in the devil-frightening festival viz. the "lamp-festival" (Dwāli) the original intent of the celebration is merged in the worship of some modern deity.

(3) Makaraqunkrānti and others-

That there were many festivals not included under the screen of religious rites in ancient times may be taken for granted and this is supported by extennal evidence—Many of the Hindu festivals have a counter-part in those of other races

It is clear from the above grouping of Hindu festivals that the Dielli or "lamp-festival" is a Modern fished according to Hopkins, 'who, however, does not say when it originated and developed its numerous features as we see them to-day.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Hopkins records the following Literature pertaining Hindu Festivals and Fasts —

<sup>(1)</sup> H H Wilson Select Works, ii, London

Festivals of the Hindus "

 <sup>(2)</sup> Natera Sastri Hindu Fenct, Fasts and ceremonies,
 (3) W. J. Wilkins Modern Hinduism, Calcutta, 1900.

<sup>(4)</sup> Monier Williams Hinduism, London, 1877.

<sup>(5)</sup> F K. Ginzel Handbuch der mathematischen und technischen Chronologie Leipzig, 1906, ff., 1 310-402.

<sup>(6)</sup> E. W. Hopkins Religions of India, Biston, 1895 (previous literature cited on pp. 448 and 592).

<sup>(</sup>continued on the next page)

In the above volume of the Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics there is an article by Margaret Stevenson on Jain Festivals and Fusts ( pp. 875-879 ) In this article she describes the Dawatt of the Jame as follows -

" Next to Parmsana the greatest of all the Jain sacred seasons is Duvili. If the former owes its importance to the emphasis which the Jams law on the sin of killing, Diwali derives its position from the importance of wealth to a mercantile community. the Jams. The Jams assign a special reason for their participation in what is really a Hindu festival in honour of Laksmi, the goddess of wealth. They say it originated when Mulifinia passed to moksa and the eighteen confederate Kings and others who were present at his passing instituted an illumination, saving "Since the light of intelligence is gone, let us make an illumination of malerial matter ( see Kalpa Sütra, Sacred Books of the East, xxii 2. 6)

The festival continues for tour days, the last days of Airing which close the Hindu year and the first of Karttika-falling usually within the months of October or November Among the Svetāmbara Jains, the first day ( Dhanteras ) of the festival is devoted to polishing newellery and ornaments in honour of Laksmi, on the second day ( Kālichaudasa ) the women try to propulate évil aprils by giving them some of the sweetmeats they prepare and cook on this day. These they place in a circle at cross-roads (q v.) in order to protect their children from evil influences during the year. The third (Amasa ) is the great day of the feast It was on this day that Mahivira went to Moksa and Gautama Indiabhūti atlained to Kanalya. This is the day on which Jams worship their account-books and decorate and illuminate their houses. In the morning Jains of all, three sects go to their monesteries and convents and do reverence to the chief monk

<sup>(</sup> continued from the previous page )

<sup>(7)</sup> J C. Oman Brahmun, Theist, and Muslems of India, London. 1907, (esp. p 241 ft, The Hoh Festival )

<sup>(8)</sup> A Hillebrandt Die Spinwendfeste in Alt- Indien ' in Roman Forschungen, V. (1889) 299-340 and Vedische Opter und Zauber. Strassburg, 1897 ( = GIAP, m. 2 )

<sup>(9)</sup> B Lindner. Das ind Ernteopfer, in Festgruss an Bohtlingh, Stuttgart, 1888, pp 79-81.

<sup>(10)</sup> J Jolly . Recht und Sitte. Strassburg, 1896 ( = GIAP 11, 8)

or nun present, who preaches to them on the life of Mahāvira and sings appropriate songs. The more devout law people stay and do posaha, but the generality go home and make up their accounts for the year. In the evening they summon a Brahman to direct the Sarada Paid or worship of the account-books, for Brahmans are still the domestic chaplains of the Jains The Jain having arranged his : ccount-book on a stool, the Brahman enters and paints a chandulo (auspicious mark) on the Jain's fore-head, his pan and one page of the account-book. He then writes the word Sri (i e. Laksmi) on the account-book, either five, seven or nine times is such a way as to form a pyramid. A rupee (the oldest possible ) is now placed on the book, this rupee for the time being, is considered to be Laksmi herself and the placing of it is called Laksmi-pujā. All the year the owner will carefully guard this particular coin as it is considered luck-bringing, and will use it again next Diwali, so that in some Jam families the coin used is of great rarity and antiquity Besides the coin, the leaf of a creeper is also placed on the account-book and the Jam waves a little lamp filled with burning camphor before the book, on which he has placed rice,  $p\bar{q}n$ , betel-nut, turmeric and various kinds of fruit. The ceremony ends by sprinkling the books with red powder after which the Brahman and the Jain feast on sweetmeats. The account-book is left open for several hours and before closing it they say: Laksa labha, Laksa labha, i. e. a hundred thousand profits.

The fourth and the last day of Diwalt, Newyear's Day, is the first day of the month of Kārithka and of the commercial year: and the Jains then go and greet all their friends much as we might on our New year's Day and send cards to those who are absent."

If the Janu Ducāli originated with the death of Lord Mahavira for which B C 528-7 is one of the traditionary dates we can definitely say that it is about 2400 years old. Can we establish the antiquity of the Hindu Ducāli for such a period and also prove the exact starting point of its history? It is exactly for answering this question on the strength of documentary

Vide p 46 of Early History of India by Vincent Smith, Oxford, 1914 and p 616 of Madhyayugina-Caritia-Kośa by S. Chitrava Shartin, Poona, 1937.

<sup>? |</sup> Annals, B. O. R. L. i

evidence that I have undertaken the present study. I shall, therefore, try to establish the antiquity of the *Hindu Divili* as far back as documentary evidence can take it, leaving it to other investigators to improve upon my labours and give us a conclusive history and chronology of this most popular Hindu fastival.

In view of the close parallelism between the *Hindu Divāli* and the *Jain Divāli* we are tempted to ask the following questions —

- (1) Which is the older of the two Divalis-Jam or Hindu?
- (2) Is it possible to suppose that the older of these two Divides has influenced the younger one?

These questions cannot be answered satisfactorily unless we have reconstructed the history of both these Divalls I shall, therefore, record in this paper some data which would reveal to a certain extent the historical background of these Divalls but before I do so I shall record a detailed description of the Hindu or Brahmanical Divall as given by Margaret Stevenson in her book littles of the Tunce-Doin (Religious Quest of India Series ), London, 1920, pp. 333-344 This description reads as follows—

'The great Feast of Lamps (Dipëvali, Dipëli or Divāli occupies the last three days of the Indian vear (the 13th, 14th and 15th of the dark half of the month of Ašunai which are sacred to Laksmi, the goldess of wealth

The first of these three days (the Day of wealth-worship, Guj Dhame-terosa) is extremely auspicious. Indeed, so invariably auspicious it is, that no astrologer need be consulted about a child being put to school for the first time that day, a move being undertaken, newly married brides being sent to their husband's homes, or gold being given out to a goldsmith to fashion into wewllery

As a rule, before this day there is bustle and stir, excursions and alarums in every Twice-born home, for the houses have to be white-washed throughout and decorated, the compound cleaned and the square before the door replastered and marked with a Sraakke in chalk and coloured powder, and all the metal vessels, polished till they shine like gold, have to be arranged in glittering rows round the rooms in the ladies' part of the house, all this orgaments, gold and sliver, have similarly to be cleaned all this orgaments, gold and sliver, have similarly to be cleaned

and, if necessary, sent to the goldsmith's for repair; and all the bills called in and paid. Every old-fashioned house-hold has its own tailor, washerman and goldsmith, whose dues are paid once a year now at Divali; for not one single debt may be left outstanding. This is the time too when new vessels and new cloth are first taken into use. Old-fashioned people, who do not trust in such new-fangled things as banks, take out their hoardings on this day, wash them in milk and worship them. A fine old chief in one part of India regularly worships a thousand gold mahura on this day. He puts them all into a huge copper-dish, pours water over them and offers them the full sixteen-fold worship, giving alms to Brahmans at the close. All three days the children let off crackers, and help to illuminate their homes by putting lights on the gates But on this first day the boys tie white strings and clips to the end of sticks to make buzzers (Gui Bhambuda)

These they whirl over their heads, so that their buzzing may frighten the cows. Any dust these animals kick up on this day is considered specially sacred, so the boys go and stand in the perfect dust-storm caused by the stampeding cows running away from the buzzers, and so acquire virtue without a trace of boredom!

The women with their spring cleaning finished, cook specially nice food and wear their best clothes. The houses not only of the Twice-born but of all the Hindus and also of Jaina: and Pärsis, are illuminated, and there is universal repoiding in the fact that all debts are paid, the harvest grainered and the rainy season over, so that every one seems happy, and the entire holiday is a featival of light-heartedness. Far different will be the following night!

The morning after the Day of Wealth-worship is still a time of gladness and repotent (Rapu Caturdas, The Day of Beauty) Men and women get up early take extra pains over their toilet, and wear their finest clothes. Every one is dressed before six, and they all have great fun calling on different friends, breakfasting with them and eating special dainties.

But the Witches Night (Küla Rütri) that follows is a parlous time, the most hag-haunted season of the whole year, for then

all the evil ghosts of the wicked or of those wan have dued violent deaths, and the terrifying spectres of women who have dued in child-birth, come out and walk the streets. But besides these black malignant spirits ordinary ghosts, demons, ghosts, wraiths and witches are also abroad. All through the year rash fools who wished to meet ghosts could not them after night fall when they haunt cemeteries and burning-grounds, or in the particular trees where they dwell, but on this lith night of the dark of the moon of Afrina they are not confined within them usual limits, but more freely, wherever they please.

So all wise people bide at home if they possibly can, especially if, like women or children, they are also very timid.

Hanuman, the special guardian against ghosts, has, you may be sure, more than the usual number of worshippers this night, who pour oil and red lead over him and offer him cocco-nuts. In return his devotees take some of the oil that drips from his right foot, burn it with soot, and mark their eves with it, for this not only ensures their being under the special protection of the god for the year, but also improves their sight for the same period

Other people quiet the ghosts by making circles where four roads meet and put cakes of grain and pulse fried together in oil within the circle, and Indians of other than the Twice-born casts would worship certain mother goddesses within these circles.

Venturesome men who know the right mantras for laying ghosts sometimes try and get control over spirits on this night and go alone to the burning grounds. There they make a circle, either with cold iron or with water and sit carefully within its bounds keeping some pulse of a special kind (Placeous radiatus) near them.

All sorts of ghosts, ghouls, demons, fiends, and witches attack the man. If they come too near, he throws the pulse at them, and this irrightens them off, and as long as he keeps a brave heart and repeats the right manirus 108 times without stumbling, all goes well, and he is their absolute master for the ensuing year, but if he steps outside the circle before the day dawns, or if for one moment during the terrible ordeal his heart falls him and he blenches, or if he stumbles as he repeats a munira, he is in the fiends' power for aver.

Other Indians, such as Kolis, Bhils, and the low-castes go down on this night to the desolate phoses where corpsess are buint and, making similar circles, burn within them as sortifices to terrible mother goddesses, such as Mahalifii, or Bharravi, or Mahali, offerings of avil forbidden food, spirits and meat and give them a Shi of ceremony and bangles to wear

If in-pike of all her tears, a woman has to go outside herhouse on this terrifying night, she walks very carefully, for there are circles drawn in every street and if she so much as put her foot inside one, an evil spirit will take possession of her. If, by some mischance, this terrible catastrophe does happen to her, she knows it, and to do her friends, by her constant shaking. Sometimes the woman her self says who the ghost is, how it came, and how to get rid of it.

The last day of the three is the groatest of all the Dinit days. In the morning children get up early, bathe as soon as they are dressed, and let off crackers by their heart's content. The children have had a fine time all three days, for their elder-believe that, if the children are indulged and kept happy in every possible way, the year will bring good fortune to both parents and children, you may be sure, make the most of so concening a creed.

On this last day they take a cake of cow-dung, thrust in it a piece of sugar-came for a holder and arrange on the cow-dung a tiny earthen lamp, in which a wick floating on sweet oil is kept burning. Carrying this light (Gu) Merigian) the children go round from house to house begging oil for their lamp, that their dead ancestors may get light.

Their elders spend the morning in meeting and entertaining their friends and preparing for what is the main ceremony of the three day's holiday, the Worship of the Account-book, by putting bigger lamps than ever in the windows of their houses, for this night the illuminations must be "gorgeous and grand". All the old accounts must be closed and the new ones opened, in preparation for the worship.

The astrologer fixes the exact moment for this all important rite to be performed.

The new account book is opened and on its third leaf a Brāhman writes the word  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}i$  (1e Laksmi, the goddess of wealth) over and over again in such a way as to form a pyramid of the syllable  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}i$ .

In the centre of this pyramid a betel-leaf is placed, and on it a current rupes, the newer the better

The five-fold worship is offered by the presiding Brahman to the account-book still open at this page, concluding with Trati, and at the end the food offered is distributed to visitors and alms are given to the Brahman, who in turn blesses his host, saying "May you be happy all the year".

Then as an omen, the rupee from the account-book is tossed up, and if it falls with a clear ringing sound, it is a lucky presage for the new year

Afterwards every one gathers round to eat sugar and grain, sends trays of it to friends and lets off crackers

The account-book is kept open all night with a lamp burning beside it, and early in the morning the Brahman returns. His host says to him three times "Lutsa Lābka" (a hundred thousand profits) and the Brahman replies "May you have a hundred and twenty-five thousand profits" and shuts the account-book

All night long every one in the house has kept awake and with the dawning of the new year they sally forth to wish their friends all happiness "

My object in reproducing the above description of the Divili in extense is two-fold. Firstly it will enable my readers to compare it with the descriptions of the Divili, as current in the other regions of India and greater India, which may not have come under the direct observation of Mrs Stevenson. Secondly it will enable research scholars to see if any of the features of the Divili celebration mentioned in this description have any early history for them and whether such history can be proved on documentary evidence of Sanskrit and non-Sanskrit sources. In studies pertaining to the culture of any nation the details of any feature of this culture are most impostant and need to be recorded with meticulous care so that they may give us as comprehensive a picture of this culture as possible on the strength of present observation and past history, backed up by historical sources pertaining to different regions and periods. Every modern feature may not have any long history for it but it is possible to trace the history of some essential features of a featival to ancient times.

We have recorded the descriptions of the Hindu and the Jain Divile so far. Now let us record a description of the Divile as observed in Greater India by people with Buddhist persuasion In this connection some interesting information is found in the account of Sumess Festivals and Fasts by G. E. Gerini (Vide nn. 885-890 of Ency of Reh and Ethics, Vol. V) who informs us that Siamese festivals are traceable, as a rule, to either Brahmanism or Buddhism These two creeds were introduced into Stam at a very early date and consequently Brahmanic and Buddhist rites were introduced into most of the national festivals and ceremonies Speaking of the festivals observed in Siam in the month of Karttika Mr Gerini notes (1) the Feasts of lamps which is a counterpart of Hindu Divali or Diparali and (2) the Kürttikeya Festival, which is a state ceremony recently abolished. in honour of Karttikeya (or Skanda, the Hindu Mars, son of Siva ) and which is attended with the floating of lamps, and boufires The Stamese Divali is described by Mr Genna as follows -

" No. 20 Feast of lamps (Karttika, 12th month)-This embraces two distinct festivals (1) the hoisting of lamps on poles on new-moon day and the lighting of them at night, till the second day of the waning, when they are lowered. (2) the floating of lumps in the streams at night on the 14th, 15th and 16th days of the moon. with the eventual addition of fire-works This second form of illumination seems however, to be connected more especially with the festival hereafter explained (No. 21) On the other hand the genul lanterns hoisted on poles, as aforesaid, are kept burning to scare away goblins as in time of epidemics (cf e.g. the raksa-pradinas, and, it is believed, also to retain the water from draining off the paddy fields, for the ears of rice would not attain maturity if the yearly inundation were to abate so early. Hence the festival is a very popular one like its counterpart the Diwati or Dipawali in India. They closely correspond although the ākāšapradīpas (lamps raised on poles in the air) are in India lighted in honour of Laksmi. We have here to do essentially

with a festival in honour of Visnu and his consort, for it is known that on the 11th day of the new moon of Kartika the god awakes from his four months sleep, and that his victory over king Bali (Vāmana apadīra) took place at this season."

Mr Gerini does not record any history of the Siamese festivals, hence it is difficult to say at what time the Divali festival originated in Siam and continued to be observed comewhat on the lines of the Hindu Divali. If the Siamese Divali is a counterpart of the Hindu Divali, its history is dependent on the history of the Hindu or Brahmanical Divali, which we want to reconstruct in this paper.

Resuming now our reconstruction of the history of the Hindu Divāli, I have to record here an interesting account of the Divāli Festival as recorded in a Maratha chronicle 1 which states —

"Mahadu Sondia (A. D. 1797-1794) informed Peshwa Savai Madhavarao (A. D. 1774-1795) as follows —

'The Divall festival is celebrated for 4 days at  $Kot\bar{a}$ ,  $^{1}$  when lace of lamps are lighted. The Rap of  $Kot\bar{a}$  during these 4 days gives a display of fire-works outside the premises of his capital, it is called "其無罪意思" or "Lunkā of fire-works". During this display the image of  $R\bar{a}vana$  is prepared and kept in the centre of the show. Images of  $R\bar{a}vana$  is monkeys and a big image of  $Ham\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  are all prepared of guupowder. The tale of  $Ham\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$  is then set fire to, and Hamunān begins to fly in the air, setting fire to various houses in this  $Lank\bar{a}$  of fireworks. Such a display is given by the Raja of  $Kot\bar{a}$  during the Divāli Festival'.

The Peshwa ordered Mahādu to give a similar display of fireworks for his entertainment. Mahādu made all preparations within 15 days and the display was carried out on a dark night

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> σχικιτή εμες by Krehnaji Vinayak Sohom, ed by K. N. Sane, A. B. Press, Poona, 1925, Page 149 (I have rendered the pertinent extract into English from the Maretho original). The author of this Bakhar was possibly born during the regin of Peshwa Savai Müdhava Rao (Vide p. 266 of ημηπήπ αργαγίατο by S Ohistawa, Poona, 1937)

一 Modern Kotah State in Raiputāna.

Vide my paper on Guns and Gun-powder in India—A D 1400 onwards in Sir Devision Ross Volume, ed by P K, Gode and S. M Katre, pp. 117-124

before the Peshwa and his Sardars. The display took place at the foot of the Parcal hill and was witnessed by the Peshwa and his Sardars from the Parcal temple. It was a grand performance and was witnessed by the people of Poons in large numbers."

The use of fire-works in the calebration of the Dwall, which is so common in India now, must have come into existence after about 1400 A. D. when gun-powder came to be used in Indian war-fare. It is necessary to record the bistory of the use of fire-works in Divali Celebrations prior to the Peakwa period.

In the references to Dvoll in the Holson-Johann the earliest notice of the Dvoll by a foreign observer is dated A. D 1618. Let us now go backwards from this date. In the Amri-Abban' (about A. D. 1590) there is an account of Festivals in which we find the Dvoll described as follows:

"Month of Kirthka— The second, ninth, eleventh and twelfth are also festivals. The thereenth is the Dipāli or row of lamps (Hind Duāli) A difference occurs in the calculation of its date. According to the Suklapaksa computation it is as above estated but by the Krsnar-paksa (Hind. Aphan-Nov-Dec.) and they, therefore, hold this festival on the 15th of the Krsnar-paksa of Kärthka. Lamps are lift as on the (Muhammadan) festival of Shāb--bornī, It begins on the 29th and this night is considered auspicious for dicing and many strange traditions are told regarding it. It is the greatest of the festivals re, the Valsya casts".

Emperor Akbar "participated in the celebration of Dipāvali or Divāli, the festival of lamps" (Ain, I, 216)

From the 16th century we may now go to the 15th century as see how the Hindu Divili was celebrated within the purisdiction of the Vijayanagar empire. In this connection Dr. B. A. Saletore has collected and recorded the following information about Festuals, Games and amusements in his volumes on Social and Political Life in Vijayanagar Empire (1934). In Vol. II (p. 387) he observes as follows:—

"Another celebration which evoked admiration from foreign travellers was the Dīpāvali festival held in the month of Kūrttika.

Ed, by Jarrett, Vol II, 1894, Page 320

<sup>&</sup>quot;It is auspicious for all undertakings connected with commerce".

Vide p. 319 of Ind. Histo Quarterly, XIII (June, 1937).

<sup>8 [</sup> Annals, B. O. R. I. ]

This commemorates the victory which Visnu scored against the Asuras and Narakāsuras and since as they say it was won in the evening there is universal illumination of houses and temples in the land.

Coult thus describes the Dipāwah festival:— "On another of these festivals they fix up within their temples, and on the outside of the roofs an innumerable number of lamps of oil of Susimann, which are kept burning day and night?"

People gave contributions to the temples also for the celebration of these festivals. In about A. D 1443 Govanna and Ballama sons of Sriranga Deva of Aranjpura in Kadajur made a grant for the cutter festured of lights in the tample of Channakešvara according to former custom 4 An inscription dated Saka 1443 (A. D. 1521-32.) informs us that Sadašiva Nāyaka provided ghee for lamps during the festual of Trukkāratīgai to the temple of Bahadambi at Devikkapuran for the merit of the chief (avaint) Tirumalai Nāvaka. In Saka 1444 (1522-23.) the daughter of Kannadiya Chief Devappudajyar Marudaršar-Padaividū gave a gift of ghee for the same testival to the same temple. "5

The inscriptional evidence about donations given by certain individuals for the expense of festival of lights or mere lights in temples of specific gods and goddesses does not appear to refer to Družit festival as such. Onti's description of Družit at Vijayanagar is, is however, sufficient for our purpose.

- Major India, p 28. Sewell, For Emp. p. 86.
  - 2 E, C V.p. 1, Hn 82, p 25
    - 4 361 of 1912, Rangachari, Top List I. N. A. 162 p 49.
- <sup>5</sup> 362 of 1912, Rangachari, ibid, N. A. 163, p. 50 Read Pietro della Valle's description.
- <sup>6</sup> On p. 115 of his Enränu. Revort. (Daca, 1940) Dr. Harra observes "The inscriptional evidences show that the gifts of lamps to shrines beams popular in Southern India about the end of the oth centur p. A. D." [Ep Ind., III, 1894-95, pp 281, 284, V, 42-44 and 104-106, VII (1902-03) 133 ff. and 138 ff. and so n. JASE, L.XXII (1903) 130.

Sri Kantaliyar, IA, XX, p 430, Suryanarayan Rao, The City, p. 11 For an account of the Diplacti Festival, Wilson, IA, XXVI, p. 398, Gupte, IA, XXXII, pp. 237-239

My friend M. M. Prinolpsi V. V. Mirashi, writes to me on 23-7-1945. "As for Dvolit. The one description that I can just now recall occurs in an inscription found in Ohladtisgarh. It is not dated but another inscription of the same reign is dated V. S. 1870 (= A. D. 1844). The inscription is not published but I have read the varea as follows:

Students of the history of Indian art may be in a position to point out the representations of the Dwill festival in sculpture or painting. I may, however, record here one such representation of the Jam Dwill. It is found in the Minutine Pandings of the Jama Kulpadine executed in the Early Western Indian style) published by Norman Brown (Washington, 1934) p., 40, Plate 25 Brown describes this painting as follows:

"Fig. 71 (Heramansek Galleries, Newyork—MS of Kalpa-Natus and Kälakücüryakenhü with NI ministures, not dated— Probably 10th C winn)—The Feetwait J. Lyphis. KS, 128 (Jacobi. Jama Sähas. S. B. E., Vol. 22, Oxford, 1884, p. 266.), On the night when Mahavira died the 18 confederated kings instituted an illumination on the Possidhe which was a fast day. They said "Since the 10th of holy kinaledge is gone, let us make a material Illumination—Under a canopy are three miles, probably typifying the 18 kings, holding for hes. The scene heirs the legend farmi (festival of lights) and Jacobi. (J. 7. 266n) says that the Jamas celebrate the arröna of Mahavira with a festival of lights on the New-Mecon of the month of Khuttak.

Some description of the Puāh as celebrated in the Decean at Kothapur in the 11th crainry is found in the story of King of Kollāpura recorded by Merutunga in his Probundha-Contāmanu (Trans. by C. H. Tawney, R.A.S.B., Calcutta, 1901) p. 111. The author belonged to Vardhamānapura (Vadhwān) in Gujerat and

(continued from the premous page)

" वस्त्रस्यक् प्रतिकार्त्तिकस्मानिदिनं स्तात्था देवात्यादरा-द्रोदानंब्र ततः शुणोति माहत पुण्य पुगणादिकम् । दीपानामापं लक्ष्माब्रयक्लजायये प्रयच्छत्यसी वर्गाया निकटे महानयमनः श्री बाहरस्मापनिः ॥ "

I am thankful to Prin Mirashi for this valuable inscriptional reference

1 I had inquired of Dr. H. Goetz, Curator, Baroda Museum, if he could

point out to me any paintings representing Directle illuminations. In ruply Dr. Goess informs me in his letter of 19-12-45.—
"As to Disall illustrations, I can only say that there are many Mughal

"As to Drail! illustrations, I can only say that there are many Mughal ministures in the Baroda Museum showing illuminations by many small oil lamps, such as it is the practice at Divall but I am not sure whether this is the only festival where this is done."

I take this opportunity of requesting other scholars to publish any information about the representations of Dirall either in painting or soulpture that may come to their notice.

composed this book of Narratives in Samual 1361 (= A. D. 1805).

I note the following points from Merutunga's story referred to above:—

- (1) Some bards were celebrating the glory of King Schiharāna of Gujarat (A D. 1093-1143) at the court of the Kollāpur King, who doubted this glory and required some proofs for Schiharāna's skill in magio mentioned by the bards
- (2) The above doubt of the Kollāpur King was reported to Siddharāja, who found an officer of his court ready to go to Kollāpur to prove Siddharāja's skill in magic Siddharāja gave 3 lacs of rupees to this officer, who went to Kollāpur and staved there for some days.
- (3)" When the night of the Diwali festival was near and the wives of the King of that city came to his palace in order to worship the goddess 1 Mahālaksmi, that officer, having assumed the disguise of a magician, adorned with all those paraphernalia, and being accompanied by a certain Barbara who had carefully practised fiving in the air, suddenly appeared on the pedestal of the goddess. He offered an oblation of gold, lewels and campber to the goddess and distributed to the King's wives betel similarly adorned and left-there a magne you ment marked with the name of King Siddha, pretending that it was a religious gift, and mount ing on the back of Barbara flew up into the air and so returned as he came. When the night came to an end the King wainformed by his wives of this act of the hostile monarch and being bewildered with fear he sent back that present to Kinz Siddha by the hands of his ministers. Then that officer quickly hurried through the business of buying and selling his wares, and se on, and sent a message to his king by a swift runner, that he was not to grant an audience to those ministers until his arrival. After that he arrived there in a few days. The king, having been informed of the real state of the case took such steps to win over those munisters as occasion demanded. "

Whatever be the truth of the above story it gives us a historical illustration of the association of magical performances with the

<sup>1</sup> Vide my paper on the leonography of Mahalakim: of Kolhaper (B.I S Mandal Quarterly, Poons, Vol. XXIII, 1942, pp 17-25,

Dwilt mght, so graphically described by Mrs. Stavenson in the extract already reproduced by me The βithlara kings were ruing at Kothapur at the time of king Biddharaja of Guparat (A. D. 1993-1143). The goddess Mahālatami was their tutelary delty and they called themselves "Επιμπανατικής in their inscriptions. The Strassatipumīna mentions that Siddharaja installed the images of Mahālatami on the bank of the Salusratinga lake constructed by him as I have shown in my paper on Mahalakmi (B. I. S. Mundal Quarteriy, 1913).

From Merutunga's reference to Dnila at Kolhapur in his work of AD. DD we go to the Marathi work.  $J\bar{n}\bar{n}$  elevatic completed in A. D 1200 by the great Saint of Maharh tra, Sri  $J\bar{n}$  innestara. In this work  $Dr\bar{n}D$  is referred to as follows (see  $J\bar{n}\bar{n}\bar{n}$  each by B A Bhide, Romber, 1928) —

i'age 106-101 — मा अविवेकाची काजळी।

फेड्राने विवेकदीप उजळी ।

तै योगियां पाहे दिवाळी। निरंतर॥ ५४॥ "

Page 383-

" सूर्वे अधिष्ठिली प्राची। जना राणीव दे प्रकाशाची।

तेशी वाचा श्रोतयाँ ज्ञानाची । दिवाळी करी ॥ १२ ॥ \*

Though used in metaphors by Śri Jūūneśwara the above usages of word दिवादी vividly bring to our mind the illuminations of the Diväli festival as current in the Mahārāstra in the 13th century

To supplement the above reference to  $D_t v \bar{u} h$  by  $J \bar{u} a n e v_{AT} a$  we have a very elaborate description of the celebration of the  $D_t v \bar{u} h$  festival in the  $M_t h \bar{u} u h h h \bar{u} \bar{u} u$  text in  $M_t a t \bar{u} h$  (no composed about A, D, 1250 called  $L i / \bar{u} - C u r t r u$  (ed. by H. N. Nene.) Nagpur, Part III  $P \bar{u} r \bar{u} r d h u$ , Khanda 2, 1897) pp 116 H. As the passage is too long for reproduction I note some points from it below — is too long for reproduction I note some points from it below —

- (19) This is a description of Divali not in an aristocratic circle, but by Cukradhara, the founder of the Mahānubhāwa sect and his Gosāvi pupils.
  - ( 2 ) This Dwill was observed at हियरकी ( आलना ).

l Vide pp 350-334 of শংঘ্ৰণীৰ খবিস্থানীয় by 8 Chitrav, Poona, 1987 The dates for Cakradhara as given here are "A D 1997-1.773" Cakradhara tounded the Mahanubhava Sect in Saka 1199 (= 1368 A, D.) at Pathan, after having embraced Sanghas

- ( 2 ) महादाई, the woman—disciple of Cakradhara, managed all the work connected with this Devāli.
- (4) On the evening of the earlier day she stored sufficient water for bath of the entire party of the Gosāvis, who bathed next morning, getting up at dawn for this purpose. Plenty of oil was used for rubbing the bodies before this bath by the Gosāvis and other devotees of Cukradhara.
- (5) After bath মहাবাই waved lights in a tray in front of these Gosavis and others (বাৰাক্ৰণা লাক্লা) who then took betel, and pan (নাৰাক্ষ) Dinner was given to the party by মন্তাৰহৈ, who was helped by another lady বলাই in this work.
- (6) মাক্রধীল was observed on the following day when মন্তাৰ্ছি acted as sister to all the Govāvīs She prepared nice dishes for their dinner such a নাৰক, আনুন, ভাল, নাৰ etc.
- The Bhāu-bija day observed by the Gosüvs is observed even to-day. It falls on Kürtiku Buddha 3 (duitiyā) i. e. on the day following the Dusül These statul as concerned with sisters who feast their brothers on this day. It is called Yamadustiyā in Sauksrit and Hemādri (A. D. 1260) the contemporary of Cultradhan describes it in detail in his compendium called the Culturary-Chilāmom.\(^{\text{The Institute Theorem Contemporary of Cultradhan describes it in detail in his compendium called the
- 1 Ed in Bib Indica, Colonta, 1878—See Vrata Khanda Chap VI, pp. 381-386.—The extract about व्यक्तियोग वर्ग from the Bhaviyyottara reads as follows —

  "कार्तिके शक्यक्षस्य द्वितीयार्था योगीवर !
  - यहाँ यहुन्या पर्व भागितः स्वयद्वे सह। । द्वितायाया महोल्यां नाम्बंदाश्रम नर्गनाः । विद्यायाया महोल्यां नाम्बंदाश्रम नर्गनाः । विद्यायाया महोल्यां स्वयतः सर्वेषम्वय्यान् ॥ श्रीकाश्रमाकां नृत्याः प्रमानः सर्वेष वरण्यवा । त्रेषां महोग्नायां नृत्याः प्रमानः त्रोह पृथिष्ठिर । अस्या निज्यके पर्या में मोक्यस्यात् चूर्ये । ॥ अहेत भागिनी हस्ताद्वीत्वव्य पृष्टिवर्यनम् । दालानि च महेयानि भागिनाश्री विधानतः ॥ स्वार्तिकश्रम्य व्यक्ति नर्गमालाभ्रमितः । "
- In Rgvedi's book on Āryun Fastuals already referred to by me the ব্যক্তিবাৰা or মাত্ৰবিলয় is described on pp. 297-298. He morely states that the story of মন and has sister ব্যুৱা। a mentioned in the Purāṇas but does not give any exact reference to it.

extract from the Bhamsyottara Purūņu quoted by Hemādrī The practices prescribed in the extract are current at present in Mahārāstra.

In a Kannada Inscription' of A. D. 1119 (11th May) of the reign of Cälukya Tribhuvanamalla there is a reference to Dipūcali day. (One) "Kāvarāja made a gift of one grayāna out of the two gadyāna: which the Mahājanas used to pay him, as a present on every Dipūcali day for the service of Niletwaradena".

I have already seconded references to Divalh from two Marathi sources viz. the  $J\bar{n}\bar{n}nebari$  (A. D. 1290) and the  $L\bar{n}l\bar{n}achara$  (C. A. D. 1290). I shall record another reference to Divala in an astronomical work called the  $Jy_0disaratunam la^2$  by Sripatt, which was composed about  $\bar{n}akar$  90 (A. D. Divala) according to its editor the Mahārāstra historian, Visvanātha Kāshinātha Rajawada, who thinks that both the Sanskrit text and its Marathi commentary are the work of Sripatt himself. The word  $\overline{R}$  will be seen from the Marathi commentary on a Sanskrit stanza as will be seen from the following stanza—

Page 95 - " माथं पंचद्शि कृष्णा नभस्ये च त्रयोदशी ।
मृतीया माथवे शुक्का नवस्युर्जे युमादयः ॥ १३ ॥ "

The commentary on this stanza reads as follows :--

"माघमासिची अमावास्या शिरात्रि भाद्रपदमासचा कृष्णपक्षि त्रयोदशु अर्द्धपक्षि वैशापमासि शुक्का तृतीया : अक्षतृतीया : क्षार्तिक शुक्क नवमी विवाळि वितल्या : या तिथि ४ यूगादि म्हणिज ॥"

At present the Divali day is the 1st day of Karttika Sukla paksa, while according to Sripati (about A. D. 1030) it appears

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Vide Kunnoda Inscriptions from the Madras Presidency (South Indian Inscriptions Texts, Vol. IX, Part I) ed. by Shamsbustri and Lakehminäräyana Rao, 1939, Page 195--Luscription No 198

<sup>8</sup> Vide भारत इतिहास संशोधक मडळ प्रत्थमाला (No 8)— द्वितीय संमेळनवृक्त (श्रुढ ५८३६ — 1914, Poons) — श्रीपनीस्टन मराठी ज्योतिवरत्नमाला (शक ६६१ समार ) p. 95

to have been celebrated before "কাৰ্লিক লুক্ক বৰদী". I request the students of Indian Astronomy to throw some light on this question from the texts on Indian Astronomy.

In the Marathi poem by the Mahānubhāva poet Narendra-Kavi, balled the τ πανοίτατ ι composed in Śaku 12/3 (A. D 1892) we find the following references to the Divāli —

Page 90- Description of Dyūrakū

" की आनंद-दिवाळियेचें तेजः । की आकाशीं जोतिंच जीळ हीं काज । की गुगनामि वाहलें भोज : यावव-मृतापाचें " ७१२

Page 94-- Description of Vasanta (spring-time)

" कीं तं संभोग-मुखायी दिवाळी : विरक्षिणी घंढेवाळिया होति काजळी जित-इंद्रियें हारवीति तिये वेळीं : जं वैराग्याचें '' ७३८

Prof. Kolate has explained the metaphor in this stanza pertaining to the Divili referred to by the poet in his notes at the end of the volume. He explains the word উইবার্স as কাঁচলৈ or মহাতে on page 141 while on page 230 উইবার্স is explained as lamps lighted during the Divili festival on the top of a big lessp of grass. <sup>2</sup>

While explaining the above reference to दिवाळी Prof. Kolate has drawn our attention to the following reference to दिवाळी in the January :--

Chap. VI ( Ovi 389 - p 135 of Bhide's edition )

"तेसें होय तिये मेळीं। मग सामरस्याचिया राउळीं। महासखाची विवाळी। जगेसि दिसे॥ ३८९॥ "

We have already pointed out two references to Rensit in  $J\bar{n}\bar{a}nesturi$ , to which the above one may now be added.

¹ Critically edited by Prof V. B. Kolate, King Edward College, Amraoti, (সক্তা দ্বাহান, Malkapur, Berar) 1940.

³ Ibid, p. 230—" धेंडेवाळी = दिवाळीच्या दिवसांत गवताचा एक उच मारा योधतात आणि त्यावर दिवे ळावतात"

Before I proceed to note the references to Divili in sources earlier than those referred to above I shall record its fairly detailed description in Sanskrit given in an encyclopaedic work called the STRUTHINGER which appears to be a reflection of the social and religious practices of the Vignanagar Empire (between say A. D. 1450 and 1550). This is a description of a royal by Cakradhara and his Gosavis. This description reads as follows in the B. O. R. Institute MS No. 45 of 1925-26 of STRETHINGER IN THE STREET CONTRACTOR OF STREET CONTRACTOR.

Page 217 - 67th Patala describing नाकजनदंशी. "श्री जिवः — अथान्यवाश्विने मासि कर्तव्यं कर्म राजभिः। वक्ष्यामि सर्वपापन्नमळक्ष्मीविनिवारकस् ॥ १॥ रूपसीभाग्यसन्तानसंपत्तसारस्वतप्रदम् । साम्राज्यदं विजयदं विशेषेण सहीभजाम ॥ २ ॥ सर्वज्ञत्रक्षयकरं गंगास्नानफलप्रदम् । अश्विने मासि कृष्णायां चतुर्दश्यान्नुपोत्तमः ॥ १ ॥ बाह्ये मुहुर्त उत्थाय कृतशीचक्रियः शूचिः। प्रक्षाल्य पादाबाचम्य दुन्त्रधावनपूर्वकम् ॥ ४ ॥ परोहितान्परस्कृत्य सभायांच विज्ञपंगवाच । कांश्विदाह्य संपुष्य तानु गंधकसमादिभिः॥ ५॥ दस्या तद्विजवर्षेभ्यः तांबलानि फलानि च । तेराशीरक्षतान् दत्तानादायां जलिना नृपः ॥ ६ ॥ धुत्वा शिरसि चाभ्यंगनिमित्तं तेलमत्तमम् । दरवा तद्विजमस्येभ्यो नत्वा कृत्वा प्रदक्षिणम् ॥ ७ ॥ पुनः प्रस्थाप्य तात् विषात् तत्परं पृथिवीपतिः। हेमपीठे समासीनः प्राङ्गमुखः प्रयतः श्चि ॥ ८॥ नदस्स पंचवायेष बाह्यकक्षान्तरे ततः।

क्षणत्कंकणया वध्वा दरवत्मदुरीजया ।। ९ ।।

1 Vide my paper in the Karnatak Historical Review, Yol. V (1988) Part I, pp. 7-18.

<sup>3</sup> The Šukranitisāra (ed. Jīvānauda Vidyāsāgar, Calentia, 1882) chap. IV deals with স্টাকুম্মনিত্বেল, in which the King is enjoined to build temples of several desires and arrange for their annual festivals.—

P. 578-- " एवंविधान् नृषो राष्ट्रे देवान् संस्थापयेन् सदा । प्रतिसंवत्सरे तेथां उत्सवान् सन्यगाचरेत् ॥ २०२ ॥ "

<sup>9 |</sup> Annals, B. O. R. I. ]

अभ्यक्तः स्नापितो महिः केश्चित्कोच्छोन वारिणा । धुन्वा धीतांबरं दिव्यं स्वर्णतन्वश्चिताञ्चस्य ॥ १०॥ इत्येवं **मङ्गलं स्नानं** कृत्वा प्रागुद्यादवेः। पश्चात् सुर्योदयाद्ध्वं पुण्डं भ्रत्वा महीपतिः ॥ ११ ॥ कर्म तत्कालकर्तव्यं नित्यं निर्वर्थं तत्परम् । स्वेष्टदेवं समभ्यर्च्य गंधपुष्पैर्यथाविधि ॥ १२ ॥ अलक्ष्मीपरिहारार्थं दत्वा दीपत्रयं नृषः। मदाक्षणं द्विजातिभ्यस्तेन नीराजितः पनः ॥ १३ ॥ सखद्भस्ताशिरस्राणः मर्वाभरणभूषितः। आस्थानकटमासाय सर्वतस्समलंकतम् ॥ १४॥ सपुत्रपीत्रस्सामान्यः सञ्चन्यपरिचारकः । र्सिंहासने समासीनः श्वेतछत्रेण चारुणा ॥ १५ ॥ वधविधयमानाभ्यां चामराभ्यां बिराजितः। आस्थानवर्तिनां राज्ञां मन्त्रिणां च पराधसाम् ॥ १६ ॥ सामन्तराजपुत्राणां विवधानां विनोदिनास् । कदीनां गायकानां च योगिनां च नियोगिनान् ॥ १७॥ नटानां नर्तकानां च गणकानां हिमादिजे। तथेव गणिकानां च तत्तदयोगवर्त्तिनाम ॥ १८॥ शिरःकम्पेन केषांचित केषांचिदवलोकनैः। मन्दरिमतेन केषांचित केषांचित वाग्विलासतः ॥ १९॥ आलोकशब्दैः केषांचित वेत्रपाणिसमीरितैः । संभावयन यथायोग्यमात्मदर्शनमद्भिते ॥ २० ॥ महर्तमात्रमासीनस्तस्मिन् सिंहासने नृपः। तत्परं तम्सभास्तास्तारेरिपैतान्यंबराणि वै ॥ २१ ॥ गन्धकुंकुमकस्त्ररीतांबुलानि यथोचितम् । दापयित्वासनात् तस्मादवरुद्धाप्तयोः करी ॥ २२ ॥ अवलंब्य प्रविद्यान्तःपुरं स्नीरत्नसंकुलम् । पत्रपंत्रिः परिवृतः भुक्त्वाक्रममृतोपमस् ॥ २३ ॥ ततः स्वीकत्य तांबलं अवरोधजनैस्सह । नीत्वा सरससळापैः तदहः शेषमञ्जि ॥ २४ ॥ ततः सेवार्थमागस्य बाह्यकक्षान्तरस्थितानः। सामन्तराजपुत्रादीन् समाहयाथ तैस्सह ॥ २५ ॥

निशामुक्ते वाणविद्यां द्वायानाष्ट्रोक्य तत्परस् । विशालो प्राप्त पृत्रतिनार्व्यशालां क तेस्स् ॥ २६ ॥ नाळां महि मिरास्य नामाभिनयमं लुल्ह्स । विशासि स्वाप्त प्राप्त ॥ प्राप्त प्राप्त

कतथ्य कम कायत नया त्रवहतत्त्व ॥ ५६॥ इन्याकाश्चमिरवाक्ये महाशेवतन्त्रं साम्राज्यपीठिकायां नरक् चतुर्वशी-कर्तत्वमहोत्सवस्वरूपकथनं नाम सप्तविव्वतमः पटलः। "

Page 22- 68th Patala describing दीपावली-उत्सव

" श्री शिवः -- अथ तत्कार्तिके मासि दीपावस्यां महाभुजा। यत्कर्तव्यं पृथुश्रीणि वस्त्यामि तव सांप्रतयः ॥ १ ॥ कार्तिकस्य सिते पक्षे या तिथिः प्रतिपत् स्मृता। दीपावस्त्रीति विक्रेया सा सर्वा ग्रुअश्वाधिनी ॥ २ ॥ तस्यां तिथीं तृषः प्रातकस्थाय शयनात्मिथे । कृतशीचक्रियस्सम्यक् कृत्वा वै दन्तभावनस् ॥ ३ ॥ नित्यकर्म विनिवेष्यं नत्कास्त्रीवतसिक्षेत्रं । उपास्य भास्करे राजा ततस्संगव आगते ॥ ४ ॥ प्रोतितं पुरस्कृत्य तदाशीभिविंवर्षितः ॥ स्वेवद्वे हृदि भ्यायद् पीटे स्लमये ग्रुपं ॥ ५ ॥

<sup>ा</sup> क्लिक्स means here dupley of Five-works. Abdur Razak who stayed in Virginaugas from April 1460 to 6th Documbor Usis meatines he use of pyrdechay or fire-works at the appropriate house of longitum at Diraki featural is important as it is one of the earliest reference to appropriate Diraki featural is important as it is one of the earliest reference in Sapakit sources referring to the use of fire-works at Hindu featurals. In the आकान्नोत्यक्षण there is Arefarence to own on folice 25 as follows.—

<sup>&</sup>quot; बसोविंदो नालिकासं". Here नालिका means a gun (See also my paper on Guns and Gun-powd-r in India in Sir E. Danison Ross Volume, pp. 117-124).

स्वासीनः प्राक्तमुखो देवि वायघोषपुरस्सरम् । अभ्यक्तो सन्धर्नेलेन स्नाधितः कोष्णवारिणा ॥ ६ ॥ धीतांबरधरः कालदेशे धत्वोध्र्यपण्डकम् । निर्वर्श्व नित्यकर्माथ तत् कास्त्रोचितमत्रिज्ञ ॥ ७ ॥ स्बेष्टदेवं समाराध्य गन्धपुष्पाक्षतादिभिः। अलक्ष्मीवरिहारार्थं लक्ष्म्याश्च स्थैर्यसिखये ॥ ८॥ लक्ष्मीनारायणप्रीत्यै दत्वा दीपत्रयं नुपः। सदाक्षिणान्द्विजातिभ्यस्तेन नीराजितः पुनः ॥ ९ ॥ मप्रवरीत्रस्मासात्यः सर्वाभरणभूषितः। आस्थानजालामासाय राजामास्थानवर्तिनाम् ॥ १०॥ सर्वेषां दर्शनं दस्वा यथोचितसगारसजे। गन्धकस्तारिकादीनि वृत्वा भूमिपुरंदरः ॥ ११ ॥ महर्तमात्रमासीनां भूपतिः कनकासने। मत्परं तान्नपत्ररान् प्रस्थाप्यान्तःपरं नपः॥ १२॥ प्रविक्य देवि साम्राज्यलक्ष्मीं संप्रज्य सादरम्। कान्ताजनेन कपुरदीपनीराजितांऽदिजे ॥ १३॥ भक्तवा सहर्ते सुप्तवाऽथ सायैकाले समागते। माळयुद्धादिकं दृष्ट्या तत्परं तुनिशासके ॥ १४ ॥ नगरे देवसामारेष्वालयंषु सभास च। चरवरेष तु रम्येषु मोपुराहालकेषु च ॥ १५॥ हर्स्येष चातिरम्येषु मीधेष्वत्युक्तंत्व च। विशालास वरारोहे चन्द्रशालास मर्वतः॥ १६॥ भपाळास्थानक्टेषु के याम् विविधास् च। नाट्यशालासु रम्यासु वीथीषु च विशेषतः ॥ १७॥ विन्यस्य विविधान दीपान किंकरांस्त कतश्रमान । गन्धताम्ब्रख्यसायैर्बहुमान्य महीपतिः ॥ १८॥ तत्सर्वं दीपनिवहं श्रिये जातेति मन्त्रतः। महालक्ष्मये नरपतिः समध्यं तद्नन्तरम् ॥ १९ ॥ मिलासने स्वकीयानि भषणानि निघाय च। जलपूर्ण स्वर्णकंभं पहावायेरलंकृतस्॥ २०॥ तण्डलोपरि विन्यस्य तत्रावाद्य बर्लि प्रभम्। मध्यमाणप्रकारेण ध्यायेद्वाजा सहाबक्ति ॥ २१ ॥

ध्याचेद्वालें महाकायं सर्वाभरणभूषितम्। नपमाहन देवेन्द्र वैभवं विष्णवस्थम ॥ २२ ॥ स्तव चर्मधरं स्वर्णकान्तिकान्ताससन्वितसः। अकायमान्यसाहितं दैन्यदानवसेवितम् ॥ २३ ॥ पुर्व ध्यास्त्राचिरसम्यक नामसन्त्रेण ते **अलिस** । नतः पष्पांजलिं दयात वश्यमाणेस्त नामभिः॥ २४॥ वलीन्द्रः प्रहादपौत्रो विरोचनसुतस्ततः। महस्दर्मात्नो दानवाधीको विष्णाभक्तकः ॥२५॥ विभवपद इत्येतिर्दत्वा पृष्पाजिलि ततः। पार्थयेत्स्वाभिल्यां सन्वेणानेन पार्थिवः ॥ २६॥ यथा न्वं सर्वलोकानामधिपोस्य सरेश्वर। तथा में करु सीभाग्यं यावदाभतसंप्रवस्॥ २०॥ हाति संप्रार्थ्य विषेक्षे द्यादिनानसारतः। सवर्णं रजतं मक्तामणीश्च विविधानपि॥ २८॥ मित्राप्तबन्धमुख्येभ्यो द्याद्धर्षममन्त्रितः। कर्परज्ञकलेस्मार्थं तांबलानि विज्ञेषनः ॥ २९ ॥ एव ऋत्वा नरपतिर्दीपावत्युत्सवं प्रियं। मर्वद्निश्च यत्पुण्यं यत्पुण्यमखिलाध्वरैः ॥ ३० ॥ तत्पण्यं समवाश्रोति नात्र कार्या विचारणा। वर्व ते देवि कथितो दीपायस्योत्सवी महान ॥ ३१ ॥ आयरारोस्यविजयसंपत्सारस्वतप्रदः। इत्याकाशभैरवास्ये महाशेवतन्त्रे साम्राज्यलक्ष्मीपीविकायां सीपा-वन्यत्सयस्यस्यक्षकथनं नाममष्ट्रपष्टितमः पटलः॥

The two chapters reproduced above contain quite a colourful picture of the royal Lwadi celebrated on two days viz. (1) आस्त्रिय हमा जतुर्देश and (2) क्रांतिक ग्रह्म पतिष्य. This is Dradi as observed in the South of India between say A.D. 1400 and 1600. I now continue my story of Divali and come to the extreme North of India and record a short description of Lwali given by a Muslim writer Abdul Rahamān in an Apubhrawis poem called the संदेशनासक ?

Ed. by Jinavijayan and Bhayani, Bharatiya Vidyābhayan, Bombay, 1945, page 71. In the Profess (p. 13) Muni Jinavijayaji infers that δέχειτακ "must have been composed during the reign of Siddharija, or Kumfraphili, i. a. in the latter half of the 18th century or at the lates the first half of the 18th century or fite Vitternam etc."

in which we find a description of the month of Kārttska and the Dipāvalī night (जोडिक्सिडि) as follows:—

" दिंतिय णिसि दीवास्त्रिय दीवय णवससिरेहसरिस करि लीअय । मंडिय अवण तरुण जोइन्स्विहिं

महिलिय दिंति सलाइय अक्लिहीं ॥ १७६ ॥ " Mr. Bhavani observes on p. 90 of his critical Introduction :---

"On the Dipāculi night mansions were decorated with lights resembling the crescent moon. Ladies applied collyrium to their eyes (176)".

The commentary called टिप्पनक on the above passage reads as follows —

अथ कार्तिकवर्णनम्—' नार्यः दीपान् नवशशिरसासदशान् करे शुद्दीत्वा निश्च दद्दनित तज्जातिष्कः दीपेः भुवनानि मण्डितानि । अन्यम् नार्यः नेषां दीपानां कज्जर्कः शलाकया क्रुस्ता नेत्रेषु स्वतिन ॥ १७६॥ ॥'

Illumination of houses on the Divali night by Hindu ladies is apily described by this Muslim writer who belonged to Multan' ( प्रत्याप ) in the Punjab. He was well versed in Sanskut, Prakut and Apothomia He must have mastered these languages at some sent of Hindu Culture (perhaps it was Multan).

From the above description of Leali in the Punjab of C. A. D. 1150 we now go to Alberuni's description of Leali of C, A. D. L

Ist  $K\bar{a}nhka$  or new moon's day, when the sun marches in Libra is called Dvoll. Then people bathe, dress festively, make presents to each other of betel leaves and arecs nuts; they ride to the temples to give alms and play merrily with each other till noon. In the night they light a great number of lamps in every place so that the air is perfectly clear. The cause of this festival

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See p. 753 of World Pretorial Gazatteer by Hammerton — Multan stands on the river Cherab. It is about 190 miles S. W. of Lahore. Principal Buildings are two Mahomedan Shrines and the remains of an old Hindu temple. A great trading centre (shawls and carpets.)

Vide p 182 of Vol II of Alberum's India, ed. by E. C. Sachau, London, -- Chap LXXVI

is that Laksmi the wife of Väsudenz once a year on this day liberates Bali, the son of Virocana, who is a prisoner in the seventh earth. Therefore, the festival is called Balirājus 1. s. the principality of Bali. The Hindus maintain that this time was a time for luck in Kramuga and they are happy because the feast day in question resembles that time in the Kramuga.

In our search for the lights of the *Dwill* we have traversed a period about 950 years and moved from the south of India to its extreme north. We stand on the threshold of antiquity at about 1000 A D. and try to see if we can cross this threshold and notice any lights of the *Dwill* in the inner spartments of the Indian history.

About 90 years before Alberuni's description of the Hinda Divali in North India we find a brilliant poetic description of Drail, in the Vaisatslaka cample of Somadevasüri composed in Saka 881 (A. D. 959). About this description my friend Dr. V. Raghavan makes the following remarks in his Gleanings from Somadevasür.'s Vaisatulaka-cumpü'' (p. 379 of Journal of Qanqanath. Jha Research Institute, May 1944 - Vol. I, part 3):

"Pp. 597-99 (Kāvyamālā 70. Bombay, 1901) — The Mahā-navani festival is followed by the Dipotana. Houses are white-washed and decorated with white festoons: music, merry-making and gambling go on: the tops of houses are bright with rows of lights. This festival is in the Saud season."

The text of the Dwali description reads as follows:---

"--इति विजयजेत्रायुधमामधावनोधितछक्ष्मीं महानवमीं <sup>8</sup> निर्वर्त्य ।

In a Chinese poem on the Lantern Festival (of the first full Moon) by Au-yang Hisn (A. D. 1007-1072) we get a parallel of our Divali (Vide p. 384 of Tien Hisa Monthly, (November, 1939). I quote a stanza from this poem.—

<sup>&</sup>quot;Last year at the Lantern Festival
The flower-market lights were bright as day,

When the moon mounted to the tops of the willows, Two lovers kept their tryst after the yellow dusk "

<sup>•</sup> The মানুলক্ষ্য festival was celebrated on a buge scale during the time of the Vijayanngar Empire. It is called নৃশ্যের at present. It begins on আবিদ মৃদ্ধ নৃশ্যের কালিব and ends on আবিদ মৃদ্ধ নৃশ্যের কালিব বাবে বিশ্বাস, which is easin followed by the Dividi on কার্নিক মৃদ্ধ মানিব, (See P. 207-284) of surfaren present presents.

तथा--

हंसावछी द्विगुणकेतृसितांशुकक्षीः पद्मावतंत्रसम्वरित्तमायतारः । प्रातादसारितसुभावृतिदिप्तदिकौ वैपिात्सवस्वत तनोतु पूर्व महीशः ॥ ४६२ ॥ युत्तोन्मादितकानिमीजितभुतप्राणेशचाद्रस्वदः क्षींशद्वारविकासिनीजनभवद्भगाविकल्पोद्धदः । आतोषभ्यनिसङ्कारवस्तरवाजृत्मिताशासुकः प्रति पूर्णमनोरथस्य भवतः पृष्यात्मदर्गिपात्सः ॥ ४६३ ॥

आभान्यवर्षाहाकराष्ट्रचिटक्रूपालि-दीपावर्लः युतिपुतपुरसीपबन्धाः । प्रन्यक्समतमहीषपिदीपदेहा-स्पर्वा सेविनुं कुलनमा इव दत्तपाताः ॥ ४६४ ॥ इति सुनासुक्तस्पितावसर्थं दीपीस्सव्धियं चानभूय । etc. ः

From the above description of  $Dw\bar{u}lt$  by a Southern writer of C. A. D. 950 we go to a Kashmirian text "not later than  $\bar{u}lt$  or 7th century A. D." viz. the filterapy 1 in which I find the following description of  $Dw\bar{u}lt$  —

Page 42 (Lahore Edition, 1924)-

तथा पक्षे व्यतीते तु कर्तव्या मुखसुतिका ॥ ५०५ ॥ पश्चम्यां यथा विम तथा में मदतः ग्रृणु । तस्मा दिवा न मोकव्यं बालातुरुजनं विना ॥ ५०६ ॥ सूर्ये त्वस्तमनुमानं पूजीयता करीणिणीम् ॥ ५०० ॥ चतुष्वप्रसातातो देयाः देवतायतनेषु च ॥ ५०० ॥ चतुष्वप्रसातानोपु नतीप्वतंतवेशसम् ॥ वृक्षस्तेष्ठेषु मोडेषु ज्यत्वरंष्ट्याणणेषु च ॥ ५०० ॥ वस्त्रेश्चवाणणाः सर्वे कर्तव्यास्त्रोधोतिताः। विपासात्वापरिक्षिमे पदेशे नदनन्तरम् ॥ ५०९ ॥ स्वरुक्तिक भोकर्ण विजेतन्तरम् ॥ ५०९ ॥ स्वरुक्तिक भोकरणं विजेतन्तरम् ॥ ५०९ ॥ स्वरुक्तिक भोकरणं विजेतन्तरम् वासासा। मार्गावेशमिताः। परिकारा

<sup>1</sup> Ed. by Ramial and Zaddoo, Lahore, 1924 — p. 7 of Introduction. — See also Wintermits History of Indian Literature (Calcutta, 1927) Vol. I, p. 833 — Kahlang (about 1148 A D) deev on this Purflay He registed at as a venerable Purflay. "It must be several centuries earlier than Kathana's work" (1974[59]).

ततः मात्रे द्वितीयोह्न स्वनुष्ठितिः स्वछंकुतिः।
कीदितयं तथा यूरीः बोतव्यं गीतवादितम् ॥ ५११ ॥
विदेशपदम भोकस्यैः पूर्वोकैस्तेनत्तत्तः ॥ ५११ ॥
विदेशपदम भोकस्यैः पूर्वोकैस्तेनत्ततः ।
तस्या रात्री तु कर्तेव्यं राज्यास्थानं मुक्काभितः।
गर्थवंकैस्तया पूर्वेः रान्नेश्रीवाभित्यंकृतस् ॥ ५११ ॥
वृरियमालापरिक्षिमं तथा पूर्वेन पूर्वितम् ॥
वृरियमालापरिक्षमं तथा पूर्वेन पूर्वितम् ॥
पुण्या नृतनवासानिः मुक्सवंविष्वांचवाः।
बाह्यणा सूर्यवर्माश्च चन्द्रदेव यथाविषि ॥ ५१५ ॥
इति नि० कारिकासायां वीपमालावणेनम् ॥
इति नी० कारिकासायां वीपमालावणेनम् ॥

The above passage corresponds to verses 398 to 407 in the Critical Edition of the *Nilamata* by K. S. J. M. de Vreede published by E. J. Brill, Leiden, 1936 (pp. 36-37).

From the description of Dipamālā in the Nilamala Purāma of Kashmir composed in the "ôth or the century A. D." we turn to the play Nāgānanda" of Śri Harsa, who is supposed to be identical with Harsavardhana of Kanaul, the reputed author of two other plays viz. Rataānali and Pragadaskā, who ruled between A. D. 606 and 618 In Act IV of Nāgānanda I find a reference to Draāli in the following extract:—

" प्रतिहार—अहमीय महाराजविश्वावसुना समादिष्ठः। यथा भुनन्द् मण्ड मित्रावमुं हुहि । अस्मिन् दीपप्रतिपदुस्सवे मरुष-वश्या जामानृश्चैतदुस्तवानुरूपं पर्तिष्ठ प्रदीयते । तदा-गत्य निरूप्यताम् इति । तद्वच्छतु राजपुत्रीसकाशमार्थः। अहमिष युवराजिमवाबसोरानयनाय गच्छामि ।

(इति निष्कान्ती)

In their notes 3 on the expression "ব্ৰিমনিগৰ্মনৰ" the editors observe. "This seems to be a festival held on the first day of

Ed. by G. B. Brahme and S. M. Paranipe.

Ibid, pp. 61-62.
 Ibid Notes, p. 51.

<sup>10 |</sup> Annals, B. O. R. I |

the bright fortaight of  $K\bar{u}rika^1$  and celebrated with illuminations, our  $Dv\bar{u}li$ ." I think the cumulative effect of the history of  $Dv\bar{u}li$  reconstructed by me so far will warrant the above identification of siversequent with our  $Dv\bar{u}li$  festival.

All modern annotators and editors of the Niiojinanda equate "दीपयिषद्वस्य" with Nivoili festival but the commentary of Sivarama explains the expression with the remarks: धरिषद्वस्य स्थाडीगाइगर्थ. I cannot say if the Nivoili was known as स्थाडीगाइगर्थ. I cannot say if the Nivoili was known as स्थाडीगाइगर्य उत्तर in ancient or mediaeval Indis In this connection I note here some points about the origin of the Nivoili festival recorded by "Reyedt" in his book on Aryon Festivals' already referred to by ms. These points are briefly as follows:

- (1) The present दीपावाल महोत्सव is a unification and transformation of three पाकवज्ञ v.z. (i) पावण, (ii) आध्वयुजी and (iii) आध्यण.
- (2) পাৰ্থক appears to have been observed on আত্মিন বৰ্ম ২০ in ancient times as a প্ৰেয়ের in honour of the *question*s.
- ( 3 ) आश्वयुजी was observed in ancient times on the आश्विन पौर्णिमा. It pertained to agriculture, the presiding deity of which was सीता.
- ( ६ ) आग्रयण ( नवासोडि ) was observed on सार्गधीर्व पौर्णिमा It indicated the closing of the संवन्तर
- (5) In course of time कार्तिक was fixed upon as the first month of the विक्रम संबद during the reign of विक्रमादिय, the founder of this
- 1 Mr. R. N. Mehtan his Pre-Buddhist India (Bombay, 1939, pp 314-355) deals with sports and festivals. In this connection he refers to (2) 政府有本 festival on the might of the Full Moon (電荷衛 河間田) (2) আ阳石—might of Full Moon (電荷明荷希 市田村) There is no reference in Mehta's description of these festivals to any display of lamps. (See J. I., pp 494-306-1.13). II, p 312, V. pp 212-214, and J VI. pp. 221-225, G 947, 949,955 (宝田河 국田村) The Property of the P
- h Krishnashasti Chuplunkar 10 his Marabhi translation of Nagahunda (Bombay, 1865) p 105, translates the oxpression "ধুলাবিশুলে তা ক'ব বিষয়েইটাই আলাই বিশ্বনি শিল্প কিছিল।" Principal R. D Karmarkar in his Edition of Nagahunda (Poona, 1919) p 50 of Notes, observes "In the introductory seeme from the occurrention of the aggid and rightir we learn that red garments have to be presented for ten days after marriage according to the custom of the time t. the bride and the bride-groom and also that flequegy wants to make a suitable present to the newly wedded pair at the approaching Dipplandi festival".
  - Ed by T Ganapati Saste, Trivandrum S Series, 1917, page 187.
  - ' आयोंच्या सणांचा इतिहास, Bombay, 1916, pages 290-293.

era. The custom of celebrating the  $Dv\bar{u}li$  in this month in association with the other festivities of the New year's beginning must have given the  $Dv\bar{u}li$  its present form.

The above hypothesis about the origin and development of the modern Dvalil festival needs to be substantiated by evidence. Its author has not recorded any convincing evidence in its support to compel our acceptance of it.

Our story of Duāli as revealed by datable literary evidence has so far come to C A. D. 800. If the विषयतिष्युष्पय mentioned in the Nāgānanha definitely means the Duāli! we can easily take its antiquity at least upto Δ. D. 500, as national festivals have always a long tradition behind them.

To go backwards from A. D. 500 is now a difficult task and I earneatly invite brother-scholars to point out from early sources any references to or descriptions of the Duāli which can establish the antiquity of this national festival at least for 2000 years, if not more.

Hemacandra in his Desināmamātā <sup>2</sup> (III, 43) mentions a Lesi word "जनसरती" (= यहाराव) and equates it with त्याही or रीपालिका. If this explanation is correct we can easily take back the antiquity of Divādi to the time <sup>2</sup> of Vātsyāyana's Kāmasādia, which mentions प्रपापित in its list of festivals, as pointed out by Mr. T. N. Ray in his delightful article on "The Indoor and out-door Games of Ancent India" (Vide p 244 of Proceedings of

Example:-- मणिवस्तरहि कुणन्ती जघाछेअस्मि **जक्खरार्ने** व । जण्णोहगाण वि तुमं णि सि जच्छेदेण कि डर्रास ॥ ५५ ॥

<sup>2</sup> About 100 A. D.-Keith assigns the Kāmasūtra to C. o00 A. D. Mr. Ray accepts the date "beginning of the Christian era" for the work,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ed. by Ramānujasvāmi in B. S. Series (B. O. R. Institute, Poona, 1938) p. 148.

the Indian History Congress, Calcutta, 1939). Mr. Ray states that the festivals बक्सामि, कोञ्चरीजामा and सुबसम्बक are termed आहिमनी or having got a noble purpose and are universal and the rest are देस्प or provincial. About प्रसामि Mr. Ray! observes.—

" Yaksarātrı is performed during the night of the New Moon Night of Karttika [Some are of opinion that यसरात्र is the Full Moon Night of Karttika But I don't think that they are correct Vide the commentary by Ramacandra Sastri on Kandaryacudāmani (I. 4. 42)] This night is also called सुखरात्रि. People generally gamble during this night. This is the night when the Duvili festival is celebrated nowadays almost every where In India. In Bengal and in some parts of India goddess Kāli is worshipped during the night. The New Moon and Full Moon Nights are specially fixed for the worship of Ka/i and Laksmi, the goddess of wealth. The Dwall festival is performed in honour of this goddess in most parts of India. The day following this night is called जनपतिपत which is the first day of the Indian calender of the Vikrama era. Yuksarātrı is the last night of the year. It is said that Bali, the king of the nether world ascended the throne on this day. "

Hamacandra's equation: "সমানে (ঘুন্নার) ল ব্যারী or ব্যারীকা ব্যারীকার ব্যারীকার ব্যারীকার ব্যারীকার ব্যারীকার ব্যারীকার ব্যারীকার বিশ্বারীকার বিশ্বারী বিশ্বা

<sup>1</sup> Mr. Ray states in foot-note 16 of his article - I have dealt with festivals in my Presidential address on the occasion of Dipath Ulsab (1346 B S) at Dinaptit, which is going to be published very woon, "I have not seen this address,"

The commentator Yaéothara in his disymmingala commen, on the Kamasatra chaeres (p 55 of Kamasatra, ed. by Kedarnath, N S. Press, Bombay, 1900).—

<sup>&</sup>quot; यक्षतात्रिरित । मुसराधः । यक्षाणां तत्र सन्त्रिधानाम् । तत्र प्रायकां लोकस्य यूनर्कादा । .......एता साहिमान्यः ऋदिः । देश्या आह—-सदकामधिका " etc.

At the beginning of his article on Games referred to above Mr Ray observes --

"It is not possible to gue a chrimological account of these games. There are certain games still prevalent in India, which can be traced as far back as the Buddhut, Epic, and even the Volic period. So we shall arrange the games according to their nature and shall try to give their bistory as far as practicable".

I am firmly convinced that without a chronological account of our festivals we cannot understand their history. In the present paper I have planted some mile-stones of the chronology of Pryll from about A. D. 190 to A. D. 1945 us will be seen from the following tabular statement.—

Chronology	Reference D = Divālt	Time indicated
Hetween A. D 50 and 400	কাদেবৰ mentions ঘৰণাৰ as in important festival — According to Hemacandra সক্ষমণাল (বাদিল) is equal to বাৰালাল লৈ বাদিলে কাৰ্যাৰ কি আৰু কাৰ্যাৰ কি আৰু বাৰালি লা বাৰালি কাৰ্যাৰ কি আৰু বাৰালি কাৰ্যাৰ কি আৰু বাৰালি কাৰ্যাৰ কি আৰু বাৰ্যাৰ কি	list of festivals as यक्षरात्रि, कीष्ठदीजागर, स्वयन्तक etc. Is any chronological order intended in this
A D. 606-648	Sri Harşa of Kanauj refers to frumfrugray in his play minister which is equal to modern D as it has a reference to average mentioned in the same context—The custom of presenting garments to the bride and bridegroom at this festival referred to in minister has its parallel in the modern custom of honouring the Son-in-law with feest and	

presents on the first D after

marriage.

Chronology	Reference D = Divail	Time indicated
Between A. D. 500 and 800	नासमस्तर्या composed in Kashnir describes in detail the सूप unar festival with the following features — (1) All-round illu- minations, (2) hoisting up of festooms, (3) Feasting with Brahmus, relatives and de- pendents, (4) Gambling, Music, (5) Passing the might in the company of ladies, (6) Wearing of rich apparel and jewels, (7) Presenting new garments to Iruends, relatives, Brahmins and	रखदशी — 'कार्लिक असमयां त्रीयसाला वर्षलन्त्र' iccording to colo- phon.
A. D. 959	the servents tirefact in the uniform the uniform tirefact in the uniform the u	महानवमी festival
A. D. 1030	The astronomer श्रीपति refers to दिवाळी in his Marathi commen- tary on his own ज्योतिवस्त्रमाला,	
A. D. 1030	Albertull in his "Enquiry into India" (Tablak-Hind) gives a detailed description of D with the following features:—(1) name "Diati", (2) Feative dress, (3) making presents of betal leaves and area nuls, (4   Merry-	when the Sun

Chronology	Reference D = Divali	Time indicated
-	making, (5) visiting temples and giving alms, (6) All-round display of lamps at night, (7) cause of D given as the Liberation of Bali on this day by Laksmi, wife of Vasumeux, (8) a time for luck—Alberüni entered India in the train of Mahmind of Gazn.	
A. D. 1088-1172	हमचन्द्र of Gujarāt refers in his द्शीनाममोला to"जक्सरनी" (यक्षगत्रि) and equates it with दीवाली or वीपालिका	
Before A. D. 1159	त्रिकाण्डकोष of पुरुषोत्तमदेव men tions यक्षरात्रि≕डीपाली ().1.108) (see यक्षरात्रि in St. Petersburgh Sans. Worterbuch)	
A. D 1100-1200	Abdul Rahman of Multan in the Punjab in his Apabhramsa work संदश्यासङ describes the गोग्याओं night (जोडिस्साई) with the following features —	कार्तिक वर्णन.
	(1) Illumination of mansions by ladies all-round. (2) Ladies applying the col-	4
	lyrium of these lamps to their eyes.  (3) Resemblance of the Divali-lamps to the crescent moon	
A. D. 1119	A Kannada inscription of the Madras Presidency of the reign of Calukya Tribhuvanamalla refers to a gift of one gadyāna for the service of নাউক্ষা ইম by one Kavarṣin on মাদাৰ্থ, New Moon day of সম্বুদ্ধ, सीमबार,	New Moon day of সংস্কাল্পন, নামবাৰ called নৱাৰ্থ, which Dr. Fleet regards as दीवा- বান্ত (which extended from সন্ধান ভূম্বা ২৮ to কাৰিক ছাক্ক ?).

Chronology	Reference D = Divālī	Time indicate
A. D. 1290	The Mahārāstra Saint ज्ञानेश्वर	
	refers to दिवाळी in his ज्ञानेश्वरी in	
	three different metaphors - The	
	illuminations of the Divali are	
	compared to the light of spiritual knowledge.	
A. D. 1250	In the Marathi Mahanubhava	
	text लीळाचरित्र a long description	
	is given about the celebration of	
	Dwali by चक्रथर, the founder of	
	this sect and his Gosāvī pupils	
	Features of this description are:-	
	(1) Plenty of water stored and	
	used for the Divall bath, taken	
	by the Gosāvis.	
	(2) Use of oil for rubbing the bodies before this bath.	
	(3) Waving of lights (क्षेत्राद्धणी)	
	before the Gosavis by the	
	woman-disciple of चक्रधर.	
	(4) Preparation of choice	
	dishes for dinner such as मोदङ.	
	लाह , सेव etc. on the भाऊबीज (यम	
	बिनीया ) day following the Divali	
	day.	
	This was a Saint's Doulli with-	
	out any merry-making of the	
	aristocratic variety. It was	
	quite modest and simple.	
A. D. 1260	हेमाद्रि in bis चतुर्वर्गचिन्तामाणि ( वत	कार्तिक शुक्र द्वितीया.
11. p. 1400	खण्ड ) describes the यमद्वितीया (or	
	भाऊबीज ) festival In this connec-	
	tion he quotes a passage from	
	भविष्योत्तर containing the story of	
	पम and his sister यहना, who	
	that time this festival was	
	observed by brothers and sisters.	

Chronology	Reference D = Divāli	Time indicated
A. D. 1305	सन्दाक of Gujarat in his स्वयन्तर- विज्ञासाँचे narrates the story of Kölhöpur king who was contem- porary of king Siddhariga of Gujarat (A D 1993-1143). In this connection he refers to the Dumiti festival at Kolhapur with the following features.— (1) Worship of the महाज्ञक्षी goddess of Kolhapur by the king's wives on the Dudii night (2) Oblistion of gold jewels and camphor offered to महाज्ञक्षी on the Dividi night to on the Dividi night to Siddharaja.	
A. D 1420	(3) Gift of a magic garment to netreskih by the above officer.  Nicolo Conti, the Italian traveller who visited Vinayanagar about A D. 1420 or 1421 has described the festivals that were celebrated at Vijayanagar such as ব্যস্তানিব্য, নহানবদ্ধী, ব্যাব্যর করা কর্ত্তা (Vide p. 258 of ভিষাবন্য করার ইক্তে (Vide p. 258 of ভিষাবন্য করার ইক্তে (Vide p. 258 of ভিষাবন্য করার করার ব্যাব্যর করার করার করার করার বিশ্বাবন্ধী চিন্তান, 1936-37, article on বিল্লান্য করারি ব্যক্তির ব্যাবি স্থানি চিন্তান, 1936-37, article on বিল্লান্য করারি ব্যক্তির ব্যাবি চিন্তান, 1936-38, article on বিল্লান্য করারি ব্যক্তির ১৮ দি describes the	
Between A. D 1450 and 1600	Attavale ). He describes the Drazii lamps kept burning day and mght within temples and on their roofs  The আভায়ামীব্যহল, an encyclopaedic work dealing with social religious and political life, possibly of Vijayanagar Empire describes in two chapters how a ting should observe the Divaji	अश्विन <b>रूण चतुर्द</b> or नरक च <b>तुर्दर्श</b> and कार्तिक सिते पक्षे प्रतिपत्

Chronology

Reference D = Divali

Time indicated

on नरकचतुर्दशी and कार्तिक शुक्ल प्रति-पत्. The features of the नरकचतुर्दशी महोत्सव are:--

- (1) This festival brings victory, progeny, happiness, prosperity etc to kings It is called सामाज्यद (giver of Sovereignty)
- (2) Early morning bath, (3) Worship of *Purchilas* and other emment Brahmins.
- (4) At the bath the king's wife should anoint him with oil and mallas (wrestlers) should bathe him with warm water. This is called negation
- (5) King should worship his special deity and light three lamps before it
- (6) Then he should go to the आस्थानकृट (assembly hall) duly attired with sword, helmet, ornaments etc. and sit on the royal throne (सिंहासन) with all his servants and officers in attendance. He should receive here the members of the assembly consisting of tributary princes. learned Brahmins, poets, singers, actors, dancers, astrologers, and courtezans. This reception should be according to the status of each class of the assembly The King should then distribute presents in the form of garments. The distribution of tambula etc. should bring the function to a close.

#### Chronology

#### Reference D = Divait

## Time indicated

(7) The King should then go to the अन्य: ut and there in the company of beautiful ladies, his sons and grand-sons etc. finish his dinner

(8) In the evening he should witness, in the company of tributary princes etc. a display of बाणविद्या (fire-works) followed by a dramatic performance in the नाट्यजाला accompanied with singing etc.

(9) He should then retire to the STOR UV. take his meals with the members of the house-hold and later pass the night in the company of his chief queen (महिपी)

The features of the दीपायली proper on the following day ( कार्तिक श्रद्ध प्रतिपत् ) are :--

- (1) Bath etc. as on the pre-
- vious day. (2) Worship of भारकर (Sun).
- (3) offering three lamps to लक्ष्मीनारायण for averting अलक्ष्मी and establishing SEEF on a secure basis (स्थेपासेक्ये ).
- (3) Holding a gathering in the SHEWISEE as on the previous day.
- (4) Retiring to अन्तःपुर and there worshipping साम्राज्यसभी.
- (5) After-noon rest, followed by entertainments like महायुक्त.
- (6) Illuminations all-round in the capital in temples, assem-

Chronology	Reference D = Divā]I	Time indicated
A. D. 1590	bly-hulls, terraces, streets and squares, theatres etc. (?) Honouring the royal servants with tambular and garments after their labours (ভ্ৰম্মনাৰ) for the success of the Divali celebrations.  (8) Dedication of the entire illuminations (ব্যক্তিত্ব) to সহাত্ত্বলি (9) Worship of খালী, son of दिश्यम and grandson of सहार. (10) Distribution of gifts of gold etc. to Brahmins, relatives etc with a loyous heart.  Thus terminates the स्वयस्थान करने करने करने करने करने करने करने करन	Kürltıka इल्लावस १५ and श्रक्षपस १३.

In the loregoing study of the mistory of the Devale festival I have confined my evidence as far as possible to non-Puranic sources, as the chronology of the Pursinic sources is not definite. I hope now that many of my friends who have made a close study of the Pursinic sources will throw more light on this festival of lights by linking up the Pursinic sources with the chronology of this festival as recorded in the present paper for a period of about 2000 years from the beginning of the Christian era upto the present day 1

'Sunce this paper was drafted I have sent for publication in the Journal of the Dr. Ganganatha Jha Reversch Institute, Allahabad a paper on "The Sakhausptkin of the Nilomata Parlana (between A D 500 and 300) and the Adulya Purlana quoted by Hemidri (C. A. D. 1280) and its relation to the modern Dunki Festivati" — I have further received the following replies to my aquiries about Disalt, which would be useful for further investigation in thus field—

(I) Mm. Prof. P V. Kane writes on 22-1-1946 -

"The 4th volume of my work (History of Dharmadistro) will deal with fasticals and wrides. Theoriero, so fast I have written nothing about the Dwish festival. From my notes I find that Hemadri quotes হুৱাৰু, midsaugren for lighting lamps in Dirach. similarly the nearwifting quotes নুৱাৰু, the বিশ্বাহানি, quotes নাল্লোপা, The নিৰ্বাহানিক, also quotes these and the হুৱাৰ ব্যৱস্থা (pp. 386-395 and p. 413) has something to say about simplying the natural quotes and quagfaging.

(II) Dr A. P. Karmarkar who is now working on Puranic Cilture at the Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay, has kindly sont me the following references to Dipadual from the Purans. on 1-2-1946 —

(1) पराप्राण ( उत्तरस्वह, chap. 122); (2) पाननप्राण (chapter 92 verses 53 ff. — Note also the दशावनारs in the अद्याणकपुराण); (3) स्कन्द-प्राण (विध्याव स्वयः - कार्तिकमासमाहान्य, chapters 9 ff.).

(III.) Dr. A. N. Upodhye (Kolhapur) writes about Jan. Itsuft on Set—1046.—"The Invalit is the most important festivity with the Jainas, Lord Mahhvira attained Mokse on the option will be the New Moon in the option produced by illuminating lights on the might of the New Moon in the month of Karittka, the worgs remarks: "Since the light of intelligence is gone, let us make an illumination of material matter?" (see S. B. E. Vol. 23, pp. 264-265). The era of quift' frequire begins from quift's and for the matter of the satisfies point for our study.

am thankful to all the above friends for their helpful and prompt replies to my queries about  $Dvv\bar{v}lv$ .

## 262 Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute

My present study of the history of the Divâli has been pre pared in space-time context. The time-context has been made sufficiently clear in the table given above. As regards the space-context I may observe that the sources used in the present study pertain to the celebration of the Dwāli from Kashmir to Madras at such places as Multan, Kashmir, Kanaup, Delhi, Agra, Gujarāt. Chhattisgarh (C. P.) Mahārāstra, Poona, Mālkhed (in Nīzam's Dominions), Vijayanagar, etc. This space-context shows the national, character of the Dwāli from very early times. Yaśo dhara, therefore is right in putting the Yakswā'n' (or Dwāli) in the category of Māhimani (national) lestivals mentioned by the Kāmasūtra as distinguished from the deśya (provincial or regional) festivals.

#### JURIDICAL STUDIES IN ANCIENT INDIAN LAW

#### 10. Different Types of Deposits1

BY

#### PROF. Dr. LUDWIK STERNBACH ( Poland )

Abbreviations: - Amar. - Amarakośa ed. by Loiseleur Deslongchamps, Paris 1839 . Ap - Apastambīva Dharmašāstra ed. by G. Buehler, Bombay, Sanakeit Series 1892-94, B.-Baudhayana Dharmasastra ed. by E. Hultzsch in "Abhandlungen fuer die Kunde des Morgenlandes, Vol. VIII., Leuzig 1884. Brh -Brhaspati Smrti, reconstructed, ed. by K. V. Rangasvami Alyangar, Gackwad's Oriental Series, Vol LXXXV, Barods 1941, Col. - Digest on Hindu Law on Contracts and Successions with a Commentary by J. Tercapancanana trans by H. T. Colebrooke, Vol. I London 1891, Dh - Dharmakośa, Vyavahāra-kāņda, Vivādapadām ed. by L. Joshi, 1938. G.-Gautamiya Dharmasastra. The Institutes of Gautama ed. by A F. Stenzier, London 1876, with Masari Bhasya ed by L Srinivasicharya. Mysore 1917, ed by M. N. Dutt in the "Dharmasastra Texts , Vol I Calcutta 1908, Hal - Halayudha's Abhidhanaratna mail ed by Th. Aufrecht, London 1861 . Hem - Hemacandra's Abhidhanacintamani ed by . O. Boehtlingk and Ch. Rieu, St Petersburg 1847, K .- Kautilya's Arthaéastra ed by R. Shama Sastry, Oriental Library Publications Sanskrit Series No 37 / 64, Mysore 1924 , Kath, - Kathasaritsagara ed by H. Brockhaus, Leipzig 1839 , Kūtu - Kātvāvana Smrti ed by P V, Kane, Bombay 1933 , Mbh - Mahābhāra a ed. Calcutta 1884-1839 , Mn - Mānava Dharmaśastra with Kuliukabhatta, Nira Sag. Press, Bombay 1886, ed by Pandya. Bombay 1913 . Mrcch. - Mrochakatika ed by N. B Godabole, Bombay 1896 . N - Nārada Smrti with Nāradabhāsya of Asahāya ed by J Jolly in Bibliotheos Indica, Calcutta 1885, Paño - Pañostantra ed by J G. L. Kosegarten, Bonn 1848, Ragh - Raghuvarhsa ed by A F. Stenzler, London 1832 , Ray - Rayatarangini ed. by M. A. Stein, Rombay 1892 , Ram. -Ramavana ed by A. W. V. Schlegel, Bonn 1838, Sak,-Kalidasa s Schuntala ed by C Boehtlingk, Bonn 1842, Sar. - Sarasvati-Vilasa ed by Rev Th. Fonikes, London 1881, Smr. C. - Smrti-Candrika by Devannabhatta ed. by L. Srimvasacharya, Mysore 1916, Sukr. - Sukranitisāra ed by Oppert, Madras 1882, Vas. - Vasistha Dharmasastra ed by A A. Fuebrer in "Bombay Sanskrit and Prakrit Series" No. XXIII Poona 1930 . V: -Visnu-Smrti ed by M. N. Dutt in the "Dhasmassiatra Texts" Vol. II. Calcutta 1909, Viv. - Vivādacintāmani from Vācaspatimiśraviracarita (Rāmacandravidvāvagīšašodhitah) 1894; VM - Vyavahāra Mayūkha of Bhatta Nilakantha ed. by P V. Kane, 1926; P. - Yanavalkya Dharmaénatra ed by A. F. Stenzler ( Yaifiavalkya's Gesetzbuch ) Berlin-London. 1849 with Mitaksara's ( Mit ) Commentary of Vijaanesvara by W L S. Pansikar in Niin Sagar Press, Bombay 1936, with Viramitrodaya ( Vir. ) Caukhamba Samskrta-Granthamālā; with Aparārka's Commentary in Anandšáramasamskrtagranthavalı ( Apar. ).

<sup>1</sup> See also my No 11 " Law of Deposits "

## 1. Contract of Deposit

Deposit is a contract whereby one person delivers a movable thing to another for gratuitous safe custody. Depositum as well as commedatum, mandatum etc are species of fiducia.

#### 2. Depositum - Fiducia

The Smrts very often use the word "deposits", whilst meaning fiducia. We find several sorts of deposits in the Smrts. Some of them are in reality specific varieties of deposits (e.g. sealed deposits, open deposits, etc.), but by far the greater number are not deposits from the point of view of the theory of law, but some specific contracts in which fiduca plays a prominent role. However, as the Smrtss and their commentators class these relative, specific agreements among contracts of deposit, therefore, from the point of view of the theory of ancient Indian Law, we must consider them as contracts of deposits, although from the general point of view of law these contracts have nothing to do with the contracts of deposits, or are only slightly connected with these contracts.

### 3. Synonyms

In the Sanskrit nomenclature we find three most important synonyms for the word deposit .e. 유현지, उपनिष and 국제자. From the legal point of view the difference between these three synonyms is very slight Other words are also known for the desigration of the deposit.

Hemacandra in Abhidhānacintāmani quotes these three synonyms, E Halsyudha in Abhidhānaratnamālā quotes দিল্লপ and বৰ্ষনিথি as synonyms and Amarakoša mentions ব্যনিথি and ব্যাপ as synonyms.

# A. Open deposits

## 4. Quotateans

The word fixed from field (down, into) + fixed (to throw, to lav, to cast), the laid down, the delivered, the preserved, the entrusted received, a deposit, is used in Mn., N., Brh., Vi, Vir., VM. as the principal word for the designation of a deposit.

- <sup>1</sup> 870 <sup>2</sup> निक्ष्मेपोपानीचि <sup>2</sup> I-82 <sup>4</sup> निक्षेपः स्याटुपनिार्ध
- II-9-81 पुमान् उपनिधिर्गासः

It is quoted in Hem, as synonym of उपानिधि and न्यास and in Hal. as synonym of उपानिधि,!

Mn. (VIII-4) cumerating eighteen titles of law mentions নিৰুপ and quoting the classification of offences (XI-57) also uses this word In addition Mn. quotes নিৰুপ in the chapter relating to deposits (VIII-179-181, 185, 186, 188, 190-192, 194, 196, 149). Mn. also uses the word বদনিছে (VIII-185, 186, 149) and considers it as another kind of deposit (VIII-196). However, it must be noted that for a "sealed ' deposit Mn uses the word समुद्र (VIII-188)

Although N. (16) in enumerating the titles of law uses the word ব্যবিধি and Asabāya commenting on these rules does not mention the word নিম্বৰ at all, it must be emphasized that N. in other places almost exclusively uses the word নিম্বৰ (N II-I, 5, 7-10, 13). N defining the deposit also employs the word নিম্বৰ and regulating the problem of the sale of things not from the owner also quotes the word নিম্বৰ (N. VII-1) and repeating in I-81 that text of Mn. (VIII-149) uses both the words নিম্বৰ and ব্যবিদি, N. (II-14) also contains a general rule according to which the rules relating to নিম্বৰ, as general rules, have to be applied to पाचित, अच्यादित, शिल्य, व्यविद्धि, त्याद and प्रतिन्यास. In the text of N, which is not published in the edition in "Bibliotheea Indica" by J Jolly, and is quoted by Mit. (w! Y II-65), in VM. (p. 190,10-11) etc. N. distinguishes between নিম্বৰ and ব্যবিধি and gives good definitions of both these kinds of deposits.

Chapter XI. of Rth., which deals with deposits, is entitled लिवेश, All the other kinds of deposits are classed in Brh. under लिवेश (XI-1,15) However, Brh. seldom employs the word लिवेश for the designation of the deposit (XI-1). Brh. differentiates between लिवेश बता डोविलीएंग्ड (XI-2). ज्यान (XI-3) as well as writt and अन्यादित (XII-2) and expresses the opinion that all the rules contained in the Chapter XI also relate to जन्यादित, पाचित, विशिच्यात, etc. (XI-18).

Vi. only mentions the word निक्षेप for the designation of the deposit (V-169 / 171, XXXVI-3, LII-4).

<sup>1</sup> See § 3. 2 Vir., Parāsaramādhava.

<sup>12 [</sup>Annals, B. O. R I ]

Vir. on the other hand uses the words নিইম্ম and ৱঘনিমি promisciously. Mitramisra begins the relative para regarding deposits (p. 493) with the words নিইম্ম বিষয়ম্পনাস্থিত্যায় and concludes (p. 496) with the words নিইম্ম বিষয়ম্পনাস্থত্যায়, and conducted (p. 496) with the words হানি ব্যামিমের্মার মন্ত্রাম Commenting on N. (VII-1) Mitramisra says that the term নিইম্ম includes by implication ঘাম্মিন and other species of deposits. This statement is probably based on N. (II-14).

VM (p 190/6) entitles the chapter regarding deposits - निक्षेप but uses as synonym of the term deposit the word न्यास and understands by it all kinds of deposits.

Other sources of law consider उपनिषि as the principal word to designate the deposit but also admit the term निक्षेप for the meaning of deposits

Y. uses the word उपनिषि and निशेष (Y-II-25) separately and in N-III-230, which is similar to the sentence found in Mn (XI-57), uses the word निशेष a. Y does not consider the word उपनिषि as identical with निशेष and states (II-67) that the same rules apply to निशेष as to उपनिष्

Kigty considers the word उपातिण as the principal word for the deposit. We read there, that ऋषयोषितातिश्वन-वस्तावातियाचित्रम् (592) are identical with the उपातिण ' Katy, promiseuously employs the words याचित (595), न्याम (596/7), उपातिण (601), निवंश (592, 593, 598, 599) in the text and concludes by saying that all the rules enumerated in the relative chapter refer to all sorts of उपातिण (602).

K (177) also mentions the word उपनिधि as the principal word for the designation of deposits but extends the relative rules to निक्षेप (180/6).

Vás., in which Smrti we find very little mention of deposits and in which the rules contained in Mn (VIII-149) and N. (I-81) are repeated, mentions the word द्रपनिशेष for the designation of the deposit.

We also find the word निक्षेप in the sense of a deposit in Panc. <sup>2</sup> Kath. <sup>5</sup> Sāk. <sup>4</sup> and others.

#### 5. Definition

The Smrtis do not give us a juridical definition of the May.
Only unpublished text of N. quoted by Mit. etc. remarks:

## असंख्यातमविज्ञातं सम्रदं यश्विभीयते ।

## तं जानीयादुपनिधिं निक्षेपं गणितं विदः॥ 1

Hence दपनिषि is a sealed deposit when its contents are not counted and known, and the निशेष is an unsealed deposit when the contents are counted.

This definition seems to be right. Probably developing it Mit (ad Y 1-67, p. 163/25) remarks समार्थ त समर्थन विस्तर: In connection with the preceding sentence at its therefore evident that according to Mit. if delivery of the deposit was performed in the presence of the owner than this kind of deposit is called RRR; co igns in this case the deposit will be counted.

Vir. (p. 494/26-27) on the basts of the opinion of N, mentioned before, accepts a specific form of लिहार. This commentary is of the opinion that if an article described in the presence of an artisan (विशिष्ण) and handed over to him for preparing into an ornament is a लिहार. We shall see later on that this is not a लिहार but a शिष्टिचनास , however, we observe here the same essentials which were found in N, and Mit. re handing over of the object deposited or entrusted with somebody in the presence of the owner and counted. This is also an open deposit and not a sealed one.

How obscure the definition of the frav is, can be seen from the fact that the two, best experts and translators of K. understand frav in two entirely different ways. R Shameasstry translates frav as a sealed deposit (180/6 sqq) and J. J. Meyer as an open, unsealed deposit (284/26) We do not find in K any definition of frav and it is not clear from the context whether 3 artin or frav is a sealed or unsealed deposit, so that no reason exists wby K. should be quite different from N., Y and their commentators. Therefore, it would appear that J. J. Meyer's opinion that frav should be translated as an unsealed, open deposit is right.

It must be admitted that the निश्चेष is an unsealed deposit handed over to the depositary in the presence of the owner.

VM. p. 190/10-11, Mit, ad Y. II-65 p. 162/25-26

It has to be pointed out that N. ( II-1 ) remarks : स्वं द्रव्यं यत्र विश्वस्थासिक्षियत्यविद्यक्तिः ।

## निक्षेपो नाम तत्प्रोक्तं व्यवहारपदं बुधैः ॥

"Where one entrusts his property to another in confidence and without suspicion, that is termed निशेष, a title of law."

We observe that in N. (II-1) a very clear and exact definition of fixed is given, however, in reality, it is the proper definition of the deposit in the most general sense of this word and not a species of deposit called fixed. The best proof of that might be the fact that in the last  $\delta i \delta k a$  according to VM (p. 1908-11) this general meaning of fixed is divided into a specified fixed and suffice a variety.

## 6. उपनिक्षेप

Y. (II-25) enumerates in addition to निक्षेप the उपनिक्षेप from उप° (at, by, beside, near, with) + "नि" (down, into) + "क्षिप् (to throw, to lay, to cast), the laid down by, the entrusted with

Y. mentions the उपनिक्षेत्र in the \*lioka identical with Mn (VIII-149), N (I-51), Vas (XVI-18) and K : 191:2) All these Smriss enumerate in the respective \*liokas निक्ष and उपानिष् (निक्षेत्र निर्पेष ). Y. however uses the word निक्षेत्र instead of the word उप-निक्षेत्र. We read there.

## आघिसीमोपीनक्षेपजहबालधंनेविंना । तथोपनिधराजकीक्षोनियाणां धनैरपि ॥

If we comment on this sentence which relates to not losing deposit, etc. by adverse possession, with identical quotations from other sources quoted above, we come to the conclusion that no difference exists between the निशंप and the उपनिशंप and that both mean "open deposit". This is also the opinion expressed by Mit where we read, उपनिशंप तथा मा स्वयंस्थापद्वयंत्री तथायां प्रपाद इसे निशंप प्रधान (Mit. ad Y II-25 p 137/55-26). Consequently प्रपत्निशंप is a chattel placed for safe custody in another's hand after exhibiting its quality and quantity. The same definition of उपनिशंप can also be found in Vir: उपनिशंप तथा सामि सङ्ख्यादिन परिचिद्ध समाचित

However, the उपनिश्चेष is defined in quite a different way in Śulapāni's Dipakalikā (ad Y. II-25). Śulapāni states that the उपनिश्चेष is a deposit placed in a vessel without mentioning details

of what is deposited and with a seal. Śūlapāni, based on N., as he states, gives for the word বৰ্ণনিম্ব the definition of বৰ্ণনিম্ব Possibly the preposition ব্য was misleading for Śūlapāni and therefore, he considered in error বৰ্ণনিম্ব as sealed deposit. This can also be surmised from the fact that Śūlapāni refers to N. where we do not find the word বৰ্ণনিম্ব but only the words নিম্বৰ বাৰ বৰ্ণনিম্ব

#### B. Sealed Deposits

#### 7. Quotations

चर्णलिए from दर्ग (at, by, beside, near, with) + 'लि' (down, into) + 'ब्मा (to put, to lay, to bring) what is put into with some-body, a deposit, or जीपलिएस (creating a deposit) is considered in some Smrtts as the principal word for the designation of deposits.

It was said above that Hem. quotes उपनिधि, निक्षेप and न्यास as synonyms. Hal उपनिधि and निक्षेप and Amar उपनिधि and न्यास.

Y., Kāty, and K. consider उपनिधि (औपनिधिक) as the principal word for the designation of deposits

Y (II-67), as was mentioned above, classes all the rules relating to deposits under the word ব্যনিতি Y. (II-25) also uses the word ব্যনিষ্টা and ব্যনিতি

Katy (592) considers several kinds of deposits as equivalent to ব্যনিথি 1 and uses the word ব্যনিথি promiscuously with other synonyms for this word.

In K. the heading of the relative chapter is স্বীধনিথিক (p. 177/ 12), then K. comploys the word ব্যবনিথি (p. 177/13 aqq.) but later mentions that the rules relating to ব্যবনিথি must also be applied in case of নিইখ (p. 180%) K. uses also the word ব্যবনিথি next to কিইখ (p. 191/2) in the chapter relating to prescription

Mn. (VIII-196) differentiates between ব্যক্তি and নিষ্ট্ৰণ and in other places mentions ব্যক্তি as well as নিষ্ট্ৰণ, e. when regulating that both these deposits should not be handed over to the next-of-kim (VIII-185) and when regulating the problem of prescription (VIII-149) When regulating this last problem N. (1-81), Vās (XVI-18), K. (191/2) and Y. (II-25) also mention ব্যক্তি next to নিষ্ট্ৰণ,

<sup>1</sup> Sec 9 4.

In addition उपानिधि is mentioned in Mbh. ( I-4899. )1

## 8. Definition

We find the definition of उपानिधि or औपनिधिक in N. (II-5), Brh. (XI-2), Y (II-65). It must also be noted that N. (16) enumerating the eighteen titles of law mentions arears as one of them, although (in the second chapter) considers निशेष as the principal word for the designation of deposits. N. ( quoted in Mit ad Y. II-65, VM. and others) differentiates also between उपनिधि and निक्षेप. ?

Mit. defines उपनिधि (ad Y. II-25 p. 136/27), Vir. (ad Y. II-24/25 p 439 and ad II. 65-67 p 493/19 ), Sülspāni (ad Y. II-25 and 67 ), Viv (p 26 basing the definition on Katy, and Sukr. औपनिधिक II-327 ) considering this word as the principal for the designation of a deposit );

The definition of the उपानिधि or औपनिधिक found in different Smrtis is not uniform although, in rule, it is admitted in the Smrts that the उपनिधि is a sealed deposit.4

Vir states briefly that उपनिधि is a special kind of deposit नदी-पनिधिकं नाम निक्षेपाविशेष (Vir p 493:19).

Exhaustive definitions of उपनिधि can be found in N ( II-5 ). Y. (II-65), Brh. (XI-2) and N. (quoted in Mit. ad Y II-25. etc ). In addition उपानिषे is also defined in Vir. ( ad Y. II-24 25 ), Medh (ad Mn. VIII-148), etc.

The Smrtis state: अन्यद्रध्यव्यवहितं द्रव्यमव्याहतं च यत् ।

निक्षिप्यते परगृहं तदीपनिधिकं स्मृतस् ॥ ( N. II-5 ). वासनस्थमनास्याय हस्तेऽन्यस्य यदर्घते। द्रव्यं तदीपनिधिकं प्रतिदेशं तथैब तत् ॥ ( Y. 11-65 ). अनाख्यातं व्यवहितमसंख्यातमदर्शितम् । स्वाङ्कितं च यहत्तं तदीपनिधिकं स्मृतम् ॥ (Brlı XI-2), असंख्यातमविज्ञातं समुदं यक्तिशीयते।

तज्जानीयादपनिधिं निश्लेषं ग्राणितं विदः॥ ( N in Mit. ad Y II-65 p 162/25 ).

<sup>1</sup> For details See § 4

<sup>4</sup> Sec 8 4 2 Sukr defines also deposits in similar way.

It was mentioned before that K, does not give any definition of swifts and Shamasasatrı translates उपानिधि as an open deposit and लिक्सेप as a scaled deposit; the other way round J J Meyer, whose translation seems to be better. See &. 5.

#### उपनिधेर्भाण्डस्थानामपरिच्छिकानां ।

( Vir ad Y. II-24-25 p. 436 / 7-8 )

Hance a chattel, property ( तक्य ) which being placed in a box ( वासन, Y. II-65) or a pot ( आणह, Vir. ) or hidden in another thing (N. II-5) or, as mentioned most clearly in other Smrks,—under a seal (सहह, N. in Mit ad Y. II-65, or grigam in Brh. XI-2) or covered with cloth and scaled (Medh ad Mn. VIIII-148) without being described (अनास्थाय in Y II-65, असंस्थातमन्त्रित्ताल in Brh. XI-2) or without being counted or known ( असस्थातमन्त्रिताल in Rh. XI-2) or without being counted or known ( असस्थातमन्त्रिताल in N. in Mit. ad Y. III-65) or measured ( असर्पिद्यानां in Vir ) is deposited in other people's houses ( संपद्ध, in N. II-5) or in the hands of another—is called उपनिष्का ( in other words when a chattel is given under seal to another person for deposit or if the depository does not know what is deposited with him, this kind of deposit is known as axific.

Some of the commentators explain what is to be understood under a sealed or hidden article in another thing. These are, as explained, things which are unknown to the depository, e, he does not know whether it is gold, or silver, or pearls, or necklaces, etc. tied up and secured by a private knot prevent its being taken by another person or secured by .he impression of a seal on which special letters are engraved (Col. p. 403/4 based on Asahāya ad N.).

That is one kind of definition of the उपनिधि. However, Medh ( aci Mn. VIII-148 ) who in the beginning defines the उपनिधि in a similar way to the definitions mentioned above states that it is better to take an उपनिधि as standing for what is given for use through friendliness and favour. Most probably in this instance he bases his opinion on Mn. (VIII-196) The opinion expressed in Dingkalskā (ad Y II-25 ) is similar Šulapāni states that zward is a deposit made over for use out of affection. This point of view completely changes the meaning of the sealed deposit, of which one of the essentialia is the fact that the depositary does not know what he takes into deposit, and moreover, cannot use it The use of a deposited object also completely changes the character of this agreement. Therefore, it must be admitted that this definition is wrong. Even Sulapani, in another place, we can say, admits that he was wrong (ad Y. II-25) as ad Y. (II-67) expresses the opinion that the use of the deposit without the consent of the depositor is not allowed and he who does it should be fined. It must also be borne in mind that only Dipaklika and Medh, are of the latter opinion though all the other Smrtss define उपनिधि as sealed deposit.

## C. Secret Deposits

#### 9. Quotations

न्यास from the legal point of view is the least important synonym for the designation of deposits.

Hem. enumerates न्यास as one of the three synonyms along with निक्षेप and उपानीधे and Amar. as one of two: e along with उपानीधे.

Asahāya (ad N. 16) divides the deposits inter aliai also into न्यास Kāty. (592) enumerating various kinds of deposits ( उपनिष्) does not mention न्यास as one of them This Smrth, however, uses this word promiseuously with उपनिष्, त्रिवेष and वार्यन (593, 603)

Brh. promiscuously employs न्यास with तिश्च (XI-9, 19) and considers it from the point of view of law as a special kind of deposit, and gives a special definition of न्यास (Brh. XI-3) In addition, Brh. in the chapter relating to the sale of different articles by an unauthorised person, not the owner, (अस्यास्त्र) mentions न्यास, तिश्च and अन्याहित, separately stating, in this way, that these three deposits are different from each other (Brh. XII-2).

Y, and N. also consider न्यास as the different sort of deposit as it is stated in these Smrlis that the rules relating to उपनिधि or निशेष apply also inter alia to न्यास (Y. II-67, N. II-14).

It must also be added that in N., in which Smrti the word निस्ता is considered as the principal word for the designation of a deposit, in the chapter relating to proofs by ordeal to take place in the case of the denial of a deposit, the word न्यास is used (N.1-241).

B. ( II-1, 2, 3 ) considering the stealing of a deposit, and the like, as an offence causing loss of caste, also uses the word ज्यास for the designation of deposits

Sukr. ( IV-5, 310 ) uses the word न्यास in addition to याचित and अन्याहित.

Generally speaking the word न्यास was considered in literature, and so the collequially, as the principal word for the designation of deposits, although in juridical nomenclature it

<sup>1</sup> See \$. 3., Hem. (870), Amar. (II, 9, 81).

was a specific and rather infrequent sort of deposit 'Aud so we see in Mroch. that Vasantasena entrusting the jewels to Carudatta uses the word Furw (ourw.). Similarly this word is also used by Vidiasaka and Carudata 'In Rāj. we also find the word Furw in the chapter relating to a certain man of means who deposited a lakh of money in the house of a merobant. 'Raj. also prefers the word Furw is also used for the designation of deposita, 'Sak. (act IV-97) the word Furw is also used for the designation of deposita, 'similarly in Ragh.' and Kath.' (when referring to the deposit of the whole estate with the merohant Hiranyadatta or Hiranyagupta and tricks used by Upakofā, 'Even Rām uses the word Furw for the designation of the deposit."

1 Sec 8 16.

<sup>9</sup> Vasantasenā — अज्ञ अलिअं। परिसमु णासा णिक्सविअन्ति ण उच गेहेसु (Act I p. 69/628-629 ) Chrudatta पिद्मुसं त्यास: सत्ययम् (Act I. p. 69 (33) Vidüsaka: णासी पत्त सी Carodatta इत्य त्यास: I Vidüsaka: समस्तयसु प्रश । जद णासी चोरण अवहदी तुनं दि मोदे उपादो । Act III. n. 155/382-255)

Cărudatta यं समालम्बय विश्वासं न्यासोशमामु तथा रुतः ।

तस्यतम्महना मरुर्य प्रस्ययस्येव दीयते ॥ Act III. p. 161/353-354 साहद्रागुहसद्भावे व्यापदीपयिकं धर्मा ।

न्यासीचकार दीनाग्लक्ष कोपि वनिग्गृहे 🛭 ( VIII-124 ). See also VIII, 126, 151.

See VIII-147

अर्थो दि कत्या परकीय एव तामदा संजीप परिमहीतः । नाता समाय विशवः प्रकास प्रत्यपितन्याम डवान्तरात्मा ॥ Sak. Act. IV, 97.

स विसृष्टस्तथेत्युक्त्वा भ्राना नैवाविशातुरी । नन्दिमामतस्तस्य गुजयं न्यासमिवाभनकः ॥ Ragh XII-18.

े Tar 4.

बाजा (घरण्ये)मा सम्त्रा न्याराव्यत घरा । जिह्नेचीत इति विहासम् तत्र राजा तथा स्वय ( Kath. Tar. 4/72) Kath. Dece also in addition to न्यासीरुत the words अर्थियान्, स्थापित धान. etc

पादुके चास्य राज्याय न्यास दखा पुनः पुनः । निवर्तयामास ततो भरत भरतायजः॥ Rsm. 1, 1, 87.

13 (Annals, B, O, R I )

as well as Mbh., 1 Bhagayata Puranas and many others.

It must be noted that Mit. (ad Y. II-67) uses in addition to म्पास the word न्यस्त, a special kind of deposit a s. gold, etc. for preparing bracelets, etc. 3 Mit. classes this kind of deposit under "and others".-From this commentary it is evident that न्यस्त is nothing more than जिल्लियास.

#### 10. Definition

From the legal point of view न्यास is a deposit which was entrusted to a member of the house in the absence of the owner and without being shown to him with the mandate to be handed over to the owner of the house. Therefore, FUR can be considered as a secret deposit. This definition is absolutely identical with that found in Mit. and Vir. (ad Y. II-67).

Mit. states : न्यासी नाम गृहस्वामिनेऽदर्शयित्वा तत्परीक्षमेव गृहजनहस्ते मक्षेपो गृहस्वामिने समर्पणीयमिति । (ad Y. II-67 p. 163/24-25 ).

Vir. states: न्यासो गहस्वामिनेऽदर्शयित्वा तत्परोक्षमेव तदीयजनहस्ते मक्षेपो गृहस्वामिने समर्पणीयमिति। ( ad Y II-67 p. 494/24-26 ).

This is the real definition of rate. Brh. (XI-3) is also of the opinion that the contract of deposit (न्यास ) takes place in the house of another man and adds the motive for the commitment of the deposit. Brh states that it takes place through fear of the king, robbers or other dangers or for the purpose of deceiving the beirs. We read there:

## राजचीरारातिभवाद्वायादानां च वश्चनात्। स्थाप्यतेऽन्यगृहे द्रव्यं न्यासस्तत्पशिकीर्तितम् ॥

(Brh. XI-3)

Identically Dinakalıka (ad Y. II-67). Such a fear is also described in K. (180/19-181/2) where, however, the word निक्षेप is employed. 5

<sup>1</sup> J-6137

In Vişnu Purana V. 8, 16, IX, 14, 20

आदिशब्देन स्वर्णकारादिहरूते कटकादिनिर्माणाय स्वस्तस्य सवर्णाटे:

<sup>(</sup> Mit ad Y II. 67 p. 163/25-26 ). Bee \$. 18. 4 See 8, 18,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> कतलक्षणेन वा इब्येण प्रत्यानयने तबालिशजातीयो वा राष्ट्री रा**बटा**यिकाक्षण-भीते: सारमस्य इस्ते निक्षिण्यापगच्छेत् । K. 180/19-20 See also J. J. Mever Das altindische Buch von Welt und Staatsleben p. 786 /78.

If we admit that the only eventuals of the स्पास—deposit is the lodging of an object in the hands of a person for safe-keeping in the house of another man." then we understand clearly क्षेप्र Mroch., Råb., Kath., etc. have used the word स्पास and not लिक्स or उपलिए. However, it must be admitted (Sak. and others) that the word स्पास was principally employed, and in literature too. for the designation of deposits

### D. Mutual Deposits

#### 11. Qualations

The mention of प्रतिन्यास from πια" (towards, over, against, in sight αθ) + "σιπ (deposit), a deposit towards another, is to be found in N. (11-14). There it is pointed out that the rules relating to deposits also apply inter aim to πιασια.

Mit. (ad Y. 11-67 p. 163/26) basing his opinion on this quotation also understands under "and others" the प्रतिन्यास - deposit.

12 Definition

The definition of शिलन्यास is to be found in Asahāya's commentary (ad N. IJ-14) and in VM. (ad N. IJ-14), 193/3). Under this expression an agreement of a re-deposit or a mutual deposit must be understood. According to this agreement both parties exchanged deposits with one other (शिल्यास: स्वामित यह निविधं निवासना विशिष्ट के स्वास्त्र का स्

mine, and I shall keep this thing of yours".

We can say that from the legal point of view in this case two different contracts of deposit take place at the same time. The depositaries fare depositors as well as vice verse.

It must be noted that other Smrtis do not regulate this kind of deposit.

## E. Loans for Use

### 13. Quotations

पाणित or पाणितक - deposit from पाच" (to ask for, to request, to sue for) is classed among deposits ( ব্যক্তিম) in Katy. (592) and in Asshāya's commentary on N. (16) when enumerating sighteen titles of law. Y. (II-67, II-14) and Bṛh. (XI-18) also state the same; both these Smriis state that the general rules relating to deposits have also to be applied to पाणित or पाणितक.

and by Nilakaptha. 2 VM p. 193/3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> शाचित.

Y. (II-238) quotes the word unan when discussing the problem of the use by the washerman of the objects given to him and penalties to be imposed for such use (ten puray)

G. (XII-42) and Kāty. (595) use this word when regulating the responsibility for negligence or us muon in the case of loss or destruction of an object which was বাৰিব

Vir and Smr. C. commenting on Käty. (606/7) express the opinion that the rules of deposits apply to याजितक.

Sukr. (IV-5, 310) uses the word याचित besides न्यास. अन्याहित, etc.
Matsya Purāna (quoted in Dh a/ उपनिधि) and Aparārka
(p. 664) quote याचित besides निक्षेप

#### 14. Definition

In spite of all the quotations mentioned above it is very difficult to admit from the point of view of the system of civil law that पाचित is a deposit

VM. gives the following definition of the याचित-याचितमुद्दाहादी कोभाष्यं नीतमञ्ज्ञाराहि

Vir. states विवाहायुस्सवार्थ वसालङ्कारादिकं प्रतिदेयतयाङ्गीकृत्य याचि-म्वानीतं याचितं। (ad Y. II-67 p 494;21-23).—Similarly Dipikalikā (ad Y. II-67).

Consequently it is evident that according to this contract clothes, ornaments and the like are loaned to a person on the occasion of a marriage or similar festivity for adornment purposes. These objects are given without any consideration of interest, as Sukr rightly mentions twice (11-327, 381) This opinion also conforms with that of Pānini (4, 4, 21) who says that  $\pi(\Re \pi)$  are articles lent for use free of interest.

From the conclusions noted above it is evident that unfert (unferts) from the point of view of law are loans for use and not deposits although some essentialia were the same in both agreements. That is probably the reason why the Smrtis class the unfert amongst the suffici (Ksty. N.) and apply to these contracts the general rules relating to deposits. Therefore, from the point of view of the eystem of ancient Indian law we have to class unfert among deposits

> अवृद्धिक गृहीतान्यालंकारादि च याचितं ( II 327/655 ) सवृद्धिकमृणं दत्तमकुसीदं नु याचितं | ( II. 341/681 )

# F. Deposits for Delivery

15. Quotations

अन्याहित from अह '(thereafter, afterwards, further on) + "आ" + (to, upto, at) + "आ'(to lay, to give): e what is given afterwards further on, is according to the Smrtus a specific form of deposit.

Katy. (592) classes সন্মারিন among ব্যনিথি and Asahāya commenting on N. (16), when enumerating the eighteen titles of law in subdivisions of deposits, quotes সন্মারিন.

Y (II-67), Brh. (XI-18) and N. (II-14) are of the opinion that the rules relating to deposits (उपनिधि, निक्षप) also apply to अन्याहित.

Brh. (XII-2), regulating the problem of sale without ownership, mentions সম্বাহিন besides ন্যাম and নিয়ম.

Sukr (1V-5, 310), discussing the problem of things which must not be handed over to others even in times of danger, enumerates अन्याहित along with याचित and न्यास.

#### 16. Definition

None of the Smrtin give any definition of the अन्याहित - deposit. We observe, however, some definitions in the commentaries on the Smrtin.

 $M_{1t.~states}$  यदेकस्य हस्ते निहितं बृब्यं तेनाप्यनु पश्चादन्यहस्ते स्वाभिने देहीति निहितं तदन्वाहितम् । (ad~Y,~II-67~p,~163/22-23~)

The definition found in Vir is almost identical. We read there अन्बाहितं स्वामिना एकस्य हस्ते तिहितं दृष्यं तेनाच्यनु पश्चादत्यस्य हस्ते तस्याधातर्वचनाभिष्ठित । (w/ Y. II-67, p. 494/23-24).

The explanation of the अन्याहित is clearer in the VM., although this definition is worse from the legal point of view. We read there: अन्याहितममुकेन मणि स्थापितं च त्वया तस्मे देशमिति पुरुषा-नतस्वस्तरित्त् । (VM.p. 192/13-14)

On the basis of these three commentaries we have to come to the conclusion that the contract of swerffor took place if the owner A. committed to the care of B. an object and this B lodged it afterwards, further on, in the hands of C with the clear mandate to return it to the owner A.

<sup>।</sup> uses also the word निश्लेप.

From the point of view of law not one but several separate contracts were performed in this case. Firstly there is the performance of the agreement between A. and B., according to which an ordinary contract of deposit took place. However, according to the contract of deposit B. was obliged to return the deposited object to A. In the case of the wwwiffer—deposit B. concluded an additional agreement with C. according to which he obliged C. to return the deposited object to A. i. c. to the owner of this object. The commentators do not state whether the agreement between B and C was concluded with, without or against the will of the owner of the deposited object. As no objections are raised in the Smrtrs against this kind of deposit, we can admit that this agreement was concluded with or without the will of A., but never against his will.

The agreement between B and C is, above all, a contract of deposit (sub-deposit) joined with the mandate (mandatum) to return the deposited object not to B. but to the owner of the deposited object-A.

Therefore, the अन्याहित is a deposit for delivery.

We find in the Smytte besides the अत्याहित also the अन्यापि from अबु' (therefore, afterwards, further on) + आपि (pledge) : e. a pledge which should be given afterwards, further on. This word is found in Katy. (611) where it is defined in the following way: अत्यागिण करिय अप्यादिक्ष व्याप्ति व्याप्त विश्व अप्यादिक्ष व्याप्त विश्व व्यापत विश्व व्याप्त विश्व विष्य विश्व विश्व विश्व विष्य विष्त विष्य विष्य विष्य विष्य विष्य विष्य

दवास्त्वमिति यो दैनः स इहान्वाधिरुच्यते ॥

This definition is similar to that quoted above and, therefore, the word अन्यापि is identified with अन्याप्ति, which does not seem to be correct as it is composed also of आपि (and not of पा-दित), which means a pledge.)

## G. Deposits with an Artisan

17. Quotations

श्लिल्म्यास from शिल्पन् (artisan) + न्यास (deposit) or शिल्प उपनिषि from शिल्पन् (artisan) + उपनिषि (deposit) or शिल्प्रस्तात from शिल्पन् (artisan) + "इसनः (hand) + न्या (from यस being in) is according to the Smrtis a special kind of deposit.

We find क्लिन्यास in Brh. (X1-18), Viv. (p 25/26), VM.

See Miéra and Bhavadeva ( quoted in Col. p. 406 ) on 'pledge transferred'

See also XI. 19.

(p. 193/4, 194/8, etc.), शिल्पे उपनिधि in N. (II-14) and शिल्पिइस्तगत in Asahāya's commentary on N. (16).

Brh. (XI-18) and N. (II-14) extend the rules regarding deposits to शिलिप्यास or शिल्पे उपलिपि. Nevertheless it must be pointed out that Y. in the tioka II-67, which is almost identical with the two quotations mentioned above, does not contain any mention of शिलिप्यास, or the like.

However, under "others" (आहि) this kind of deposit could be understood although neither Mit. not Vir. mentioned by name शिक्टिक्यास, or the like. Vir. (ad V. II-67 p. 494/36-27) defines namely deposits with an artisan calling them निशेष and Mit. (ad Y. II-67 p. 163/25-26) न्यस.

Also Katy. (592) does not mention sufferent, or the like, but it is evident from \*loka\* 603 sqq. that this kind of deposit is known to Katy. too. The same applies to K. where sufferent or the like is not menticaed in the relative chapter relating to deposits but from another place (180) sqq.) it is evident that this kind of deposit is known to K. too. K. uses in this para, for the designation of an artisan, instead of the word stray, the word stray.

Agahāya (ad N.-16) enumerating the eighteen titles of law mentions as one of the kinds of deposits the হ্লিন্দিহন্দেশন i. e. what has been put into the hands of an artisan.

VM. (193/4) interpreting Katy. (603) uses the word शिलियास and then, further on, referring to some special rules connected with this kind of deposits, uses also the same word.

Viv. quoting and interpreting Brh. (XI-18 and 19) uses also the word शिल्पास.

### 18. Definition

We do not find in the Smrtis any definition for the word शिक्षिण्यास, or the like. We find it, however, in the commentaries on the Smrtis.

We read in Viv. (p. 25): शिलिपन्यासेऽख्रृहरणादिघटनाथं शिल्पिषु समर्पिते सवर्णावी.......

We read in VM (p. 192/24-25) ज्ञिलिपन्यासी हेमकारादिषु कुण्डका-वर्षे निक्षित्रव । Vir. calling this kind of deposit निक्षेप says: शिल्पनेऽङ्क्यारावि-घटनाय इत्यसमर्पणं च साक्षादारव्याय । समर्पणं निक्षेप: (Vir. ad Y. II-67 p. 494/26-27) and Mit. calling it न्यस्त states as follows:

आदिशब्देन सुवर्णकारादिहस्ते कटकादिनिर्माणाय न्यस्तस्य सुवर्णादेः । ( Mit. ad Y. II-67 p. 163/25-26),1

From these quotations it is evident that under the शिल्पनास, or other similar expressions, must be understood an object given to an artisan in order to perform a particular piece of work. This is e, g giving of gold to a goldsmith in order to make from it earrings, necklaces etc

From the point of view of the theory of law this contract is not depositum but fiduca because the aim of deposit is to give a movable thing for the purpose of gratitious safe custody. In this case, however, the sim of giving a movable thing is the giving of material to an artisan for the purpose for its manufacture. The duties of such an artisan are similar to those of the depositary and probably, therefore, some of the Samits class the giving of objects to an artisan for manufacturing among deposits However, from the point of view of the theory of ancient Indian law we must admit that the tricevers, or the like, are considered as deposits. In any case we have to keep in mind that such a precise Samit, as the Y. is, rightly does not class the friederism under the contracts of deposit

### H. Property of a Minor

### 19. Quotations

पोगण्ड or पोगण्डभान from पोगण्ड (a minor) + ॰पान (property) is according to N. the sixth species of deposits. We read there:

प्रतिगृह्णाति पामण्डं यश्च सप्रधनं नरः। तत्याच्येष भवेज्दर्मः पहेते विषयः समाः॥

Also Asahāya commenting on N. (16) and enumerating the eighteen titles of law mentious থান্তভ্যান,

## 20. Definition

The only definition of this "deposit" can be found in Asahāya (ad N. II-15). We read there :

<sup>1</sup> See § 9

## य अनार्थं बार्छं सप्रधनं नाथाबुद्ध्या प्रतिगृह्णाति यस्यापि पूर्व्वोक्तस्येव विधिर्वष्टव्यः। बहेते विधयः। बहेते विधयः समा इति।

Hence this is the property of a minor This kind of the contract of deposit, according to N., takes place when a man takes a wealthy minor, who has no guardians, into his house. Then the property of the minor is subjected to the rules relating to deposits In this case the child, whose father and mother are deceased, is deposited by the king or on his behalf with a man who has to take care of the orphan. As the orphan-minor cannot dispose of the estate left by his father, the guardian has to take care of him similarly to a thing committed to his care

In this case too, from the legal point of view, it is impossible to class this case under "deposits." This is a tutela datua and the depositary is in reality a tuter datuus who has similar pecu niary obligations towards the minor as a depositary towards the depositor. However, here too, N. is of the opinion' that it is a special kind of deposit. Therefore, we have to consider it, from the point of view of the system of ancient Indian law as a species of deposits.

## 1. Other Kinds of Deposits

## 21. ऋयभेषितः बन्धः वैश्यवृत्त्यर्पित

In Kāty. (592) we read: अध्यपोषितानिक्षिप्तवन्थान्वाहितयाचितम् । वैदयनुरयर्गितं चेव सोर्थस्तुपनिधिः स्मृतः॥

We see here that Katy. classes, in addition to the deposits quoted above, the following contracts under deposits: ऋग्रोधित, बन्य and वैद्यवन्यदित.

कपयोषित from कप° (purchase) + भोषित (from प' + °बस going home, going abroad) is an object sold but still in the hands of the vendor. It is not a deposit from the point of view of the theory of law, but a constitutum poss-sorum.

बच्च is a pledge, it is an article entrusted to a pledgee, but not a deposit, although the pledgee has similar duties to perform as a depositary.

वैद्यहर्स्यार्थेत from वैद्य' (merchant) '+ इस (हासे) (from वर-to reach) + ' अपित (from अर, to bring) is an object lodged in the the hands of

<sup>...</sup> पहेते विधयः समाः ( N. II. 15 ).

<sup>14 [</sup> Annals, B. O. R. I. ]

a trader. It is also not a depositum but fiducia. Here also the trader has similar duties to perform as the depositary.

### 22. आदि

Y. (II-67) and N. (II-14) use also the word जादि : e.
"and the like" after having enumerated याचित, अन्वाहित, त्यास
(Y.), or पाचित and अन्याहित (N.). Vir. (ad Y. II-67) 494/27
aqq.) understands under this expression things bought but not paid and like others mentioned in G. (XII-42). G. states there:

### निष्यन्याभियाचितावक्रीताभयो नष्टाः सर्वाननिन्दितानपुरुषापराभेन।

Therefore, these kinds of fiducia have also to be considered, from the point of view of the theory of ancient Indian law, as contracts of deposit s

## J. Distinction of Deposits from the Point of View of Civil Procedure

## 23. Deposits quen before witnesses or verbally

From the point of view of civil procedure N. (II-6) and Brh. (XII-5) differentiate between deposite given before witnesses (सहिमानित or ससाक्षित\*) or verbally and in private (मोक or राहोदन).

The deposit was given verbally when the depositor had the highest confidence in the depositary. However, the depositary had to abstain from this kind of contract and the contract of deposit before witnesses or writing should be concluded in order to avoid any misunderstanding regarding the kind of deposit and that the depositary is not forced to be tried by ordeal (see Vivp. 23/4).

We see here that this distinction between deposits is not important from the point of view of civil law but civil procedure only and, in particular, from the point of view of evidence in case of an executal lawsuit

- See also a similar case of fiducia in K 198/17 and many others.
- े as well as जिल्पे उपनिधि त्यास and प्रातित्यास
- See 39. 9, 11, 17, 18.
- from साधित or साधिक witness.

from प्र° + ्यच. from शहस ° + °दल.

### III MAHABHARATA NOTES\*

Bv

### V S. AGRAWALA

### 1 जिज्ञामार जिर

In October 1938 I had written to the late Dr. V. S. Sukthankar to enquire the meaning of the expression STRIFT STRIFT occurring in Adiparvan, 185, 16 (Vulgate text). In reply be wrote to me:-"I am not sure about the meaning of simsumurasuah, in Adi. 185, 16 Our old group of Northern Mss. has 'puram' while Sourthern Mss. read 'girim'. We have accepted 'puram' for our Critical text, and I take that it was some place-a suburbnear Drupada's capital, where a special camp was erected for the Syavamyara'. Subsequently I published my interpretation of the word in the Journal of India Society of Oriental Art for 1939, suggesting that ज़िल्लामार ज़िल् could best be explained as an architectural term implying the architrave of a torana carved with an alligator's head The meaning had come to me by looking at the old gateways of the Sanchi stupa in which the torana beams are finished off in volutes at both ends decorated with alligator's head and coiled fish tail. This meaning suits the context admirably. In response to king Drupada's proclamation of the svavanvara, many kings, Rsis, sages and Brahmanas flocked to his capital from different places to participate in and witness the ceremony. Those kings were well received by Drupada with respect due to their rank ( Adi, 176, 14). Then we have:

# ततः पीरजनाः सर्वे सागराज्यूतनिःस्वनाः। जिञ्चमारपुरं प्राप्य न्यविशस्ते च पार्थिवाः॥

( Critical text. I. 176, 15)

Mahabharata Notes I, A. B. O. R. I., Vol. XXI, pp. 280-284, Mahabharata Notes II, A. B. O. R. I., Vol. XXIII, pp. 19-22.

<sup>1</sup> Letter No. Gen. 3494 of 1938-39, dated 5th Nov., 1938.

i.e. on the next day the citizens of the capital and those royal guests proceeded with rumbling deep noise to the গিছানাৰ হিদ (keeping the Vulgate reading) and entered (the समाजवार).

Then the poet describes in elaborate detail the architecture of the samaja-vata furnished with प्रकार and परिखा, i. e. first a most then an outer wall, and then the द्वारतेएण or the main gateway in the enclosure wall which gave access to the inner grounds. Inside it were rich pavilions and palaces ( बितान, प्रासाद ) turnished with many articles of comfort and show [ Adi. 176, 16-93 ]. The Epic description faithfully reflects the early Indian architecture of cities and city-gates as found in many places in the Buddhist and Jain literature (cf. Milinds, I. 34 and 380 ff. ). As Dr. Coomaraswamy has shown The most conspicuous and necessary parts of a city are the most ( pankhā ) and rampart (prākāra), gates (dvāra, gonu a), more specifically gate-houses ( dvāra-kotthaka ) with their defence towers ( dvāra-attālaka ) ...... and the king's palace ( prāsāda, harmya, rāja-nevešana vamāna, etc ) Early Indian Architecture, Citics and City-gates, Eastern Art, 1930, p. 211 ]

The Epic writer has in my opinion preserved in the word figgriffer a conspicuous feature of the early Indian gateway architecture. The voluted onds of the architerves or temple cross beams were adorned with figures of fish-tailed alligators in a very attractive and prominent style. This feature impressing even a casual observer of the Sanchi gateways persists upto the Kushāna period in the art of Mathura. It should, however, be mentioned that this artistic feature which is so very common in the style of the Sanchi toranas is conspicuous by its absence at Bharhut where only the spiral motifs are carved on the two ends of the beams. I should also note that I am unable to quote any other evidence from early Jain or Buddhist literature to corroborate the above explanation which can therefore be taken at best a suggestion deriving its force from the evidence of available architectural styles of early Indian art.

Dr. Sukthankar referred my suggestion to Dr. P. K. Acharya whose explanation was a bit different from mine as Dr. Sukthankar wrote to me 'I have been pursuing the question of the best interpretation of the word somanumararah, and in that connection I wrote to Prof P K. Acharya of Allahabad University. I have now heard from him that he prefers the reading such, and opines that it is an architectural term. In his opinion it means not architrave of gates, but "the hand-rail on the balustrade of the gallery of pavilion marked probably at the bottom by the head of croeodule". This gallery of pavilion, in his opinion, was probably reserved for the Pauroyanh. He refers me to Manasara Vastuśastra (30-78-80) where there is mention of "stair-case of elephant's trunk pattern said to be decorated with lion faces."

I am sending you the intermation for what it is worth. I cannot make much of it myself. But it might give you a clue for further investigation ' !

Although I cannot agree with the suggestion of Dr. Acharya I think we can understand his meaning. He seems to suggest that in the pavilion (विमान ) inside the समाजवार there were seats for the kings on the ground floor and an upper gallery for the sight-seeing पोरजना, to which a stair-case was leading. The hand-rail on the side of this stair case was decorated with the head of crocodile, and this decoration was carved at the bottom near the first baluster or upright post If I am right in undestanding Dr. Acharya's meaning I should say that no doubt there were upper galleries and stair-cases (cf. सखाराहणसोपान in the present passage, Adi, 176, 20) with small hand-rails as found in the representations of early Indian art, yet I think that the term भिद्यमार शिर. as applicable to this small baluster is, for one thing, of much weaker force than if applied to the full-fledged torana architrave, and for the other does not seem to hold good in the present context. A careful reading of the passage (Adi 176. 15-26) makes it quite clear. The शिद्यमार शिर. according to the Epic was approached by the citizens and the kings and the question of any upper gallery being reserved for the former does not arise. The obvious and natural inference is that the जिल्लामार्शिकः ( whatever be its meaning ) was approached by the guests as the first thing before they made their entry into the arena.

Having accepted the reading शिश्चमाराशिरः to be the correct one as dictated by the propriety of meaning I had entertained doubts regarding its textual support since Dr. Sukthankar wrote to me; Our old group of northern Mss. has 'puram' while Southern Mss. read 'girim'. We have accepted 'puram' for our Critical text. ' In the printed footnotes to the Critical edition only Ms K4 of the Kashmiri version gives शिक्ष नारशिर and D4 of the Devanagari version जिल्लामानिकः. all others record प्ररं or गिरिम . Besides the fact that feeble textual support is not always the final argument in rejecting an otherwise superior reading, 1 we have here a good reason for the belief that sixuality represents the original reading. It is a very fit example of lectro difficultor, i. e. the more difficult text preserving the correct reading, which in process of simplification was substituted by a reading paleably easier of comprehension. But all doubt about it is now set at rest by the discovery of the oldest extant Ms of the Adiparvan from Nepal which according to Pandit Hemaraja is between seven hundred and eight hundred years old and which the learned General Editor of the Mahabharata hailed as a discovery of capital importance for Mahabharata studies. The reading of this Ms. is formurator (Sukthankar Epic Studies VII, Sukthankar Memorial Edition. Vol. I. p. 382), and this to my mind sets the seal of final approval on this debated reading.

#### 2. वेवाघ

In the first part of these Notes (A. B. O. R. I. XXI, p. 280) I had explained the expression वेपाय(र्वारित्य (Sabha-parvan, 51, 34) as 'chariots mounted with tiger's skin' on the basis of Panint's sutra वेप्येषामस्य (IV. 2. 12) occurring under the general rule परियो परा। The references quoted there esem to permit the inference that the use of vayāghra chariots was a privilege enjoyed by kings and princes. The vayāghra-partunta ratha referred to in the Sabha-parvan (51. 34) was itself brought as a present to King Yudhisthira on the occasion of his

<sup>1</sup> Compare the reading 34347; in Aranyakaparian, 229, 5. 'Vasodeva S. Agrawala has suggested the emendation of 3434/read [of the Volgate) to 34347/read [of the Volgate) to 34347/read [of the Volgate) to 24347/read [of the Volgate] without sour reading. Though rather feebly supported by Ms. evidence, it is undoubtedly the correct reading (Dr. Sukthankar's Citical Notes to the Aranyaka-par'ana, In 1965).

Rājasūya ceremony. The Atharvaveda mentions as an essential part of the Rājasūya sacrifice the striding by the king on a tiger's ekin:—

# व्याम्रो अधिवैयाम्रे विक्रमस्य धिक्रो महीः

'Stride forth to broad regions, thou, a tiger, on a tiger's skin' (Atharva IV. 8 4). According to the Satapatha Brāhmana. आईल्डचमोपर्चणाली (V. 3. 5. 3) the stepping on the tiger's skin was a necessary feature of the Rāpsēiya ceremony.

The word emyāgha in the Atharvaveda passage implies the akin of a tiger and not a charlot covered with it as known to Panini. It appears that the tiger's skin on which the king walked at the time of the ceremony was subsequently spread to cover his seat in the war-charlot, which was therefore designated as कैया by the force of the viiii explained by Pānini as परिवृत्ते प्य

Besides the references to the  $\P$ 47H chariots in the Mahājanakajāka ( Vel. VI, pp. 48-50) and the Vessanitarajātaka ( Vel. VI, pp. 503-504) I have come across to two more references in the Epics themselves. We read in the Rāmāyana that Rāma mounts the  $\P$ 4H chariot when he leaves his palace with Sumantra to see king Dasaratha before his selection as  $Y_{HO} \bar{u}_{HO}$ 

> ततः पावकसंकाशमारुरोह रथोत्तमस्। वियामं पुरुषव्यामो राजितं राजनन्दनः॥

> > ( Ayodhya, 16 28)

The other reference is from the Sabha-parvan, 51, 4 -

अय सहस्रसमितो वैयाद्यः सुप्रवर्तितः। सुचक्रोपस्करः श्रीमान् किंकिणीजालमंडितः॥ ४॥ मंद्रादनो राजरथो य इहास्मानुषावहत्। जेत्रा रधवरः पुण्यो सेघसागरनिःस्वनः॥ ५॥

This records the fact that the स्वाप chariot of द्विशिट cost one thousand. The figure 1000 standing above should be taken to imply 1000 silver Karsāpanas. This is supported both from the Pali and Sanskrit literatures. 'Quite in keeping with this is the fact that in early Buddhist works when any big sums of money are specified, no name of coin is adduced, that of Karsāpana being understood as is quite clear by its occasional mention. Kārsāpana was, therefore, looked upon as the standard

ooin'. [D. R. Bhandarkar, Ancient Indian Numismatics, p. 79]. In Panini sütras V. 1 27 and 29, sahasra stands for 1000 Kärsänanas.

The epic expression सहस्रसमित requires explanation. It is to be understood in the light of the source सहस्रसमितो ए (IV. 4.135), that which is equal to one thousand is सहस्रसमित (Kāšikā, समित-सहस्य सहर). Strangely enough both for Pānini's sūtra and for the epic verse the variant reading सहस्यासित is recorded.

के चिन्नु समिताविति पठन्ति। तजापि समित्या सम्मित एव छक्षयितव्यः। तत्र छन्त्रसि पयोगक्षानात् । ( Kāsikā ), i.e. rome read समित instead of समित in the meaning will be the same as that of समित. In the Epic the reading समित in this place is very feebly supported by a couple of Mes. only, but in the Anusasanaparvan in a similar context (not yet critically) edited we have the vulgate reading समित—

### हातेन निष्कसाणितं सहस्रेण च संसितं । 93 43

i.e. each udumbara fruit fi.lled with a nugget of gold was equal to 100 niskss or 100 Kār-āpana. Here metrically πίτππ appears to be the correct reading in case the text be not interpolated.

Incidentally the above passage of the Anusasana is also important for giving as the numismatic ratio between one niska of gold and one karsapana of silver, the latter being one-tanth of the former in value.

# 3. पूर्वपायात and उत्तरपायात legends

In Mahāhhārata Notes-I, it has been pointed out in another connection that the Kāsikā quoting most probably an old सूर्यांत्रिक्ष illustration on Pānini VI 2 103 (व्ह जन्य धामत्रवर्शस्थानानानानेषु) refers to पूर्व पायांत्र and उत्तर पायात्र (p. 284) Now I find that these names for the two parts of the Yayāti legend are authenticated by the Critical edition itself. The chs-71-80 of the Ādi dealing with Yayāti's casting off his old age in exchange for the youth of his son, his marriage and begetting sons are called दूर्यपात, the colophon at the end being दूर्यपात्र सवार्त. Similarly the chs 81-85 narrating the story of Yayāti's fall from heaven and his reascension constitute the उत्तर पायात portion finishing with the colophon उत्तरपायांत्र सवार्त (p. 389 of Critical Edition). The text also names it as the उत्तरकार of the story of Critical Edition).

# हन्त ते कथयिष्यामि ययातेहत्तरां कथाम् । दिवि चेह च पण्यार्था सर्वपापमणाशिनीस ॥ 81. 9.

The σπατατικ begins and also ends with a πσεμα which is a sure sign of its once having an independent existence. It appears to be the traditional example of Pānini's surn (VI. 2. 103) as suggested by Patañjali quoting it on a vārttika to sūtra IV. 2. 60 in exemplifying ταλιμάπαν, and it may thus be inferred that the Yayāti legend before its being incorporated into the bulk of the Epic possessed an entity of its own and was being independently made a subject of study by reciters designated as the Ψισιατε. Its floating existence is attested to by its forming part also of the Mataya Purāna, che 36-42.

#### 4. प्रवेशिता

In reply to an enquiry about the grammatical derivation of the word प्रवस्ति, Dr Sukthankar wrote to me

The Adi-parvan verse is as follows -

मेनका निरनुकोशा बन्धकी जननी तव।

यया हिमबतः पृष्ठे निर्माल्येव प्रवेरिता ॥ 68. 73. Poona Edition.

The other references 2 are .

- (1) बीर्यामर्थप्रवेरितैः (Bhlams 108, 31.).
- (2) भोध्मबाहुमवेरिताम् (Bhisma 119 30.)

Letter No. Mbh 4826 of 1942-43, dated October 27, 1942.

For these I am indebted to the kindness of Dr S K. Belvaikar, General Editor, Mahabharata All these references are from the Vulgate—Bombay edition, published by the Chitrashale Press, Poona.

<sup>15 [</sup> Annals, B. O. R. I. ]

- (3) तां शखबृष्टिमतुलां द्विपहस्तैः प्रवेरितास्। (Karna. 81. 13).
- (4) पपात चोचेरमरप्रवेरितं विचित्रपुष्पोत्करवर्षमुनमम् । ( Salva. 57. 68. )
- (Salya. 57. 68. (5) मेदोक्सिस्यक्तैश्च व्हिल्लबाहरूपाणिभिः।
  - मेदोरुपिरयुक्तिश्च च्छिन्नबाह्रुरुपाणिभिः।
     निक्रतीदरपाँदेश्च तत्र तत्र प्रवेशितैः॥ (Svargā 2, 21.).

The meaning which satisfies all these contexts is undoubted. viz. 'thrown about, cast hither and thither, cast away'. In the Adi, itself (68-69) we have an indication of its meaning-अवकीये च मां याता परात्मजां व बासती । Now I must admit that I am unable to offer any natural grammatical explanation of the word प्रवेदिता, but I feel certain in connecting it with the dislectical Hindi root पुबेहना, to scatter, to sow seed by throwing, also written पुबेरता= जितरा कर बीज बोना (Hindisabdusācaua, p. 2039-40) : from it प्रेश (verbal noun)=the act of sowing seed with the hand ( Platt's Hindustani Dict., p. 282), also called पंचेड की बआई, and same as बखेर or दींटा 1 It appears that in the old spoken Sanskrit (Bhāsā) there was a root from which प्रवेशिता was derived and which has now survived in the current dialects. In Hindi we get another connected root dailed having the sense खेत में छि स्टाइर बीज बीना, ( Hindisabdasagara, p. 2038 ). used in classical Hindi in the poetry of Javasi ( कॅकन कर इक आहि पन्नारा, p. 222 of Shukla's edition) and Tulasi (क्छ अग्र प्रभ पास पॅवारे) The personal name THE given to a male child who after its birth is cast away by the mother and then repicked to ward off evil omens (cf similar names as फिक, बहाऊ, साहु, साहु from साहु भारवादेश for क्षिप, जैन प्रस्तक प्रशस्तिसंग्रह p. 179-180 ), is from the same root, of which the original was surely connected with प्रवेश्त.

### 5 भहापराह्य

In the Critical edition of the Adı-parvan ( 181.40 ) occurs the following verse

# सहत्यथापराह्वे तु घनैः सूर्य इवावृतः । बाह्मणैः प्राविशत्त्र जिष्णुर्बह्मपुरस्कृतः ॥

In the above सहति अवसाई ('on the great after-noon'), though apparently not presenting any difficulty, is an instance of a very refractory text which has never been satisfactorily explained

b In the agricultural glossary of Meerut there are the five kinds of sowing seed (1) বিং (2) বুলি (3) ঘুলি (4) ভালতী (5) নারব.

The attempted simplification by substituting सवत्ययापराहे ( N3 Da Mss.) is extremely poor and the other readings recorded in Critical apparatus ( महान्यथा ; अधन्यथा , महताथ वराराहा ) are worthless. The original reading is absolutely certain supported by all the versions and good manuscripts The meaning of the sloke is that while Kunti was cast into deep anxiety about the safety of the Pandava princes who had gone to attend the Svayamvara ceremony of Draupadi and who were delayed there beyond reasonable time. Ariuna entered the house surrounded by the Brahmanas, like the sun surounded by the clouds on the great Aparahna day. The question is whether the phrase denotes any and every afternoon as is usually understood, or any particular 'afternoon' in the year? It is obvious the point of comparison can hold good, not on all days of the year, but only in the rainy season Brāhmanas clad in black antelope skins ( गैरवाजिनवासिप . Adi 181. 35 ) overshadowed Arnuna who was putting on a semified ( 185, 2 ) in the same manner as masses of dark clouds cover the sun. What was this neverted day of the rainy season which suggested itself to the mind of the noct in oreference to all other similar days?

An answer to the question can perhaps be given with some certainty. According to Kantilva the SITTER day, i e the fullmoon day in Asadha was the last day of the govt, financial year (कमें सबत्सर । तमापादीपर्यवसानं etc. Arth. text. p 63 ) 'On the Asadhi day must all the accounts be presented by the various departments ( गाणानिक्यानि आषादीमागर्केय . Arthasastra p 64 ) to the Accountant General whose duty it was to hear the totals ( अप ) of the receipts and expenditure (आय-ज्यय ) and of the net revenue ( नीवि ) and also to receive into Government treasury the net balance of the nim (आयह्ययनीवीनामग्राणि श्रत्वा नीवीमवहारयेत् , ibid. p. 64) The आवादी was the most important day of the fiscal year for transacting many outstanding items of business and closure of balances. The offices and Government account-books on this day had to be kept open for a much longer period than was usual. The आपादी day is also referred to in the Ramayana as the day on which the king had to apply closure to all departmental business ( of the year ) and finished receiving all kinds of goods and stocks for his stores department .

# निवृत्तकर्मायतनो नूनं संचितसंचयः। आषाढीसभ्यपगतो भरतः कोञ्जलाधिपः॥

(Kiskindha, 28, 55).

While witnessing the beauties of the rainy season Rama's thoughts go out from his immediate environs on the Malyavat hill to Bharata's administrative routine in Ayodhya and naturally he thinks of the closing business transactions of the fiscal year (क्रमंभरका) and of the collecting of cereal stores proper to the Asadh day.

The next day after the Assalin would be the new year's day coinciding with first day of Śrāvana Dr. Shamasāstri has shown that \( \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{T}} \) you'd in the Arthasāstra denoted the new year's day which was the first day of the financial year commencing ust after the full-moon day of Asādha (Arth, Trans, pp 59, 64 and Preface, xxiv, also his paper \( \tilde{\tilde{T}} \) you the Vedic new year's Day in the Proceedings of the 2nd Oriental Conference). The \( \tilde{T} \) imparalphat records in words of undoubted meaning that the new year began with the longest day in the month of Śrāvana (Proc. 2nd Oriental Conf. p 38) This longest day seems to have unmistakable reference to the HRITUR day which beginning from the morning of the ARTHER day which beginning from the morning of the ARTHER day was reckoned upto the morning of the ARTHER day was reckoned upto the morning of the ARTHER day was reckoned upto the morning of the ARTHER day which beginning from the morning of the ARTHER day was reckoned upto the morning of the ARTHER day was reckoned upto the great afternoon' day.

The Epic reference to the মন্ত্ৰণোত্ত finds striking corroboration from Painii Sūtra VI 2 38 regulates the accent of the first portion of the following words—viz. মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি, মন্ত্ৰণাত্ত, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি, মন্ত্ৰণাত্ত, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তিত, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তিত, মন্ত্ৰনাত্তিত description and Harqueza. Each one of these, as I have shown elsewhere, was a term, not of general application, but of specific meaning Painia also records another word for the closing day of the year viz. ক্ষেক্ষাৰ (V. 1. 97. 14) Its reference to the Vyu-ta day (V. 1. 97. অনুষ্ঠান্ত্ৰনাত্তিত) in the Vi-ta day (V. 1. 97. অনুষ্ঠান্ত্ৰনাত্তিত) in the view word days denoted payments made on the অনুষ্ঠ day as also the body of transactions or work disposed on that date (মন্ত্ৰনাত্তি) in the Nathalyshyll there seem to nature of the meanings taught in the Astächhyshylthere seem to

be strong reasons for the belief that he was using we in a special sense which is identical with that of the Arthasastra, i.e the New Year's Day. The Head of the Accounts Department caused to be checked and verified the total receipts and expenditure and the net revenue of the closing year and this transaction would appropriately be termed egg wife or egg payments. The span of the surest day would conveniently extend into the dawn of the egg day for the terminal entries of the year and the heads of the ledgers would be kept open for much longer hours on this day. Thus although not the longest day of the year in the number of hours between surrise and sunset, the eggregad would be considered virtually the longest accounting day in the whole year and thus only its appellation of 'the great afternoon' be justified.

For our passage in the Mahabhārnta (1. 181. 40) the meaning that would suit in the context would be As on the last day in the month of Asaha the sun is overcast by clouds, so was Arjuna overshadowed by the accompanying Brāhmanas clad in black skin.

### 6 Prati as the Name of a Coin

§ (a) Mahābhāratu endence

The word prate as the name of a Commiss of rare occurrence in literature. One instance of its literary use is recorded and that in the following verse from the Mahāhhārata

### काबिद्वीजं च भक्तं च कर्षकायावसीदते।

# प्रतिकं च शतं वृद्धा ददास्यूणमनुबहस्॥

The verse is found in the Narada Rajaniti questions put to king Yudhisthira in the beginning of the Sabhaparvan (568). The correct rendering is as follows.—

'Do you distribute seed and food to your peasantry in distress, and do you advance agricultural relief loans to them at the concession rate of one Prate for each one hundred Kārsāp mas?'

The variant readings given in the Poona Critical edition are (1) wide, (2) wifets, (3) were (4) wifets and (5) wifepsins? The last three are extremely corrupt and hardly worth considering. The first two are accepted by the well-known commentator Nilakapths. He gives prathelam set he Vulgate text (for the Pratham of the critical edition) and explains it as implying loans at 1% of interest. His alternative reading Pādhām is

explained as referring to an annual increase of one-fourth, i. e., Rs. 25]- for each hundred, which works out to an interest of a little over 2%. He further adds that 1% rate was for secured loans and that of 2% and a little more for unsecured loans. The explanation appears laboured and removed from the spirit of the original text Although by his meaning of pratipekam Nilakantha arrives at the same rate of interest, (i. e. 1%) as that of pratikam we must emphasise that pratikam is the older and genuine reading for which not only the testimony of best Mbb. manuscripts is our guide but also the older grammatical tradition in which is preserved the correct interpretation of the word pratika.

### § (b) Endence from grammatical literature

This earliest use of प्रति is recorded by Kātyāyana in grammatical literature On Pānini V. I. 25 ( ईसाद दिउस्) there is a Vārttika, कार्यापणाहा प्रतिश्व, with the following *Bhāsya*—

# कार्षापणाहिठन् प्रत्ययो वा च प्रतिरादेशो वक्तव्यः । कार्षापणिकः कार्षापणिकी । प्रतिकः प्रतिकी ।

(Kielborn II, 347)

This is the simple and natural explanation and in the light of this we may understand unit that as an amount of one hundred silver Kin sāpama, on which one Prati was according as monthly interest, i. e. at 12 p.c. per annum. Nārada calls this as the concession rate charged on relief loans advanced by government. The higher rates of interest recorded in law-books for various classes of transactions range from 2, 3 to 4, 5 per cent. Where Prati means a Kin sāpama the Satam or hundred also has reference to the Kūraūpama coins. We find that in ancient literature when merely the figures were mentioned without specifying the name of the coin generally the standard silver punch-marked coin or

the Κατεδρανα was intended which was the prevailing currency In Panini sūtras V.1. 21 ( υπιω σα απαταί) and V 1 34 ( υπιωταιανα η του τίση επαταιανα η επαταιανα

### § (c) Epigraphic endence

It is a matter of extreme good luck that we have reliable epigraphic evidence of the use of Prati as a current coin name in the Nasik Cave Inscriptions of Uswadata, son-in-law of King Nahapana Nahapana belonged to the earlier wave of Saka invasion under the Ksaharata branch who became masters of Western India and Unain in the first century B C In inscription no. 12, it is stated that Usavadāta gave a perpetual endowment of 3,000 Kāhāpanas for the benefit of the Sangha, and invested them with two guilds, two thousand in a Weavers guild. interest one Piatika (monthly) for the hundred, and one thousand in another Weavers guild, interest three quarters of a Padika (monthly) for the hundred. These Kahapanas were not to be repaid, their interest only was to be enjoyed. Out of them. the two thousand (2000) at one Pratika per cent provided the cloth money at twelve Kähāpanas to every one of the twenty monks. From the sum of the thousand (1000)- invested at an interest of three-quarters Pratika per cent, the pocket money was to be paid to the monks.

In the above extract we have use of the technical terms Vrddn (interest),  $K\bar{a}h\bar{a}pana$  and Pratika and the interpretations are perfectly clear. The formula Vrddn Padika Sata is identical with that used in the Mahābhārata passage Pratikani ca Satan  $vrddhy\bar{a}$ . Both have the same meaning 1.e the amount of one hundred  $K\bar{a}r\bar{a}pana$  coins fetching an interest of one  $K\bar{a}r\bar{a}pana$  oins fetching an interest of one  $K\bar{a}r\bar{a}pana$ , i.e. one per cent monthly (Ep Ind. Vol VIII, pp 82-83, Nasik Cave Inscriptions).

In the Kanheri cave inscription no. 15 also there is a reference to an endowment of two hundred Karsapanas at Pratika

rate of interest i. e one Karsāpana per cent per month (अखयनिवि इता काहापणानि सतानि वे २०० सघस येव हुटे पढिके सते.)

Burgess, Arch Survey of Western India, Vol. V, pp. 79-80 The inscription is dated in the reign of Gautamiputra Śri -Satakarni who who was a contemporary of Nahapapa.

### § (d) Chronological considerations

The literary and epigraphic references to the coin called Prate point to some important chronological considerations as regards the date of the Mahabharata passage in which the word occurs. Panini mentions only the name Kār sāpana for the standard silver punch-marked coin of his days. The word Prati as a substitute for Karsapana was unknown to Panini (cir. 5th century B. C ) and it occurs for the first time in the Varttikas of Katvavana (circa fourth century BC) It appears from the testimony of the Cave inscriptions cited above that Prate was a current coin name for the Karsapana up to the end of the first century B C., the rate of interest being very often expressed in terms of the Pratt coin The Mahabharata chapter containing the Narada Rajantti should therefore be assigned to a period between the fourth and the first century B. C. It is a text dealing with those subjects of law and polity which Dr. Sukthankar rightly considers to have been grafted on the original text of the Mahabharata under the influence of the Bhrgus. Possibly this chapter, did not form part of the epic as it was constituted under the name of Bharata. The position seems to have been that the Note portions were existing independently cut of the orbit of the original Bharata text and at some favourable time the Bhrgus incorporated them along with the Akhyanas into the epic which emerged as the Mahabharata as a result of this inflation. The two limits of this literary diaskenasis appear on the basis of the very solid evidence supplied by the references to the Prati coin in Kātyāyana's Vārttikas on the one hand and the cave inscriptions on the other, to fall within the narrow period of about three centuries, from the fourth to the first century B. C. The probability is that the date for the introduction of this particular chapter in the epic text is nearer the earlier limit than the later. This is also confirmed by the occurrence in this context of several other technical terms as Lava and Musti (Sabhāparvan, 5. 54 ) which are peculiarly Kautilyan and have been used in the Arthasastra (IX. 1), a treatise of the Maurya period.

### Post-script

Doctor F. Edgerton who has edited the critical edition of the Sabha-parvan translates Piqukam as "apiece" (Sukthankar Volume of the Bulletin of the Deccan College Research Institute. page 11). This would mean that King Yudhisthira advanced the sum of one hundred Kärsapanas to each individual farmer which on the face of it is improbable. Dr. S. K. Belvalkar, the General Editor of the Mahabharata, to whom I communicated the interpretation of Pratikam Satam set forth in this paper kindly wrote to me. "In my addition to Edgerton's Addenda et Corrigenda I had already given the correct sense of the word by inserting a note which I am copying for you

Devabodha's explanation runs thus: जल पान एकेकं प्रतिकश. अथवा के जिए: प्रति प्रतिकं प्रतिप्रविषयिकं । His alternative explanation which fayours the rendering "apiece" ignores the current idiomatic use of पातिने, which seems to underlie his first explanation, and to which Katyayana had drawn attention in his second Varttika to Panini 5. 1. 25. The word दाई is explained as उत्तमणीन मलातिरिक्तं प्राह्मम i.e. the 'interest'. This hundred which is to be given as a 'relief' loan deserves only one (Karsanana) as interest, and that is the main point, and not the giving of only one hundred to each needy farmer. "Pratikam" therefore means "Kārsāpanikam", and this sense of the word is already registered in PW. "

1 am greatful to Dr. Belvelkar for this information. The inter pretation of the coin name Prati suggested itself independently to both of us against the same grammatical background.

### MISCELLANEA

# BHĀLUŚĀLIKA OR BHĀNDAŚĀLIKA?

### BY

### LOKESH

In the Silver Jubilee Volume (XXIII) of the Annals, Prof. V. V. Mirashi quotes the colophon of a Nepalese MS. of the Rāmāyana on p. 293 in the course of his discussion on "Gangeyadeva of Tirabhukti". This colophon was deciphered by Bendall. whose faulty reading has been noticed by Prof. Mirashi in his article In the colophon occurs a word which has been read by Bendall as bhāncu-śālika and bhālu-śālika by Prof Mirashi. Both of these readings are wrong, and it is strange that Prof. Mirashi should have passed over this word even without putting a question-mark besides it. This is perhaps due to its having been considered a 'phonetic improvement' on Bendall's reading. i. e., its sounding like a Sanskritic word!! Neither of the readings conveys any sense, which is essential to ensure their correctness. Moreover, in the entire manuscript the letter /n is never written in the way as it is in this word, wrongly deciphered as, bhālu-šālala. Further, the letter closely resembles, and is identical with, the letter -nd- in pundita which occurs just a little further (folio, 376 of the MS ) It has only become slightly blurred and hence has evaded the attention of previous scholars as being -nda. The reading with -nda has been adopted in " Alikālibushāram (A Sanskrit-Tibetan-Mongolian Abecedarium)" by the versatile scholar Dr. Raghu Vira, where the photograph and Devanagari transcription of the passage under consideration have been given on account of their close resemblance to the Lantsha script of the abecedarium. The correct reading of the word would be भाण्डजालिक "an official connected with the भाण्डकाला ". and not भालकाालिक.

# A NOTE ON THE A. CHESTER BEATTY COLLECTION

Βy

#### G. H. Khare

Very recently I had an occasion to turn the pages of the three volumes of the monumental work' dealing with the collection of miniature paintings etc from the library of Mr. A. Chester Beatty. On plate 68 of the third volume is reproduced a miniature painting of the saint Shah Dawlat the resident of Guyarat (Punjai). The foot-line describes the miniature as 'The derwish Shah Dawlat by Dilwarat'. On pp 34, 35 of the first volume (text), this painting is described thus: '(25) Plate, 68 By Dilwarat.... in the left hand margin of the picture is an inscription in the hand-writing of the Emperor Shah Jahan, the translation of which is The portrait of Shaykh Dawlat, who has settled in Guyat, Lahore Painted by Dilwarat'. It is quite clear from these remarks that the name of the artist has been deciphered as Dilwarat.

But I very much doubt it. It is well nigh impossible to make out any meaning of the word-11 it can be so called-Dilwarat The inscription is practically devoid of diacritical marks and orthographical points, for only three letters possess orthographical points. To the rest we are to supply both according to the requirements. The last word of the inscription consists of five letters. Out of them if the first is  $d\bar{a}l$ , the fourth must also be  $d\bar{a}l$ , for the forms of both the letters are identical. If these two letters are compared with the  $d\bar{a}l$  in dawlah and dar, the identity becomes still clearer. But no doubt of any sort can be raised about their being  $d\bar{a}l$ s when those four letters are compared with the letter re in dar,  $l\bar{a}h\bar{a}l$ r and raqam. Thus the penultimate letter in the last word must be read as  $d\bar{a}l$  and not re. The second letter in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Library of A. Chester Beatty A Catalogue of Indian Miniatures by Sir Thomas W. Arnold, revised and educed by J. V. S. Wilkinson, Vol. I, text, Vols, II & III, plates, London, 1935.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The whole inscription in the margin runs thus—Shabih Shaikh Dawlah kah dar Gujrāt Lāhūr mībūshad (?) raqam Dilwarat ( Devadatta ).

the same word can as well be read as  $n / 2 N_{\rm I}$  occurs in three more words in the inscription; but its points are nowhere indicated. I, therefore, decipher the last word as Devdat (Devadatta). It was a very common personal name in old days and the word carries meaning.

In the left hand portion of the border of the frontispiece to the third volume, I find the words 'Amal-n-Havif. indicating the name of the artist who executed the border But this has not been mentioned in the text.

The foot-line to pl. 40 (b) as also the text gives the name of the artist as Kannāli Jibilāh, I am doubtful of the second word. It has no meaning. It can be read as Jaisukha with some difficulty and then will carry meaning.

Pl. 41 (a) has something like 'Amal-t-Intim quli at the bottom. But weither the foot-line nor the text refers to this inscription which gives the name of the artist!

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  As far as I know nobody has referred to these discrepancies and hence I felt the necessity of writing this note.

# VAISYAS AND SOCIAL ORDER

# S. N. Tadpatrikar

# " कृषिगोरश्यवाणिज्यं वैदयकर्म स्वभावजम ।"

### —भगवद्गीता १८ ४४

A reference to lexicons will show that the word '90' stands for many different things; but curiously enough, the Mahā-bhārata, at Anušasana parva, Adhy, 63 sl. 4, givee prominence to 'the Cow, the Earth and the Learning', stating that the gift of either of these, brings equal merit to the donor

### तुल्यनामानि देयानि जीणि तुल्यफलानि च । सर्वकामफलानीह गावः प्रथ्वी सरस्वती ॥

In the Adhyāyas that follow, the sacredness of the cow is dealt with at great length, and along with the story of the King Nrga, who, in spite of his great and liberal gifts, suffered the life of a lizard, owing to a slight error in giving away to a Brahmana, another's cow, we have also the mention of Goloka, a specnal world where all sorts of highest plassure are reserved for the donor of cows (MBH. XIII. 73). We leave get and wrath for the present, and devote our attention to the cow.

Rgveda, does not seem to attach so much sacred value to the cow, and there the cow only counts as a means of wealth and prosperity. The Dana-stuti hymns mention large herds of cows being given as Daksina, to sacrificial priests, by the ruling Kings, who often performed sacrifices for their own good. These learned priests were specially called to the King's capital, and they usually had their colonies in forest-asramas, where they could conveniently tend their flocks of cows, and lead a neaceful and happy life. Of course, looking after the cows, as also tilling land for rearing crops for their livelihood, was quite a necessity; but it is possible that as these colonists extended their field of activity, they found almost the whole of their time engaged in their main task - that of study and teaching of sacred lore, and कृषि and ने(रुप gradually passed into the hands of their dependents and followers. These first consisted only of students, who, studying under their teachers, were asked to do these duties of farming, cow-tending and the like. The Pausya parva of the Mahābhārata gives us a concrete example of the above statement! A teacher. Apoda Dhaumya, had three students of whom. one Arnni from Päncalas, was sent to construct a bund to the field—new extract aun. The water was flowing out of the field and it had to be stopped; the boy, seeing no other way, laid himself in the opening, and thus prevented water from going out—the teacher, not seeing him return home, went out in search, and called the boy, who, thereupon, showed his teacher how he had bodily held up the water. Of course, Dhaumya was pleased, and granted this sincere student proficiency in Vedas, giving bing the name Iddalaka Arnni

Another student Upamanvu, was asked to look after the cows-वस उपमन्यो मा रक्षस्व. This poor, innocent how was nut to a severe test: the teacher asked him how he managed to earn a livelihood, the boy told that he begged for food, the teacher asked all the भेद्रप to be given to him, and when the boy did as he was told, the teacher kept for himself, all the provisions and sent the boy away without any means of feeding himself Seeing, after some time, that the boy still kept in full vigor, the teacher again asked about the means, when the boy honestly told that he went a begging again, this too, was forbidden him: then he fed himself on cow's milk, then merely on the froth of milk which the calves left on the adders of the cows, and when all these devices were proscribed by the teacher, the poor boy was obliged to eat अक्पजs, which made him blind, and then, while finding his way back, fell into a ditch ' Dhaumya then found him out, and after offering prayers to the Asvins, the boy was restored to his evesight.

The number of such students, however, was necessarily very limited and quite insufficient to cover all the activities pertaining to farming and cows; and help from others—ππ—was often sought to look after these works efficiently. These others, were of course, as their very name indicates, not of the Aryan fold, and were secured from the numerous inhabitants residing in the jungles, near by. They were treated most kindly, were also initiated into the sacred Vedic traditions, and some few of them really proved most apt and capable students—an instance of such exceptional talent we find, in the name ऐतरेष, a son of दूसरा-from the other's class; this ऐतरेष has a ब्राह्मण, and आरच्यक to his credit!

But most of these un-Aryans were not able to pick up the learning of their teachers and after their initiation, only did their duty of \$\frac{\pi}{2}\$ and \$\pi\$rea, honsely. So that the exception of \$\frac{\pi}{2}\$ and only proves the rule: that all of them were initiated into the Vedic fold, and attached to the duty of farming and tending the cows. These, to my mind, formed the \$\frac{\pi}{2}\$ the masses, the people, the settlers of the land, and the class—name \$\frac{\pi}{2}\$ awas subsequently brought into use, as applicable to these people. The learned authors of the Vedic Index state that the meaning of this term is 'doubtful' if the above time of thinking be accepted, some light, it is hoped, may hereby serve to dispel the 'doubts' about the meaning of the terms

Another point in this connection, is that the four Varnas are given their particular distinguishing colours, - actual physical colors, not due to the mixture or predominance of the three gunas as commentators would have us believe. Mahābhārata, Sānii, 188. 5 has

# ब्राह्मणानां सितो वर्णः क्षत्रियाणां तु लोहितः । वैश्यानां पीतको वर्णः शुद्धाणामसितस्तथा ॥

and Nilakantha, the commentator explains this वर्ष as - सितः स्वच्छ सरवाण लेहितं। राज्यप्प पतिक रक्तातोष्ट्यामियः असितः हल्लाः तत्तोष्ट्यानियः असितः हल्लाः तत्तोष्ट्यानियः असितः हल्लाः तत्तोष्ट्यानियः विश्वकार्यानियः विश्वकार्यः विश्वकारः विश्वकारः विश्वकार्यः विश्वकारः विष्वकारः विष्वकारः विश्वकारः विश्वकारः विष्वकारः विष्वकारः विष्वकारः विष्वकारः विष्वकारः विष्वकारः विष्वकारः विष्वकारः विष्वकारः

The late Mr Visvanath K. Rajawade, a well known scholar of Maratha history, gives (at p. 140) in his Maratha introduction to runnivar@emarq. his own theory about the angled basing his social history mostly on Panini's grammar and Vedic literature:—" Even before the oldest of Rgvedic hymns was ever composed, Brähmana was the only party in society; he was of a bright white colour, physically, while mentally tending towards high thoughts about God and soul. These Brähmana Aryas came southwards, and met, on the Pamir plateau, in central Asia, the red—akinned, brave and hardy Ksatriyas, who are, in Aitareya Aranyaka, aptly compared with the Tiger of the jungle! — इसं पूर वह अगरव्यवहां पहारों वह स्थास Brähmanas being intellectually

superior, could easily influence these brave people, and the two joining hands to make a common cause, brought into existence, the most powerful organisation, that of aggra, which is, so often mentioned in our ancient literature. These combined people soon came across some yellow tribes who, though not warlike, were well versed in sqf, shret and afforce, prominent sctivities of peace time. These were given the name fag, and they might be of the same stock as the Phonecians or Punics of the Romans, the Panis of Reyed, from the west; or they might have been some offshoots of the yellow races of East Asia. Anyway, these yellow people were absorbed in the common Aryan fold, and thus was created the distinguished Savid of Vedict times!

These three groups, amicably cooperating among themselves, gradually occupied the southern parts consisting of Uttara Kuru. Hiranmaya, Ilävīta etc. They met the dark-skinned šūdras in Uttarakuru, and Uttarakuadra, north of the Himalayas, and it was in these parts that the wigitoù was regularly organised, and a complete society established. This organisation continued to be in force, as these people advanced further south, across the Himalayas and established themselves in different parts of the Indian continent.

This theory about the formation of wigitud, being discussed in a Marathi book, has not received the attention of scholars It might be objected that it is only a subjective theory, but if we consider the matter without any bias, we shall have to admit that all such theories regarding society of Vedic or even pre-Vedic times, are bound to be more or less subjective, and when no definite conclusion can be drawn about these doubtful matters, this theory of the late Mr Rajawade, may be taken into consideration, when studying the history of those hoary ancient times.

In the limited space of the present article, it is not possible to discuss all the points at any length; and I propose now, to lay here, for the consideration of interested students, a rough outline of my own yiews, on this subsect:

To my mind, the first two Varnas, ৰাম্বত and হারিব come from one common stock—the Aryans, who came from somewhere in the North, and occupied the regions first around the Caspian—

wave (?) - Sea, and then in parts of Central Asia. While forming fresh colonies in strange lands signs formed the penetrating wedge-सुलं-while their brothers, the hardy and brave अत्रिपड. protected them on both sides, thus forming the बाह of this social विराट पुरुष ! Both these activities required equal daring, and both having common interests at heart, there was perfect co-operation between these two Varnas. This policy of 'peaceful penetration' into new adjoining territories, was carefully followed by these Aryan settlers, even after they had successfully occupied the Northern part of India. They-i. e. the Brahmana rsis, with their followers-had their colonies in the question, where they had to meet the TETT class, and the clash between the two or more properly, the persecution of these peace-loving Brahmanas. led to the attack from the क्षत्रिय rulers, who were the patrons of these colonists. The motive underlying this clash and subsequent attack can be clear, when we take into consideration the point that if these rsis only wanted peaceful habitations in solitude. they could very well have settled in the territory of their अजिय patrons. And it was mainly on account of this daring missionary spirit of the Augus, that they commanded special respect and careful attention of their अजिय rulers. The बद्धाश्रव was thus a most powerful organisation of the Arvans, and it enabled them to extend their settlements all over the wide expanse of the then known earth.

These same people, had among themselves, some who possessed neither of these qualities necessary for a sigss or a sigs or a sign of a sigs or a si

For centuries together, this classification was not strict, and the three classes were equally privileged to take part in all the religious activities; but subsequently, a large split came among

<sup>17 [</sup> Annals, B. O. R I ]

these Aryan settlers on the Caspian, and while a part of these continued to stay there--afterwards going South into IRAN-another section moved East and came to the highlands of Central Asia. where they met, and absorbed among themselves, the meek yellow people who found places in the third and general class, that of ৰিয়া:, the dark skinned কৰেs were probably the aborigines of the Indian soil, who could not be so easily captured, and thesezers of Rgveds-continued to trouble the settlers, for a long time, and even after their subjugation, were kept at arm's distance, being asked to do only menial labour, but in no way allowed to mix with the Arvan people, in social or religious functions. The yellow and dark colours are thus accounted for ; stirring passions change white to red; so we may take the red colour of Ksatrivas, as a mark of passionate temper and of bravery, the original colour being the same-white-as that of the Brahmanas. This original white colour is still conspicuous among our Parsi brothers, these who had settled in Iran, south of the Caspian Sea.

The addition of बाणिज्य-trade-to ऋषि and गोरक्य, fell to the lot of the बेदयs, as the people had settled in social life, cities and villages came to be built properly, and inter-communication became a regular need of society, and state On account of their occupation as a class, वेद्य came into contact with the जब, while the two uppers forming the head and arms of society, sometimes indulged in a contest for supremacy, as references to such incidents are found in Epic and Puranic sources. But as long as the argu was useful as a daring leader in extending the Arvanisation of the whole land, so long the अभिय rulers were eager and careful to keep the good will of this self-less class : and it was only when the sugar had left nothing of this sort to do, and wanted to assert his superiority merely on the strength of his religion and philosophy, that the people, especially the ruling class showing their unwillingness to submit, came into clash; but all through these conflicts between ag and wa, we have to note that the वेदप and शूद, remained quite indifferent, and engaged themselves in their allotted work of कृषि, गोरहय and वाणिज्य. The hard and fast classification, which is referred to in the भगवतीता, is a developement that came about at a comparatively late period, of our social history of Angient times

### AN OPENING VERSE OF THE MAHABHARATA

Βv

#### B. N. KRISHNAMURTI SARMA

It is well-known that the opening verse in the Mahābhārata differs in the various recensions of the Epic-Northern and Southern and therein again in the several texts of the Telugu. Grantha and Malayalam (Kerala) versions. It would be impossible to dogmatize as to which of these versions has the imprimatur of Vvasa himself or is the one that most faithfully represents the original version given out by him. The task of fixing the correct original textus amplector of the Epic must be left an open one or perhaps to specialists in the field of Epic-study and criticism. The great Critical Edition of the Epic taken up by the Bhandarkar Institute may be expected to solve the question provided all the manuscript materials are tapped which does not seem still to be the case. My task in this paper is but a very modest one of bringing to the notice of scholars and students of the Epic, the existence of a Version ( I do not go so far as to dub it a Recension ) of the Epic known to and commented upon in the thirteenth century by the great Vedantic philosopher Sri Madhyācārya and which opened with the benedictory verse

# नारायण मुरगुर्क जगदेकनाथं भक्तप्रियं सकललोकनमस्हतं च । बैखण्यवीजेतमजं विश्वमायमीशं बन्दे भवध्नममसाहरसिद्धबन्यम् ॥

From the evidence of Mss, let in by the Critical Edition of the Epic published by the Bhandarkar Institute, we find that the above sloka occurs in only one of the texts of the South Indian Recension-the Kerala or Malabar version and there too in only one of the three manuscripts collated (Coshin State Library No. 1). But the antiquity and gonuineness of the verse itself go back to many centuries and rest upon the testimony of the Mahbhardar-Taliparys-Nranys an epitome, and running commentary in Sanakrit written by Sri Madhvacarya about 1300 A.D. So far as our present knowledge goes, this commentary might claim to be the earliest dateable Sanakrit commentary on the Epic.

ii

At the very outset of his Tālpanjanirnaya, Madhva says that the Epic (of course in the version that he regarded as authentic) opens with the benedictory stanza "নাবেল মুব্যু নাই্কনায়" and proceeds to comment upon this verse in his turn:

> ज्ञानबद्दः स अमवान्क्रमाठाविर्तिच्छायदिष्ट्यंनवतो नितिस्ठाइदिष्टः । अक्लेय तथाति हिप्यक्रमाठाविर्तिच्छायदिष्ट् अक्लेय तथाति हिप्यक्रमाठाविर्द्धाः वित्र पूर्वविभागसंस्थः ॥ 59 ॥ निर्देशिक द्वातिक्षिति वदार्ष्युक्तितिक्तु प्रथमकाक्रमात्राक्तिः । मोसैक्ष्रेतुरसस्यद्धीस्थ सुकैवंन्यः स एक इति चोक्तमयोचनार्यो ॥ 60 ॥ नम्यन्यस्थानक्षम् मयत्रः 
>
> × 
>
> × 
>
>
> प्रदर्भ हि मर्थाण्यविर्वास्थ्य विष्णोः प्रमावित्र । प्रथमतः प्रतिज्ञानति ॥ 61 ॥

It would be clear from the above, that the verse नारायणं सरग्रह could not be Madhva's own (as has been fancied by some ) but that in his opinion, it goes back to the original Epic text at least according to the textual tradition inherited by him in the thirteenth century and current in that part of the country (i. e the South Kanara district and Tulunad of which he was a native). Considering the purity and accuracy with which Kerala and its vicinities have preserved the ancient works of Sanskrit literature which have unfortunately disappeared from the other parts of India, it is not unlikely that the Kerala versions preserve much authentic materials. While it is thus indubitably true that the earliest reference to the verse " नाग्यणं सरग्रम " is to be found in the metrical commentary of Madhyacarya, he is not certainly the author of it. He makes it clear in his commentary that he regards the verse as belonging to the original nav its very first verse. Furthermore, he is also found to quote the second quarter of this verse in his Gitābhāsua, as from the Mahābhārata under Gită IX. 26.

भक्तिभिषं सकललोकनमस्कृतं च इति भारते ॥ and again केवलो निर्मुणश्च इत्यादि श्रुतिभ्यश्च । "त्रेगुण्यवर्जितम्" (Bharata ) इति स्रोकतम् IX 13

And we have already seen that this verse is accepted as the opening one in one of the Mss. of the Kerala Resension of the present day. Madhva was himself a native of Tulunād which lies immediately north of Kerala proper and which is part of the

Holy Land of Parasurāma to which the religious poet Vādirāja pays a graceful compliment:

कर्णे पदन्यस्तरुची रिरंसुर्वाराशिवस्त्रं जयनाहि यस्या । असुसुचहाणकरेण सुने-श्रीभार्गवः सा जयति क्षितिनं ॥

(Tirthamabandha i).

It is not unlikely that his version was in agreement in the main with the Kerala version, and the South Indian Recension, judging by the criteria proposed by Prof P. P. S. Sastri viz., (1) the exclusion of the Ganess episode, to which there is no reference in Maditva or Vadirāja's text (2) the inclusion of the Kanika episode and (3) the absence of reference to the 8800 surgmatic verses:

अष्टी श्लोकसहस्राणि अष्टी श्लोकशतानि च । अहं बोद्रि शुक्रो वेत्ति सञ्जयो वेत्ति या न वा।)

Madhya not only knew the North Indian version; but claims to have constituted his text after a careful and critical exemination of the manuscript material from different parts of the country and upon a higher criticism of the text upon principles which he indicates in the prolegomena to his work. It appears from his statements that he exercised great care and caution in fixing up a Vulgate text of his own after a wide and representative collection of Mss from different parts of India based on different Recensions. It would be well to remember in this connection that his commentary on the Epic was written by him more or less towards the closing part of his life when he had already toured the north and southern parts of India many times and built up a precious library of his own It may be said of Madhva as of no other ancient writer that he truly and most vividly anticipated the difficulties of modern Research scholars of the Epic and may very properly be described as the pioneer of Mahabharata text-criticism. He sounds a familiarly modern note

> कचिद्रन्थान् प्रक्षिपन्ति कचिदन्तरितानपि। कुर्युः कचित्र व्यत्यास प्रमादाकचिद्रन्यथा॥ ३॥ अनुस्तद्धा अपि ग्रन्था व्याकुला इति सर्वेशः। देशे देशे तथा ग्रन्थान् दश्कः चैत्र प्रथानिधान्॥ ७॥

His date was antedated by three conturies by P. P. S. Sastri. For a complete criticism of P. P. S. Sastri's date and fixation of the real date of Vadirsia see my paper on his date in the Annals, B. O. R. I, Vol. XVIII, pp. 187-197

### यथा स भगवान्त्र्यास' साक्षाद्धारायणः प्रश्नः।

जगाद भारताकेव तथा बक्ष्ये तदीक्षया । Mbh. TN. II. 8.

it would be obvious that a text so constituted by an ancient writer of his standing deserves greater attention from modern scholars than has been bestowed upon it so far. But so far as his followers were concerned it was naturally this text that was recognised. Vādirāja one of the most eminent followers of Madhva in the sixteenth century commented upon this text in his Luksālankāra and this commentary, is declared by Prof. P. S. Sastri to be based on the South Indian Recension published by him and follow it closely. Prof Sastri seems further to look upon this as testifying to the authenticity and provenness of the text published by him that Vādirāja's vālws should agree with those of his text. By way of illustration of his thesis, he has published Vādirāja's commentary on the Sabha Parva.

It may therefore be pointed out that there are fundamental deviations in Vadiraia from the S. Indian text now published by P. P. S. Sastri. The latter begins straightway without any benedictory verses (मक्काचरण ) with the prose text . रोमहर्पणपत्र उग्र-अवा etc. The North Indian Recension begins with नारायणं । नमस्क्रम नरं चेव॰ Prof Sastri holds that the S Indian Recension is right in discarding the usual stereotyped Mangalācarana and that a metrical benediction can have really no place at the commencement of the Epic. Be that as it may, the Laksalamkara has a very claborate commentary on नारायणं सरमुरुं जगदेकनार्थ × × × × which it regards as the opening benedictory verse of the Epic. following the lead of Madhya's Tatparuaningua in this and in other respects such as the readings of verses and the inclusion of three more benedictory verses in the beginning. It could not be otherwise seeing that Vādirāja was a faithful adherent of Madhya and claims to follow his lead:

# नारायणस्य व्यासस्य मध्वस्य च छपावछात्। भारतश्लोकछक्षस्यासङ्कारः क्रियते मया॥ 1. ऽ.

Prof. P. P. S. Sastri is not therefore justified in dismissing the verse नारायणं सरहरूद + + and Vädirāja's comment on it as an

<sup>&</sup>quot; Found only in Kashmir 1.2, D ( 9.13 missing ) T1 G7 ( margin ) and very few of Southern Mss.—Telugu and Grantha — " ( Sukthankar ).

interpolation on the basis of a certain passage: ' সন ব্যাহী ম্বলনী মান্ত্রিকিং নান্ত্রিকার বাব বাব প্রত্যান্ত্রালয় শালা করিব কিবল না certain of the Mas. of Laksalamkara under the words নাম্বল্ল মুব ব্যাহ্মশ্ব: " It seems far more reasonable to reject this single line as an interpolation than to dismiss the entire and very long comment on the verse arrived गुराइक. The very length and cogency of the passage forbids such a summary disposal. Apart from this, the Laksalamkāra comments next upon two other benedictory stauxas of the Epic:

> हच्चो हक्केरियते बीतमोहै- हच्चो पजैरिज्यते सोमपूर्वै । हच्चो बीरैरिज्यते विक्रमद्भिः हच्चो बन्वैरिज्यते संस्कृशानैः ॥ स्ट्रण बह्माद्यो देवा निहता येन दानवा । तस्मै देवाधिदेवाय नमस्ते ठाक्कंपारिको ॥

The comment on the first of these: इरानी " निन्द् " पदस्य सुफ्तपरनी स्थ्यन सुफ्तपरनी स्थ्यन सुफ्तपरनी स्थ्यन सुफ्तपरनी स्थान सुक्रा सुक्त सुक्रम सुक्तपरनी सुक्र इति । presupposes the term सिद्ध गा अर्थसिद्धन्य गी on the protous verse— स्वन्द्र अयुक्तमामास्त्रासिद्धन्यन्या 1d The comment on the third verse is equally significant विश्वास्त्र स्वत्य सुक्तप्रसाचारि— 'सुद्धा बद्धास्यो देवा' इति अञ्च अरुष्य मुक्तिस्त्र स्वत्याधिकस्य

After these elaborate comments on the opening verses Vādirāja introduces his commentary on the story proper with following remark:

अधुना कथामारभते—" रामहर्पण एव उग्रश्नवा " इत्यादिना ।

Here, the term MYN is significant and presupposes the existence of some kind of benedictory verses at the outset.

11

The conclusion that Vādirāja did recognize the presence of certain benedictory verses in the beginning of the Epic and that in his opinion the verse नगायणं सुरमुख्य + + + was the first of that kind is fully attested by his other work the commentary on Madbya's Mahābhārudatīṭpa yannraya which we have already shown reads नगायणं सुरम्धे as the first मञ्जूष्ट्यों of the Epic. Commenting on II. 59 of his original which runs:

# ज्ञानपदः स भगवान् कमलाविशिचिदार्वादिपूर्वजनतो निखिलाहरिष्टः

Vādirāja writes:- अञ्चना भारतायपद्य एव मवॉनमस्वादि सकलमहिन्नां निकापितत्वातः जपक्रमानमारेण ग्रन्थस्य योजनीयत्वातः लक्षभारतवास्यास्यपि भगवन्माहान्यवराणीति भावेन लोकिशिक्षणाय भारतादौ विराचितं मङ्गलपर्व पडति-नारायणं सरग्रहं " इति । सरग्रहमित्यस्पार्थकथनं " कमलाविरिचि शर्वादिपर्वजगतो ज्ञानमद् '' इति

Now, not only does Vadiraja simply comment on the verse नारायणं सुरहस् as a matter of course; but he also deliberately raises the precise issue we are debating viz. the genuineness and authenticity of the verse नारायणं स्रमुख्य in question and particularly the need for a benedictory stanza at all. It is gratifying to note that a la the modern critical scholar. Vadirāja points to the occurrence of this verse in manuscripts preserved in the " Kerala and other countries " ' क्राचिदन्तरितानपि ' ( Mbh. TN. II. ३ ) इति वचनात इदमायपयं असहमाना केचिदादी न लिखन्ति । केरलादिदेशस्थपस्तके-ब्बदापि हड्यते ॥

He also considers it most unlikely that Vyasa who has incorporated benedictory verses in the beginning of the comparatively shorter works like Bhaqayata and Harvamsa could have failed to do so in such a prodigious work as the Mahabharata wurreren लोकाचार्यो ज्यासः लक्षपरिमितं ग्रन्थं कर्वन ग्रन्थादौ लोकशिक्षणाय सङ्गलं न रचयेत ? यतोऽल्पीयांसे युन्थे मागवते हरिवंजादी च—'सत्यं पर धीमहि ' 'सोऽलादि-र्वासदेवः ज्ञामयतं दृश्तिं जनमजन्मार्जितं नः' इति लोकशिक्षणार्थे मङ्गलमकरोत् अतो अस्मिन्महाग्रन्थे सकलशिष्टानामग्रणीर्थासो मञ्जलमाचरेवेवेति ज्ञेयम् ॥

( Tulu Ms, Sode Mutt Udipi ).

It would thus be evident that the eschewal of benedictory verses from the beginning of the Mahābhārata and the omission of " नारायणं स्रग्रह जगदेकनाथं etc. as the first verse in this connection are sternly discountenanced by Vadiraia and that therefore no S. Indian recension that omits this verse and those that follow. would be acceptable to Madhya and Vadiraia or be recognized by them as representing the authentic (S. Indian) version. In these circumstances, it is dubious if the South Indian version published by Prof. Sastri could be made to rest on the authority of Vadiraia.

# AUTHENTICITY OF THE KRSNACARITA

### BY JAGAN NATH

In August 1941 Rāpavaidva Jīvarāma Kalīdasa of Gondal, published, from a fragmentary manuscript of three leaves only what may be described as 'introduction' to a poetical work called the Krana-uritam attributed in the colophon to Mahārāja-dhīrāja Vikranātak Sīr-Samudrā Gupta.

The first section of this introduction originally consisted of thirty three verses of which the first twelve are now lost and the remaining twentyone only have been preserved. In this section the author has dealt with the ancient poets whom he designated as Right are uncluded.—

1. This section the following authors and works are mentioned.—

- Śānkhāyana He wrote a poem called Kanthābharana.
- 2 Vararuci He wrote a Kāvya named Svargārohana.
- 3 Kātyāyana He not only wrote the Vārtikas on Pānini's Grammar, but also followed in his footsteps in writing poetry. His work is not mentioned
- 4 Vyādi:— He is described as a poet, and philosopher of the Mimāmsā school. He wrote a Mahākāwija called the Bālacanda which excelled the Mahabhārata of Vyāsa.
  - 5 Devala:— the author of Indravijava
- 6 Patañjali He is the author of the great commonlary on Panini's Grammar He revised the Samhita of Caraka, and wrote a poem called diragist containing an exposition of the Yoga system of Philosphy This work appears to be distinct from the well known Surta freatise of the same name
- 7 Bhāsa:— the author of a brilliant Mahākāvya, and twenty plays. He wrote a play named Vāsavadattā, and made the Rāmāyapa and Mahābhārata more enjoyable by dramatising their story and adding various episodes of his own making. Other poets imitated him in writing plays. He did not completely follow Pācini's system of grammar
  - 8 Vardhamana the author of Bhimajaya.
- 9 Cinadeva He was a foreigner. He wrote both in Magadhi and Sanskrif, a poem called the Buddhacarita.
  - 18 | Annals, B. O. lt 1 |

10 Mihiradeva — He was a Persian. He wrote a panegyric of the god sun in one hundred sukhanni verses.

These are the ten sage-poets to whom the author paid his tribute, by recording their names and the works they had written.

The second section deals with the royal poets and the following names are mentioned:—

- 1 Subandnu He is described as a court poet of King Bindusāra whose displeasure he incurred and was thrown into the prison. By the favour of Goddess Sarasvati, he managed to secape from the prison and went to king Vatsarāja, who gave him five villages and the hand of his sister. He wrote a poem called Vatsarājacarita, and an unnamed play.
- 2 Sidraka:— Equal to Indra in valour, he performed an attemmetika sacrifice and having overthrown the Sakas started his own era called the Vikrama era. He wrote treatises on the science of archery and stealing. He was the author of two plays. He also composed the Mrochakatika it, nine acts. In this work he described his own exploits. This work became known as Aryakajaya. Having placed his son Devamitra on the throne he retired to the forest.
- 3 Kālidāsa:—At Sudraka's court was the great poet Kālidāsa who composed a play dealing with the love romance of king Dusyanta. Besides this, he wrote three minor plays.
- 4 Sura A Buddhist scholar, author of two Mahākāvyas the Saunanda and the Buddhacarita His other name was Ghosa He wrote treatises on the exposition of Buddhist doctrine. He also took part in a creat congregation of Buddhist teachers
- 5 Hariscandra He was the king of Pancala and wrote a work called Karnakirth.
- 6 Matrgupta He was not only a Karnāja but also a king, who had obtained the kingdom of Kashmir through the favour of the goddess Sarasvati
  - 7 Avantika Author of Śūdrakajaya.
- 8 Harisəna alias Kālıdāsa or Raghukāra:— He held the exalted position of Minister of Samudragupta and was the supreme authority in matters of peace and war He was skyled Kumārassciva. He acted as Brahmā priest at the sacrificial performances of his royal patron. He wrote the Raghuyamās and four

other minor poems. He prevailed upon the king to write the Kṛṣṇacarita.

The section ends with the account of these eight royal poets. In the colophon this section is designated as মাসকবিজীন in the ক্ষাম্বনাৰন or কুমান্বনিৰ composed by Śri Vikramānka Mahārājādhirāja Paramabhāgawata Śri Sanudra Gupka

The information supplied by this manuscript is interesting, as it has the charm of novelty. Some of the names of authors and works are quite familiar, others are less commonly known like Vysdi, while some are altogether new. Some of the statements made in this work are in conflict with quite wellknown and well-established facts of political and literary history of ancient India. These require a careful examination.

Firstly, it has been stated about Subandhu that he fled from the imprisonment of Bindusara and went to Vatsaraia who gave him shelter. Thus according to this work Bindusara and Vatsarāja Udayana were contemporaries, which is an impossibility, since Udayana flourished long before the establishment of the Maurya empire It may be argued that this Vatsaraja may not be Udavana but a different monarch of later times. But this much at least must be conceded that only an independent and powerful king could have dared to give protection to Subandhu who had incurred the displeasure of Bindusara. Now Bindusara was an imperial sovereign whose dominions included the whole of Northern India, and parts of the Deccan. The small kingdoms into which India had been divided in the days of Buddha, had all been swept away by the rising power of Magadha Therefore there can be no possibility of an independent contemporary of Bindusara, who could thus openly flout the wishes of the Maurya sovereign with impunity. We know from the Greek accounts that Bindusara was a ruler of stern disposition who never spared his enemies as his title of Amitrochales shows. Therefore no vassal rulers could have dared to offend such an overlord. This statement of the present work is therefore, opposed to the facts of history.

of the present whe work mentions two Kälidäsas—one the contemporary of Sidraka Vikramāditya and author of the plays Sakuntals, etc. and the other Harisens, the Foreign Minister of Samudragupta and the author of Raghuvamisa and other poems. However the internal evidence of the works themselves shows that the author of Sakuntalä, Raghuvamisa, Kumārsambhava and Meghaduta is one and the same person. The following close similarities in thought and expression clearly prove the common authorship of the playe and poems.

authorship of the plays and poem
1. Sakuntalā, II, 5
मेदच्छेदऊकोदरं लघु भवत्यत्यातयोग्य

बपुः मस्वानामपि लक्ष्यते बिक्तिमाधित्त भय-क्रोधयोः॥ उत्कर्ष स च घन्विनां यदिवयः सिध्यन्ति लक्ष्ये चल्ले

मिथ्येव व्यसनं बद्दित सुगयामीहरिक नोद कृत: 1) 2. Śakuntalā, I, 6 c and d सगानसारिणं साक्षात्वस्थामीय पिना-

किनम् । 3 Śakuntalā, III, 10 स्मर एव तापहेतार्निविषयिता स एव से

जात दिवस इवाभ्रद्यामस्तरात्यये जीवलोरुत्य ॥ 4. Śakuntalā, IV, 4 cd अवेहि तनयां ब्रह्मज्ञात्रागरी शमीमित्र ।

 Sakuntalā, IV, 12.
 उद्गलित १र्भकवला सुरयः परित्यक्तनतेना मण्रा ।
 अपस्तपाण्डुपत्रा मुख्यन्यश्रुणीव लता ॥

6. Śakuntala, V, 2.
रम्याणि वीश्य मधुरांक्ष निकान्य शान्दान्
पर्युख्डि मधीन प्रमासिनी श्रेप जन्तः ।
तक्षेत्रमा समार्ति हुन्यानेपुष्यं
भाषाभियाणि जननात्तरसीहरानि ॥
7. Śakuntala, VII, 3.
तस भवद विद्योगाः पान्यवृद्धिः यजास्
न्यसम्भिति वित्तरानो चिक्रण भाषास्य ।
यूपकातपायितनियमान्यस्य ।
वित्तरक्षमान्यस्य ।
8 Sakuntala, V, 6 b
8 Sakuntala, V, 6 b

1 Raghuvamśa, IX, 49. परिचयं चललक्ष्यानिपातने भयरुपोष्ट्र तदिङ्कितवोधनम् । अमलयात् प्रगुणा च करोत्यसौ तनमतोऽज्ञमतः मचिवैर्ययो ॥

Raghuvamsa, XI, 44 ed.
 बिट्टतक्रतस्मातुमारिण येन चाणमस्मद्भः
 उपध्यजः।

3. Razhuvamša, X, 83. ते प्रजानां प्रजानाधास्तेजसा प्रश्नपेण च । मनो जहुर्निंदाघान्ते स्यामाभा दिवसा

4. Raghuvamsa, III, 9. शमीमिवाधरनसङ्गावपाकस्य । वृद्य 'सम्बद्ध मिद्रियोमस्यम् ॥ 5. Raghuvamsa, XIV, 69. वृद्यं मतृगः इस्सानि वृद्धाः दृष्यीवृद्यानाव तस्य। प्रपद्धे समझ प्रमावस्यन्तमानी

इदितं बनेऽपि ॥

6 Raghuvamsa, XI, 22, बामनाध्यमपद तत परं पावनं श्रुतस्पेरतेयिवान् । उत्तमना प्रथमजन्मचेष्टितान्य-समस्वपि बसव सम्बन्धाः

Raghuvamsa, I, 26.

दुटोह गांस यज्ञाय सस्याय झाघ दिवस्।

सपिद्विनिमयेनोभौ द्वतुर्भुवनद्वयम् ॥ 8. Raghuvamsa, XIX, 3 a.

8. सaghuvamsa, XIX, 3 a. लब्धपालनविधी न तत्स्वतः खेदमाप।

मिश्रनं परिकल्पितं त्वया सहकार फलिनी च नन्बिमी ।

Raghuvamsa, VIII, 61.

चूतेन संश्रितवती नवमालिकेचं। Śakuntala, IV, 13 इयं स्वयंवरवंद्र, सहकारस्य त्वया कृतनामधेया वनज्योत्वेति नवमालिका। Śakuntala, I.

Further the use of the root आ √ रज्ञ in the sense of pouring out has been made frequently in these works —

- ı. इति कल्डामावर्जयति i Śakuntalā, I.
- 2. आवर्जिताष्टापद हम्भतोये । Kumārasambhava, VII, 10.
- 3. त्वदावर्जितवारि सभतम । Kumārasambhava, V, 34.

The community of thought and expression between the plays and the poems, conclusively proves, that all of them are written by the same author. The suggestion of two Kālidāsas, one the author of the plays and the other the author of the Mahākāngas is absolutely baseless and in conflict with the evidence of the works themselves. It would not be too much to suggest that the author of this fragment has merely versified some of the recent suggestions, e.g. Jayaśamkara Prasad, put forward the theory of two Kālidāsa's in his Skamkagupta.

It is further to be noted that Harisens, the author of the Allahabad pillar inscription can never be the author of the Raghuvamsa. There is a fundamental difference in the temperament of the two. While the author of the Raghuvamsa is absolutely uncommunicative about his personality—so much so that he does not mention even his name in the poem—Harisena has not only mentioned his name as the author of the inscription but has also given many details about himself such as the name of his father, the name of his native place, his official title and the offices he held. Is it too much to expect that he would have himself stated that he was known as a second Kalidaea if he really was?

These verses which have been pessed by its author whoever he is as the introduction to the poem Krenacarita, are prima face a forgery, an attempt to weave the scattered information concerning ancient authors into one piece. To endow it with authority, the name of Samudragupta has been invented. It is clearly intended to provide 'freit euclinee' for solving some of the puzzles in the history of Sanskrit literature and the political history of ancient India, such as the authorship of the Micohakatika the authoritity of the Trivandrum plays, the date of Kalidas, the origin of the Vikarma Era, etc etc.

<sup>1</sup> The reference to the association of Subandhu with Bindusdra is to be found in the Avantismodarikathā, the account of Subraka is taken from the Mircchakatika with minor additions, the mention of Rāmila and Somila is found in an oft quoted verse.

### A NEW INTERPRETATION of the expression.

शिलाधनमध्यस्थपदीयमदस्यकथन, in Samkara's commentary on

Br. Sū I, 1i 28

#### BY

#### SHRINIVAS DIXIT

All the commentators and interpreture of Samkara seem to have missed the real significance of this expression when they unanimously agree in interpreting it thus "that to say an idea, like a lamp, reveals itself spontaneously without standing in need of anything else to illumine it, — it is tantamount to asserting that the idea, which no means-of-proof can ever reveal, needs also no percipent for its perception, as though a thousand lamps blazing in the interior of some rock were to make themselves manifest therein." Now what is the significance of the analogy of the lamps in the interior of a stone here? In order to understand this, let us know the drift of the passage.

The Vjūňanavādin says that only ideas orist Why? For, they alone are immediately percuved, while the objects as such being presumably of the nature of non-knowledge can never enter the field of consciousness. Whatever is known, is known, and therefore cannot be known to exist. This means the same thing as to say that the object lacking illumination ( $\iota$ , e, a relation to consciousness  $\iota$ ) the status of being an idea, in our modern terminology ) can never be known, while an idea having illumination (i e. a relation to consciousness.) can alone be the object of knowledge.

To this, Samkara replies, even an idea must require an illumination from some external source ( The neo-realist may well understand by this that an entity becomes an idea when it enters a certain relation) The Buddhist reply to this is: "I recognize ideas as selfluminous". This is the position that Hume had arrived at in declaring that the whole world is an unceasing flux of ideas. For, Hume also had tentatively suggested that there may be ideas which are not ideas of anybody.

Samkara's answer to this is, शिलाघनमध्यप्रयापिसहस्रकथनवत. It is quite apt if we expand it thus "By saving that there can be ideas without being known (अनवगन्तकं विज्ञानं), you are taking away the very differentia of an idea On your hypothesis everything will be an idea But it would only mean that what we call a thing you choose to designate as an idea But, surely, for that reason it becomes an idle hupothesis, as idle and bairen as to hypothesize a thousand candles burning in the interior of a stone. The hypothesis makes no difference to the things that can be verified. Even if there were no lamps burning there, or there were ten thousand instead of one thousand, the things would be the same. Similarly, if there were ideas without being known. there will be no difference between our world view and your world view The only difference is that you call the things of the world ideas and we call them objects. Then the designation 'idea' will be pointless. But there will be sense in calling the objects ideas, not at all times, but only when they receive illumination (i. e they enter into a relation with consciousness)"

This sort of interpretation will credit Samkara with anticipating the arguments of modern neo-realism according to which a thing becomes an idea without losing its identity as an object by entering a new relation. An object is an idea not by reason of its stuff but due to its function Samkara certainly, though vaguely, implies this. For, otherwise the analogy of unknown ideas with 'lamps in the interior of a stone will still be lamps, while the unknown ideas will not be ideas in any significant sense. That the lamps will not illumine other objects is beside the point. That proves nothing. They will be as good lamps as any that there be. Yet this is how the expression is traditionally interpreted. On that interpretation, there is no analogy whatsover. But by his zw. Samkara clearly means an analogy.

That my meaning was implied by Samkara is clear from the fast that he does not say that there is an analogy between the rock-hidden lamps and the unknown ideas, but between manned (swat) that unknown ideas exist and tellung (swat) that a thousand lamps burn in the interior of a massive stone. The analogy is between the general character of the hypotheses as such (i. e. both of them are idle) and not between the specific assertions made therein.

That Samkara did not much develop such a realistic flash is unfortunate. Had he done so, he would have been the founder of modern realism. For that, it would not have been necessary for him to abandon his \*\*agraturaty\*\*. Epistemological realism is quite compatible with an ontological idealism

### BOOK: RECEIVED

दसोपंत आपट लेखसंग्रह, दत्तीपंत आपटे समारक संहळ, प्रणें, १९४५.

Bahāullāh and New Era, (Marathi), translated by V. S. Apte, New Delhi, 1945.

An Introduction to the Study of Srimad Bhagavad Gita, by R. Vasudeva Row, Suddha Dharma Office, Mylapore, Madras.

Bharatiya Vidya (Vol. V), Miscellany, A. D. Pusalker, Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay, 1945.

भारतीय-युद्ध-काल निर्णय, by R. V. Vaidys, M. G. Gadgkari, Lashkar, (Gwalior), 1945.

Bibliography of Indological Studies, 1942, George M. Moraes, Konkan Institute of Arts and Sciences, Bombay, 1945.

Descriptive Catalogue of the Sanskrit Manuscripts in H. H. The Maharajah's Palace Library, Trivandrum, Volumes II-VIII.

Descriptive Catalogue of the Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Curator's Office Library, Trivandrum, Volumes III-X. दुर्गा सरहाती, राजदेव जीवराम कालिश्रासशाङ्की, गोंडाळ, संबत् २००१,

Eski Hind Tarihi, Walter Ruben, Ankara, 1944. Mahatma Hansaraja, by Khushahalachanda Ananda, Pradhan, Arya Pradeshika Pratinidhi Sabha, Lahore.

Proceedings of the Indian History Congress, Seventh Session, held at Madras 1944, General Secretary, Indian History Congress, Allababad Proceedings of the Indian

History Congress, Seventh Session, Madras University, Madras, General Secretary, Indian History Congress, Allahabad.

Invisches Mittelalter, Walter Ruben, Istanbul, 1944.

Investigations into Prehistoric Archaeology of Gujarat, by H. D. Sankalia, Sri Pratapasimha Maharaja, Rajyabhishaka Grantha Mala, Baroda, 1946.

Jainism and Meat-Eating, by M V. Shah, All India Shwe. Sthanakwasi Jain Conference, Bombay.

Kashmiri Lyrics, by J. L. Kaul, Rinemisray Srinagar, Kashmir, 1945.

Kautaliya Arthaśāstra with Malayalam Commentary (Adhikaraņa 3) Part III, V. A. Ramaswami Sastri, Trivandrum, 1945. University of Madras, Calendar for 1945-46 Vol. I Parts I, II, University of Madras, Madras, 1946.

Le Monde Oriental Revue, Des E'tudes Orientales, Vols. XXX-XXXII, H. S. Nyberg, 1936-1938, London.

Nyāyakusumāājali of Udayanācārya, Vol. I, Books I, II, Swami Ravi Tirtha, Adyar Library, Adyar, 1946.

History of Orissa by L. N. Sahu, Poona. 1946.

Kasaratnapradipikā of Allarāja, R. N. Dandekar, Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay, 1945.

Self-Realisation, Life and Teachings of Bhagavan Shri Ramana Maharshi, B. V. Narasimha Swami, Tiruyannamalai, 1944. Suddha Rāja Yoga of Sri Hamgayogi, T. A. M. Janardanam, Suddha Dharma office, Mylapore, Madras

Tantrasamucceya of Nārāyana Part I, V. A Ramaswami Sastri, University of Travancore, Trivandeum, 1945

The Legend of the Topes, by B. C. Law, Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal, Calcutta, 1945.

रजतजयन्ती, श्रीदेवनायक आचार्य, काजी, वि. संवत २००२ श्रीवद्यभराम ज्ञालिशाम सागवेदविदालय.

भीवछुभराम शालिग्राम सागवेदविद्यालय. रजतजयन्सी महोत्मव का कार्य-विवरण श्रीदेवनायक आचार्य. काशी, वि संवत् २००२.

A Vedic Word-Concordance, Vol. III, (Upanisads) Parts I (अ-ज') and II (प-इ), Visva-Bandhu Sästri, V. V. R. Institute, Lahore, 1945.

### PUBLICATIONS OF THE INSTITUTE

Mahabbarata Volumes already published	Rs	. As.
Vol I-Adiparvan (1), ed, Dr V S Sukthankar, M A Ph D, Fasc 1-7, pp cxviii + 996	, 33	0
Vol II-Sabhaparvan (2), ed, Prof Dr F Edgerton,	"	Ü
Fasc 13-14, pp LXVII + 517	12	o
Vols III & IV-Åranyakaparvan (3) ed, Dr V S Sukthankar, M A, Ph D, Fasc 11-12, pp xLII 4		
1111	18	0
Vol V-Virataparvan (4), ed, Dr Raghu Vira, MA,		
Ph D , Fasc 8 pp 1x + 362	11	0
Vol VI-Udyogaparvan (5), ed, Dr S K De, M A, D Litt, Fasc 9 10, pp Liv + 739	19	
Vol VII-Bhismaparvan (6), ed, Dr S K Belvalkar,	19	o
MA, PhD, Iasc 15 pp 392	8	o
Volumes in press		
Vol VIII	_	
Belv		
वीर सेवा मन्दिर		
Tarıkt पुस्तकालय edit		
lv वाल न०		
No! Detrohet & N		
Nirul til Vd		
्वे		
Descri		
Li		
Vol III Ba		
Vol X		
ь		
Vol X		
Pl Pl		
Progre		
se		
d c		